	VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018
1 2	IN THE WEST VIRGINIA LEGISLATURE HOUSE OF DELEGATES JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
3	
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	IN RE:
б	House Judiciary Committee Proceeding
7	Regarding the Impeachment of West Virginia
8	Supreme Court Justices Pursuant to
9	House Resolution 201 Passed During the
10	Second Extended Session of 2018.
11	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
12	
13	VOLUME VII
14	
15	Hearing held on August 6, 2018, before the House
16	Judiciary Committee of the West Virginia Legislature.
17	
18	
19	
20	Transcribed By:
21	REALTIME REPORTERS, LLC TERESA S. EVANS, RMR, CRR
22	713 Lee Street Charleston, WV 25301
23	(304) 344-8463 realtimereporters.net
24	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	APPEARING FOR THE HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE:
4	Marsha Kauffman, Esquire John Hardison, Esquire Brian Casto, Esquire
5	Robert E. Akers, Esquire
6	Charles Roskovenski, Esquire Joseph Altizer, Esquire
7	
8	HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MEMBERS:
9	John Shott, Chair Roger Hanshaw, Vice Chair
	Barbara Evans Fleischauer, Minority Chair
10	Shawn Fluharty, Minority Vice Chair Mark White, Clerk
11	Andrew Byrd
12	Joe Canestraro Moore Capito
	Frank Deem
13	Tom Fast
14	Geoff Foster Jason Harshbarger
	Ray Hollen
15	Phil Isner
16	Kayla Kessinger Charlotte Lane
	Chad Lovejoy
17	Rodney Miller Riley Moore
18	John Overington
	Mike Pushkin
19	Ben Queen Andrew Robinson
20	Kelli Sobonya
0.1	Amy Summers
21	Mark Zatezalo
22	
23	ALSO PRESENT:
43	John A. Carr, Esquire (Counsel for Justice Loughry)
24	Mary Lou Newberger, Esquire (Counsel for Racer-Troy)

1	EXAMINATION INDEX
2	SUE RACER-TROY
3	BY MR. HARDISON 1685
4	BY DELEGATE FAST1772BY DELEGATE FOSTER1795
5	BY DELEGATE OVERINGTON1798BY DELEGATE PUSHKIN1802
6	BY DELEGATE LANE 1809 BY DELEGATE SOBONYA 1814
7	BY DELEGATE HARSHBARGER 1818 BY DELEGATE MILLER 1824
8	BY DELEGATE ROBINSON 1828 BY MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER 1836
9	BY CHAIRMAN SHOTT1845RE BY DELEGATE FAST1857
10	BY DELEGATE ZATEZALO 1860 RE BY DELEGATE PUSHKIN 1862
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
Z - I	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Those whose last name 3 begins with A through K will be in our first group and 4 I'll ask one of your managers, Delegate Hollen, to be 5 our overseer, for lack of a better word, the temporary chairman of that group, and the remaining members will 6 7 go with me in our second wave. Hopefully, the press has already 8 9 identified their three representatives and you would go with the first group unless you prefer the second 10 11 group, but I understand just for purposes of meeting 12 your deadlines, you prefer the first group, and that 13 appears fine with us. 14 So please return to the chamber because 15 we do have evidence to take today as soon as your tour 16 is completed and we will -- we'll get started as soon 17 as we can once everybody gets back in the chamber. 18 The first group, if you would, our 19 counsel, Marsha Kauffman, will lead you over there; and 20 the second group will just be at ease for a moment and 21 will go -- do you want both groups at the same time? 22 Okay. 23 We will give them about five minutes 24 and then we will go over. Please be at ease, the

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	second group, and then we will head over there in about
2	five minutes.
3	(The Committee went to tour the offices
4	of the Supreme Court and the
5	proceedings continued as follows:)
6	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: All right, we're back
7	in the chambers for a continuation of our session
8	today. First, before we begin, I just want to thank
9	the group that was with me for what I thought was a
10	pretty orderly and no one got shot at or anything of
11	any of that sort, so I appreciate your being respectful
12	and following the instructions of the staff up there.
13	And so since I didn't hear anything
14	from Delegate Hollen, I'll assume that that's true of
15	the first group, and we appreciate the Court's tour and
16	allowing us the opportunity to go through the areas
17	that have been renovated.
18	Counsel, are you ready to call the
19	our next witness?
20	DELEGATE HARDISON: Mr. Chairman,
21	Committee on the Judiciary calls Sue Racer-Troy to the
22	stand.
23	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Can you crank that up
24	a bit, Mark?

1 Ms. Troy, if you could, if you'd stand 2 and raise your right hand. I'll have to give you the 3 oath. 4 (The witness was sworn.) 5 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Under our rules, the witness has the opportunity to read a brief statement, 6 7 and I believe Ms. Troy has elected to take advantage of that opportunity, so we'll give her the ability to do 8 9 that before we actually begin questioning. Ms. Troy, please proceed. 10 In case, 11 there's any questions, Ms. Troy is accompanied by her 12 counsel. And Counsel, would you identify 13 vourself for our members? 14 15 MS. NEWBERGER: My name is Mary Lou 16 Newberger. 17 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Thank you. Welcome. 18 WITNESS TROY: Okay. Whoo, there's feedback. 19 I was hired as Director of Finance of 20 21 the Supreme Court of West Virginia on July 1, 2012. My 22 job title was changed to chief financial officer in the fall of 2017, but my job responsibilities and place in 23 24 the administrative hierarchy remained the same. Ι

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	report directly to the Administrative Director, who
2	reports directly to the justices of the Supreme Court.
3	The Finance Division is responsible for
4	monitoring the Judicial Branch budget and ensuring that
5	financial transactions are recorded correctly,
6	completely and with the proper documentation. My role
7	is to verify that things are available in the budget
8	and to ensure that that expenditures are properly
9	recorded.
10	The payroll function of the Judicial
11	Branch is a separate division, reporting to the
12	director of human resources. My involvement with
13	payroll is limited to reviewing the payroll interface
14	in the financial records as it relates to the to the
15	judicial budget and available funds.
16	The Judicial Branch has few written
17	policies and procedures. In my role as Director of
18	Finance - and now chief financial officer - I am not
19	asked my opinion on legal issues. Rather, I rely on
20	legal determinations of administrative counsel and the
21	justices of the Supreme Court.
22	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Counsel, if you're
23	ready, please proceed.
24	SUE RACER-TROY

1 Was called as a witness by the Committee on the 2 Judiciary, and having been first duly sworn, testified 3 as follows: 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. HARDISON: Ms. Racer-Troy, do you prefer to go by Racer-6 0. 7 Troy or which name --8 We can't hear you. DELEGATES: 9 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Your microphone is not 10 picking you up. 11 MR. HARDISON: Is it on? What about 12 now? 13 Can everyone hear me now? 14 0. Ms. Racer-Troy, do you prefer to go by Racer-15 Troy or Ms. Troy or --16 Α. Troy is fine. Troy, okay. Thank you for being here and for 17 0. 18 providing testimony for the Committee. I do want to 19 ask you a few additional questions relating to your job 20 responsibilities, but before we get to that, just as 21 information for the Committee, can you tell us -- I'm 22 asking a few things about yourself. 23 Could you tell us about your 24 educational background?

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	A. Uh-huh. I have a bachelor's degree from
2	Marshall University with a major in Finance; then I
3	went to West Virginia State University and completed a
4	post-graduate program for a accounting major; and then
5	I took the CPA exam after that. In 2009, I was
6	licensed.
7	Q. Are you still currently a licensed CPA in
8	West Virginia?
9	A. Yes, I am.
10	Q. Where did you work prior to coming to the
11	Supreme Court?
12	A. I've worked in various places, but prior to
13	the Court, I was at the State Bar for a year before
14	that, and then in the private sector in manufacturing
15	prior to that.
16	Q. Okay. With regard to your job
17	responsibilities at the Court, could you explain to us
18	I understand you were hired as the Director of
19	Finance on July 1st, 2012.
20	A. Yes, that's correct.
21	Q. Could you explain to us how that division was
22	broken up or how the financial divisions are broken up
23	within the Court?
24	A. I'm not sure I

1	Q. Did you it's my understanding that there's
2	a separate Payroll Division that is separate from the
3	division in which you are the head of, or did I
4	misunderstand that?
5	A. That is correct, payroll is separate, yes.
6	Q. Okay. Are there any other separate divisions
7	or is it
8	A. No, everything else would be under under
9	well, there's other divisions, but as far as
10	financial functions, there would be payroll and then
11	everything else would be under finance. But there's
12	other divisions.
13	Q. So you were in charge of everything else
14	other than payroll.
15	A. Yes, that's correct.
16	Q. And there would have been somebody else
17	equivalent to you in charge of payroll?
18	A. That is correct, yes.
19	Q. And as far as day-to-day operations, what
20	exactly did you do on a day-to-day basis?
21	A. Day to day, answered a lot of questions,
22	because we're overseeing P-card, general accounting,
23	procurement now, financial statements, budgets. So a
24	lot of review of transactions, that sort of thing.

1 Who poses those questions to you? Do they Q. 2 come from the Administrative Director, or they come 3 from different agencies or bodies within the Court 4 itself? 5 Α. Sometimes it can be questions from the State 6 Auditor's Office, state treasurer's office, the 7 justices, the Administrative Director. Just various -various questions throughout the day. 8 9 0. About -- do you have people underneath you that you supervise? 10 11 Α. Yes, I do. 12 How many people, would you say? Ο. 13 Α. Right now, two; and each of them supervise 14 three. 15 Ο. And when did that change? Recently? 16 Α. November of 2017, uh-huh. Prior to that, they all reported directly to me; it was more flat. 17 18 Was that part of the reorganization of the Ο. 19 Court? 20 Yes, that's correct. Α. 21 Q. How many people did you supervise prior to 22 November 2017? 23 Α. All of those people, so seven -- eight. 24 Eight? Ο.

1	A. Uh-huh.
2	Q. And who did you report to directly?
3	A. I report directly to the Administrative
4	Director of the Court.
5	Q. And who was your understanding who did he
6	report to?
7	A. Director I'm sorry, the Administrative
8	Director reported directly to the justices.
9	Q. Could you tell the Committee briefly about
10	the organizational structure within the Court? Is
11	there a well-defined structure, or was it more you
12	know, was there a free-flow of information, or was
13	there just a well-defined structure as to how
14	information was to flow within the Court?
15	A. Okay. There's a very defined structure. You
16	have the five justices at the top of the hierarchy;
17	Administrative Director is below that; and then all the
18	division directors are below that. And the
19	Administrative Director is kind of the conduit in
20	relaying information from the justices to the directors
21	below and vice versa, from them to us. Always the
22	Administrative Director was was always our conduit
23	for information.
24	Q. And I want to go back briefly to the payroll

1	office being separate
2	A. Uh-huh.
3	Q from your financial division. Could you
4	tell us why if you know, why that was separated out
5	from your the control of your division?
6	A. It was separate when I was hired there. That
7	was the way it was structured, and I my
8	understanding, it had always been that way, but I don't
9	know when it began to when that started.
10	Q. Is that a normal structure for an
11	organization, to have payroll separated out from the
12	financial division, or was there typically one one
13	director that oversees all those functions?
14	A. I've actually seen it done both ways. And
15	I've looked at other some of the State agencies, and
16	some have it under finance, and some have payroll
17	separate, so it's not necessarily unusual.
18	I've seen it both ways.
19	Q. There are a few topics that we that I, at
20	least, plan on covering with you this morning. But
21	before we get into some of those in more detail, sort
22	of painting with a broad brush, I would like to talk
23	with you about Court practices regarding written
24	policies, specifically with regard to expenditures.

1 What is your understanding or 2 recollection with regard to -- to written policies, if 3 any, in the Court as it pertains to expenditures of 4 money? 5 There were no written policies pertaining to Α. 6 expenditures. 7 Is -- and -- there were no written policies 0. when you came in as -- as head of the Finance Division? 8 9 Α. That's correct, yes. 10 0. And it was never recommended to you by your 11 supervisor or his supervisors to implement written 12 policies? 13 Α. Justice Workman had requested to develop written policies for P-card usage, because that's a 14 requirement of the -- of the State Auditor's Office, 15 16 and so she had requested that of the Administrative Director, and that was in an administrative conference. 17 18 And what came of that request? Ο. 19 The Administrative Director told me that that Α. 20 was her request, but I didn't need to worry about doing 21 that, that he didn't want to develop those written 22 policies. 23 Did -- and who was the Administrative 0. 24 Director at that time?

1 At that time, it was Steve Canterbury. Α. 2 Did Mr. Canterbury ever follow up with you on 0. 3 that request from Justice Workman? 4 Α. No, he did not. 5 Did Justice Workman, to your knowledge, ever Ο. 6 follow up upon her own request? 7 She has recently asked me about it and why Α. did it not go any further, and I told her that 8 9 Mr. Canterbury had said "Don't worry about it, we're not gonna do this." 10 11 Are you currently in the stages of developing 0. 12 any sort of written policy? Has that been requested by 13 the Court? We have things in draft mode that I have 14 Α. 15 proposed. These have not been approved. I've not been 16 asked to do those things. Did you ever suggest to your supervisor, 17 Ο. 18 Mr. Canterbury at the time, that the Court needs to 19 adopt written policies with regard to expenditures? 20 Yes, that was one of the first things I Α. 21 brought up when I was hired, was asking about where our 22 policies and procedures -- where is the internal control documentation, and I was told none of those 23 24 things exist at the Court.

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

1 Who told you that? Q. 2 Mike Proops told me that. He was my Α. 3 predecessor. We trained together for about a month before he left. 4 5 Did you ever have a conversation or 0. 6 conversations with Mr. Canterbury regarding --7 regarding written policies? Yes, I did. 8 Α. 9 0. How many did you have? Just one or --I don't recall how many times we talked about 10 Α. 11 it, but I did express my concern with our lack of 12 written policies and procedures, because then you're 13 just relying on one person relaying information to the next person, and that doesn't really work very well. 14 15 Ο. Did Mr. Canterbury provide you with an 16 explanation as to why the -- it was the view of the Court not to have written policies? 17 18 I don't know if it was the view of the Court, Α. 19 but it was his view anyway, that once things are put in 20 writing, then you can be held accountable for those 21 things, and so he did not want written policies and 22 procedures. As part of your licensure or part of being a 23 0. 24 CPA, is it generally recommended that written policies

be followed with regard to expenditures of money?

1

2 Absolutely. Α. 3 Did -- at every job or organization prior to 0. 4 coming to the Court, did they have written policies 5 with regard to expenditures of money? Α. I've never worked anywhere that there 6 Yes. 7 was not documented policies and procedures. 8 When you became an employee of the Court, 0. 9 were you provided with any type of written policies at Not just with regard to expenditures of money, 10 all? 11 but with regard to conduct or any other area? 12 Α. Yes, I was provided an employee manual, 13 employee handbook, that addressed things like the Court's leave policy, vacation policy, sick leave 14 15 accrual, those type of things. And there was also a 16 section in that book on the travel policy. What is your recollection of that travel --17 Ο. 18 that specific travel policy? It was very outdated. It was -- I believe 19 Α. the date on my manual was 1990, that it was updated. 20 21 The rate -- like the mileage rate in there was not the 22 current IRS rate. It was really, really outdated, and I believe that's the same one that was on file in the 23 24 State Auditor's Office, and they often complained that

1 they couldn't audit to that because it was so outdated. 2 And the travel policy itself was updated in, Ο. 3 you think, 1990, or the whole personnel manual was 4 updated in 1990? 5 That's the date on the front of the manual, Α. on the cover sheet of it. 6 7 Can you recall any specific conversations you Ο. had with regard to expenditures of money for these --8 9 for the working lunches that they've been called with the Court? Did you have any conversations with anyone 10 11 about those or about adopting a policy for that 12 expenditure? 13 Α. No, no one ever spoke to me about the working 14 lunches. 15 0. What about personal use of -- of vehicles, Court vehicles? 16 17 Α. No, no one ever spoke to me about that 18 either. 19 What about just procurement of goods or 0. 20 services in general? 21 Α. That would have been one of the policies that 22 I was asking about developing early on, was procurement, how do we acquire things, what guidance do 23 24 we have, what rules do we have. So procurement would

1	have been one of the policies I was asking about early
2	on.
3	Q. And you were basically told that it was the
4	Court's practice not to have written policies on those
5	procedures?
6	A. Yes, that is correct.
7	Q. Now I want to direct your attention to the
8	time period in which the IRS audit occurred.
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q. Are you familiar with what I'm talking about?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Can you just tell the Committee tell the
13	Committee the time period that we're talking about,
14	that audit?
15	A. I believe the opening meeting was in May of
16	2017.
17	Q. And what was the when was the audit
18	closed?
19	A. I think they were done with their field work
20	in December, maybe November. But I think they released
21	their final report maybe in January of '18, I think was
22	the date of their final close date.
23	Q. And what was the initial scope of the audit
24	supposed to cover?

1	A. I wasn't in the opening meeting; I was on
2	vacation at that time. So I think in the opening
3	meeting, they just kind of laid out the areas where
4	they planned to start their audit, and then they were
5	gonna expand their scope beyond that.
б	I think there were some independent
7	contractor issues, and beyond that, I don't know since
8	I wasn't in that meeting.
9	Q. And do you recall what the what the
10	findings were of the audit?
11	A. There were there were multiple areas.
12	They issued I can't recall what the the names of
13	their findings were, but they issued separate findings
14	by subject matter.
15	Q. At this time, I'll direct your attention to
16	Exhibit No. 13, which should be in the notebook there
17	in front of you. And I'll give you time to review that
18	once we get to it, refresh your memory.
19	A. Okay. I'm familiar with this.
20	Q. Now, does that appear to be a true and
21	accurate copy of the summary that was provided to you
22	by the legislative auditor regarded
23	A. Yes.
24	Q the notes of your all's meeting?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And is it fair to say that it seems that the
3	focus of this document, at least, is relating to the
4	personal use of vehicles by the Court, use of State-
5	owned vehicles?
б	A. Right, yes.
7	Q. Was that an area that was covered in the IRS
8	audit?
9	A. That's my understanding that it was, yes.
10	Q. Now, the first bullet point under the use of
11	State-owned vehicles heading, could you explain to us
12	that event there?
13	A. Yes. When I was hired in July of 2017 I'm
14	sorry, 2012, I had a parking space that was near the
15	lot where the justices park, and as I walked by
16	through their parking spaces, I noticed there was a
17	State car frequently in Justice Ketchum's space.
18	And in one of my conversations with the
19	Administrative Director, then Steve Canterbury, I
20	mentioned to him that if Justice Ketchum was using that
21	for commuting, that could be a taxable fringe benefit.
22	And so that was how that that came
23	to be. I didn't know what purpose he was using the car
24	for. I didn't know if it was being reported on his

1	W-2. But I knew that that could be a taxable fringe
2	benefit.
3	Q. Well
4	A. Just because I'd seen that car there multiple
5	times.
6	Q. What was Mr. Canterbury's response to your
7	statement to him?
8	A. He told me it was none of my business and to
9	stay out of it. I'm paraphrasing, but I believe those
10	were his words, you know, just "Stay out of it."
11	Q. After that conversation that you had with
12	Mr. Canterbury, did you ever follow up on that issue?
13	Was that the only time you brought it to the Court's
14	A. That was the one time. And I didn't speak to
15	Justice Ketchum about it. You know, I was it was
16	pretty clear I was not to intervene there or say
17	anything to anybody about it.
18	Q. Did anyone contact you after that
19	conversation about the personal the use of State
20	vehicles?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Do you recall if the IRS audit had a finding
23	about that, about the personal use of State vehicles by
24	justices?

1	A. To the best of my recollection, I don't
2	believe they had a finding there, no.
3	Q. I do want to on the second page of this
4	summary of your notes, towards the bottom, the fourth
5	bullet point up, the sentence begins, "The Court used
6	the Fixed Asset Inventory Management System. Could you
7	explain to the Committee what that system is, if you
8	recall?
9	A. Yes. They had asked me about our fixed asset
10	the State I'm sorry, the Court's fixed assets and
11	how that information was kept. It should have been in
12	FIMS, which was the State's accounting software at that
13	time, but when I looked into it, there was nothing
14	added after 2010.
15	Q. And when you say "It should have been in the
16	FIMS," what is what is "it?" What should have been
17	in there?
18	A. Any fixed asset acquisitions.
19	Q. Could you give
20	A. That would be State property: A router or a
21	computer, that sort of thing. It should be recorded,
22	not only the expenditure, but the acquisition of the
23	asset should be recorded in the fixed asset system.
24	Q. Is this a system that is germane just to the

1 Court, or is this something that the -- all of state 2 qovernment uses? 3 Α. All of State government. 4 Ο. But the Court has a -- its own section for 5 the property that the Court has. 6 Yes, kind of keeping it off the -- off the Α. State's books. And I felt it should be in the State's 7 books, not separate. 8 9 0. When you say that to your knowledge, the 2010 date has not been updated with regard to the property 10 11 that the Court has. 12 When I went in and looked at that, I saw Α. nothing after 2010. 13 But is it fair to say that this is a system 14 0. 15 that -- that is -- was in place and is still currently 16 in place that could be used to track all of the -- all 17 of the real property -- or not real property, sorry. 18 -- tangible property of the Court. 19 Α. Yes, that's correct. 20 Fixed assets. Ο. 21 Α. Yes. It's now in OASIS. FIMS was 22 decommissioned and it went to OASIS, but it's the same concept, yes. 23 Would that include vehicles? 24 0.

1	Α.	Yes, it would.
2	Q.	Would it include desks?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Computers?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Couches?
7	Α.	Yes. And those are all in there now. The
8	Finance D	ivision has been working for the past several
9	months to	ensure that that is correct and complete now.
10	Q.	Now, if you could look at the Legislative
11	Auditor's	Report No. 2, which should be labeled there
12	in front o	of you up top, yeah. And look at Appendix
13	D. And i:	f you could look on page 21 under "Issue 4
14	concerns	the IRS Audit."
15		And I'll give you a moment to refresh
16	your memo:	ry on this particular section of the document.
17	Α.	Okay.
18	Q.	Okay now, I believe it may also be helpful
19	for you to	o go ahead and look at Appendix E in that same
20	report, wl	nich should be a letter authored by yourself?
21	Α.	Oh. Yes.
22	Q.	Those two issues are related; that is fair to
23	say	
24	Α.	Yes.

1	Q Justice Workman's letter and your letter.
2	Could you explain to the Committee what Justice
3	Workman's response means and what your supplementary
4	letter means as well?
5	A. Yeah. Well, I can kind of state what the
6	intent was of my letter
7	Q. Sure.
8	A that I wrote in response to Justice
9	Workman. I felt like the Post Audit Report implied
10	that I had information at my disposal or that I had had
11	knowledge of his vehicle use and how much he'd used the
12	vehicle, and that's just not true.
13	I was not in the meetings with the IRS
14	when vehicle use even came up. No one came to me and
15	said, you know, "Do you know who used the car," and I
16	just felt like I needed to address that and what my
17	involvement was in the IRS audit.
18	Q. Was that information eventually provided to
19	the IRS, the detailed information regarding the that
20	the IRS had requested in their document requests with
21	regard to the vehicles?
22	A. It was it was relayed to the IRS after the
23	legislative auditor had met with Justice Ketchum
24	personally, gone through his notes about his vehicle

1	
1	use because only he had those records as far as how
2	often he used the car, the personal use of the car,
3	that sort of thing.
4	Lori Paletta-Davis contacted the IRS
5	and met with them once that information was known, yes.
6	Q. Prior to this the issue of the personal
7	use of the vehicles arising as a result of the initial
8	media reports and the legislative auditor reports, did
9	you have any independent knowledge of personal use of
10	vehicles by Supreme Court employees?
11	A. Only what I had mentioned to Steve
12	Canterbury, and that was that I had seen a State
13	vehicle in Justice Ketchum's parking space, you know,
14	on a fairly regular basis.
15	But then I had personally switched
16	parking spaces to a different section, I was parking in
17	a different area and no longer walking through that lot
18	anymore, so I didn't have much knowledge of it beyond
19	that.
20	Q. Did you ever would the expense reports or
21	travel reimbursements have come across your desk, or
22	would that have come across somebody else's desks?
23	A. Sometimes I received them, but we have a
24	fairly big staff that processes those. We have a lot

1 of documents that come through our offices, so I don't 2 see all of them, no. 3 So you wouldn't have known the purpose of the Ο. 4 travel reimbursements or that they were -- some of them 5 were for alleged personal use of vehicles? No, I would have no -- no knowledge of that. 6 Α. 7 The next thing - and I think this was -- you Ο. stated that this was covered in the IRS audit - but the 8 9 issue of certain workers for the Court being classified as independent contractors? 10 11 Α. Yes, that's correct. 12 Could you tell the Committee something about 0. 13 -- or what you know about the practice of the Court with regard to independent contractors? 14 15 Α. The Court hired a lot of independent 16 contractors, specifically in IT. That was where the majority of the independent contractors were. And that 17 18 was mainly to work on the UJA project, which I think 19 someone else had testified about before, this -- the 20 tying in of the magistrate courts, this Unified 21 Judicial Application. 22 And a lot of those people, instead of being hired as employees, were hired as independent 23 24 The IRS reviewed that and felt they did contractors.

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 not meet the definition of an independent contractor 2 and they should have been paid as an employee and 3 received earnings on a W-2 rather than a 1099. 4 Ο. And did the Court take steps to rectify that 5 error --6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. -- in compliance? We had to be in compliance -- the 8 Α. Yes. 9 IRS gave a drop-dead date for compliance, and they'll be doing a compliance audit at some date in the future 10 11 to make sure that that's still being handled correctly 12 based on their determinations. 13 Ο. Do you know why the Court preferred to pay and classify workers as independent contractors versus 14 15 being classified as an employee? 16 Α. No, I have no idea. Did you ever hear any discussions about that 17 Ο. 18 among either your supervisors or anybody else in your 19 office, as to why that practice was being followed? 20 Not regarding the IT independent contractors, Α. 21 no. I don't know why they did that. 22 Next I will turn your attention to Exhibit Ο. No. 40, which should be in the notebook before you. 23 24 Α. Okay.

Г

1	Q. And this is a document that was prepared by
2	the State Auditor with regard to one of the independent
3	contractor employees named John Pritt. Do you recall
4	that name?
5	A. Yes, I do recall that name.
6	Q. Do you recall what he was hired to do?
7	A. He was our an independent contractor when
8	I came to work for the Court. I understood him to be
9	an IT independent contractor, but specifically what he
10	was working on, I do not know.
11	Q. Did he perform any work for you in your role
12	at the Court?
13	A. No, I was introduced to him by Scott Harvey,
14	our former IT director, and I was told that in the
15	event that I needed assistance with the OASIS project,
16	he would be available to me. He would be kind of my
17	go-to person if I needed assistance.
18	And I recall meeting him one time.
19	Beyond that, I don't recall any interaction with him.
20	Q. Did anybody direct you as to how to pay
21	Mr. Pritt? Or do you recall ever seeing invoices for
22	his work coming across your desk?
23	A. I don't know that I processed any of the
24	invoices. I know there are some of them in here. I

1 don't know that I personally did any of these. I see 2 they're signed by the former IT director, so I -- I 3 would -- if I saw this, I would have assumed that he 4 was doing work in IT. 5 But that's the person who was 6 authorizing the payment. 7 Okay. Next I want to have you take a look at 0. legislative Report No. 3. It should be at the top of 8 the desk there. Appendix C. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 11 Ο. Do you recognize this memorandum? 12 Yes, I do. Α. Could you tell the Committee -- just provide 13 0. a summary of the background of this memorandum, why it 14 15 was prepared and the issues surrounding it. 16 Α. Okav. This was prepared in November of 2016 from Steve Canterbury to the justices, and he's 17 18 explaining the spend-down of the reappropriated funds, 19 and he's kind of hitting the highlights as far as the 20 big ticket items that happened during each of those 21 fiscal years that the spend-down was occurring. 22 And we've been -- we've been using the phrase Ο. "spend-down," and I guess it was -- well, coined in 23 24 this memo, but followed up in the Legislative Auditor's

1 Report and referred to in the media as that. 2 But do you recall anybody in the Court 3 using the phrase "spend-down" during the time period in 4 which you've been employed there? 5 Α. Steve Canterbury may have referred to it that Mike Proops and I would talk -- always talked 6 way. 7 about it as using the reappropriated funds that were 8 available, that the Court had carryover reappropriated 9 funds and that we were to use those as we needed, 10 because the budget requests were not high enough to 11 meet current spending needs, and we knew that before 12 the fiscal year even started. 13 So it was -- it seemed reasonable to me 14 that we would use reappropriated money for that use. 15 0. Do you recall there -- do you recall ever 16 having a conversation with Mr. Canterbury about a deliberate spend-down of the -- almost the entire 17 18 balance of the reappropriated funds to fend off a 19 potential Constitutional amendment regarding the 20 Court's budgetary authority? No, not -- he never said it to me that way, 21 Α. 22 There was never any indication that that was the no. 23 intent. Was there any indication at all that the 24 0.

1 Court intended on spending down all of the 2 reappropriated money? 3 Α. To me, the only intent was the fact that the 4 budget request wasn't enough to meet the spending 5 needs, so it looked like it was intentional, but what the motive was, no, I don't know. 6 7 But it just mathematically made sense. If you don't ask for enough, you're gonna use some of 8 9 that reappropriated money. 10 The next exhibit I want you to take a look at 0. 11 would be Exhibit No. 69, and we're gonna skip ahead a 12 few, but --(Counsel conferred with the witness.) 13 14 Α. Okay. 15 Ο. Do you have that? 16 Α. Yes. Could you explain to the Committee what this 17 0. 18 document is? 19 These are financial statements that I Α. Yes. 20 prepare each month and distribute to the Administrative 21 Director as well as each of the five justices. And 22 this documents kind of month to month what the Court's budget is as far as what the original appropriation 23 24 was, how much has been spent year-to-date, how much

Г

1	remains in the budget.
2	And then I have that broken down by
3	fiscal year, whether it's the current year's fund or
4	that prior year, what I have labeled as Reappropriated
5	General Revenue. That would be what's sometimes
6	referred to as the surplus money, but it says
7	"Reappropriated general revenue."
8	So each month, I'm reporting to them
9	how much of that spend-down is occurring.
10	Q. And do you have well, first let's start
11	with this. Did anyone direct you to prepare this
12	this type of spreadsheet?
13	A. Yes. These actually had been used by my
14	predecessor, Mike Proops. These were the spreadsheets
15	that he had developed and distributed to the members of
16	the Court.
17	He said that this format was familiar
18	to them, this was how they preferred to see the
19	financial information, and so I took his spreadsheets
20	and just continued using those.
21	Q. So this is a document that the justices would
22	have expected to receive on at least a monthly basis?
23	A. Yes, that's correct.
24	Q. And we have is this for Fiscal Year '12 in

Г

1	front of us?
2	A. Yes, this is Fiscal 2012.
3	Q. And you have these for each year that you've
4	been at the Court?
5	A. Yes, that's correct.
6	Q. Now, could you walk us through we'll start
7	with the top page, and we can go to the second and
8	third pages as well. But just walk the Committee
9	through each what information is in each column and
10	what it means, exactly?
11	A. Sure. Sure.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. On the very first page, it says, "Supreme
14	Court of Appeals, General Revenue Expenditures, August
15	2012." So in this far left column, I have kind of the
16	titles of the different types of expenditures. The
17	next series of columns is that same time period for
18	Fiscal 2011.
19	The next column is the same time period
20	- that being July and August - of 2011. The next
21	column to the right and they're labeled "Fiscal year
22	2011," "2012" and "2013."
23	So so the 2013 column is the same
24	thing, July and August of Fiscal 2013. So this is a

1	comparison year to year by the type of expenditure so
2	they can see trends: Is a particular thing going up,
3	is a salary going up, is it going down. So that
4	they're kind of in the know as far as where the money
5	is being spent.
6	And then at the very bottom of the
7	column that says "Fiscal 2013," there's a budget amount
8	of \$127,000 I'm sorry, \$127,320,952. So that was
9	the projected budget for that fiscal year.
10	Just below that, it says, "Funding
11	Sources For Budget Amount, Current Year Appropriation,
12	\$122,320,952. Disbursements From Reappropriated
13	General Revenue, \$5,000,000."
14	So what that means is, based on this
15	budget amount, we're gonna need \$5,000,000, out of that
16	reappropriated money to meet this budget.
17	Q. Would that be $$5,000,000$ of the
18	reappropriated money from the previous year?
19	A. Yes, that's correct.
20	Q. And does that balance just carry forward each
21	year, the reappropriated balance?
22	A. Yes, the reappropriated balance rolls forward
23	from year to year. The year of the money never
24	changes, but it's it's never it doesn't revert

1 back kind of to the State coffers. It stays in the 2 judiciary. But it's available to spend until it's 3 qone. 4 0. And on the next page - next two pages, I 5 suppose - could you explain -- explain to us what these -- what these two pages mean? 6 7 Α. Yes, absolutely. The next two pages are just for that year, whereas the previous page was comparing 8 9 -- was more of a comparison of three fiscal years side by side. This one is just for a single fiscal year, 10 11 the current year. 12 And in that, I break down -- on the far 13 left side, it says, "General Revenue, Fiscal Year 2013." And then there's the heading for the different 14 15 types of spending and what the appropriation amount is, 16 what the net cash expenditures to date are and the 17 appropriation remaining balance. 18 And you can see kind of midway down 19 the page, it says, "Reappropriated General Revenue, Fiscal Year 2012, \$21,015,245.83." So that's saying 20 21 how much money at the beginning of the fiscal year was 22 available. 23 And at that point in time, we had spent 24 \$3,669,243.82 of that money. So this is telling them,

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1714

1 of that reappropriated money, each month, how much is 2 being spent. 3 And as you flip through the pages, you 4 can see the spend-down occurring. 5 Yes, and then at the very bottom, Reappropriated General Revenue, Fiscal Year 2011, we 6 7 were still carrying \$1,722,268.17 in reappropriated 8 money. 9 0. Now, is it your understanding that the -- the memorandum prepared by Steve Canterbury in Appendix C 10 11 of Report 3, that the numbers he cites in his 12 memorandum would have come from your rundown of the --13 the Court's budget or expenditures? 14 Α. Yes. So for Fiscal Year '12 in his memorandum 15 0. 16 where he states that at the end of Fiscal Year '12, the Court rolled over some 22.7 million dollars into the 17 18 next fiscal year, that figure would have been -- he 19 would have arrived at that figure by just adding the 20 reappropriated balance from 2012 of \$21,015,245.83 plus 21 the reappropriated balance of 1.7 million, 22 approximately? 23 Α. Yes, that -- that's correct, those two 24 numbers added together.

1 And for each year, his numbers would come Q. 2 from each year --3 Α. Uh-huh. 4 0. -- of breakdown that you did in the balance 5 sheet. 6 Α. Yes, that's correct. 7 And as we go forward in this -- in your 0. balance sheet, could you just briefly tell us what else 8 is included in this exhibit? 9 So in the rest of the exhibit is this same 10 Α. 11 information that I would give to the justices. There's 12 a sheet of special revenue funds. That really doesn't 13 have anything to do with the \$29,000,000. 14 But as you continue to flip through, 15 you'll see I've got reports for September, and they go 16 on through the fiscal year, and when you get to the 17 very last series of documents in that exhibit, you come 18 to June 30th, 2013, which is the end of that fiscal 19 year. 20 And so on the -- the -- on that page 21 where they have the three years -- actually, we could 22 go to the next one where it has the reappropriated It shows of the \$21,000,000 for -- in Fiscal 23 money. 24 2012, we'd started the year with \$21,015,245.83 in

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1716

	-
1	reappropriated funds, and that year, we spent
2	\$19,822,112.78. So we had 1.1 million dollars left of
3	that money.
4	Q. And if we go back to the to the first page
5	and we refer to the renovation costs and the costs of
6	design services
7	A. Uh-huh.
8	Q I believe that was provided by Silling and
9	the contractor, for the most part, was Neighborgall,
10	where would you find those expenditures in this on
11	the first page?
12	A. On the first page, that would likely be under
13	Item E, Building Repairs and Improvements.
14	Q. So each of the invoices that have been
15	provided to the Committee in the in the notebook
16	regarding the renovation costs, all of those invoices
17	would should total up to the number presented in
18	this balance sheet.
19	A. It should. It could there could be some
20	in another line, but when I look at this I think
21	they changed slightly over time. But right, they
22	should they should be in that Line E.
23	And Line E showed \$142,300. And that
24	was just for the month of months of July and August
l	

1	of 2012.
2	Q. And that information would have been provided
3	each year each month of each year as long as you've
4	been employed by the Court?
5	A. Yes, that's correct.
6	Q. So the Court, every month, would know exactly
7	how much money was in their budget and how much money
8	was being spent for renovations, say, in total. Not,
9	you know, individual invoices, but at least the dollar
10	amount in total. The Court should be aware of that.
11	A. They should be aware of that. It would have
12	been it would have been encompassed in these
13	financial statements, yes.
14	Q. And we talked about the reappropriated
15	amounts of money. Can you explain to us the process by
16	which that money is reappropriated and then how it is
17	depleted over time, basically how that balance is
18	carried forward and then certain years are depleted and
19	you move on to the next year?
20	A. Yeah, I could try try to explain that. So
21	when an when a specific expenditure or specific
22	specific invoice is being entered, it generally
23	defaults to the current fiscal year as far as when
24	you're entering it on the screen.

1 But if you have reappropriated money 2 you intend to use, there's a field there where you key 3 in that date to use prior years' money. 4 So it's something -- it's a manual 5 process to kind of go back and grab prior year money to use it. 6 7 But only -- only certain expenditures would 0. be approved to come out of the reappropriated money 8 9 versus the -- the fiscal year budget? Yes, that's correct. It depends on the type 10 Α. of money. At the State, there's appropriations, and 11 12 those being personal services, employee benefits, 13 things like that. And so only if it's in that category 14 can you use that money. 15 You can't use payroll -- reappropriated payroll money to use -- to pay for office supplies, 16 that sort of thing. 17 18 Is there a separate account in which the 0. 19 reappropriated money is held? 20 No, it's in the State's general revenue -- in Α. 21 the Court's general revenue fund. 22 So the only way to ascertain what is Ο. 23 reappropriated money versus what is budgeted money is 24 through these spreadsheets?

1	A. Yes, that's correct. You have to look at the
2	there's a the section that gives the fiscal
3	year's money, and so they're all in a fund together,
4	but they are segregated by year.
5	Q. Okay. Next I want to talk to you about the
6	expenditures and renovations and the and the costs
7	and invoices in that notebook. But before we get to
8	the notebook, I want to call your attention to Exhibit
9	No. 60.
10	A. Okay.
11	Q. Do you recognize this document?
12	A. Yes. We received this by e-mail, I believe,
13	from Silling Associates.
14	Q. And what is the document?
15	A. It's the initial contract for Silling to do
16	work at the Court.
17	Q. Were there any amendments to this contract or
18	any additional contracts completed, to your knowledge,
19	as the renovations took place at the Court? Or is this
20	the only contract?
21	A. Actually, I need to I need to correct
22	something. I look at this now, and it says "Silling
23	Associates," but I flip to the last page, and this is
24	actually the contract between Neighborgall and the

1	Court. Yeah, there it is, and the contractor
2	Neighborgall. I think because I saw Silling at the
3	bottom, I
4	Q. So this is the contract with Neighborgall,
5	not Silling
6	A. Right, right, yes. Sorry about that. Yes.
7	Q. And I'll repeat my question. Is this the
8	only contract that you're aware of that was executed
9	during the renovation process, or were there amendments
10	to this?
11	A. This is the only contract that we were able
12	to receive from Neighborgall. I believe this is the
13	only contract that they had.
14	Q. Are you aware of how the additional costs
15	were incurred for the renovations that are not
16	contemplated by this contract?
17	A. Yes, they were all put on change orders by
18	Neighborgall. That's how Neighborgall billed them, was
19	through change orders.
20	Q. And if you can look on page 3, could you tell
21	us what that initial contract sum is supposed to be?
22	A. Yes, the
23	Q. Or was.
24	A initial contract sum amount is \$876,156.

1 Next we'll turn to the expenditures in the --Q. 2 in the renovation notebook that I believe you prepared, and that is -- I'll turn your attention to Exhibit No. 3 4 41. 5 MS. NEWBERGER: It's this one. 6 THE WITNESS: Where is -- Exhibit 41? 7 Oh, here. Okay. 8 Α. Okay. 9 0. And if you want, you can go ahead and flip through that document a few pages and tell us if you 10 11 recognize the pages that are in that exhibit. 12 Α. The only pages that are new to me are the 13 charts that are in here. Is it fair to say that those charts are just 14 0. 15 the same figures that are provided in your summary, 16 just in a different format? Yes, that's correct. 17 Α. 18 Now, I don't -- I don't know if you have that 0. 19 before you, but is there a figure in there as to the --20 the total of the entire expenditures that are contained 21 within that notebook? 22 I -- not that I can find. I didn't total it Α. I'd segregated everything out because that's what 23 up. 24 I was kind of tasked with doing, was segregating

1 everything.

Q. Are you generally aware of what the total is?
A. I believe it was around 3.6, 3.7 million,
4 once you include Silling in that.

Q. Now could you tell the Committee how this notebook came to be, why it was constructed, who -- who directed you to complete it?

A. Yes, absolutely. Kennie Bass made an inquiry 9 of the Court in -- I believe it was October 18th of 10 2017, and he was questioning specific expenditures in 11 Justice Loughry's office, and he also inquired about 12 the total cost of Justice Loughry's office, and was it 13 twice as much as any other justice.

And so in order to answer that second 15 -- I think the first part of his inquiry was pretty 16 straightforward, but the second part, in order to 17 answer that, we had to calculate the cost of each 18 office, which had not been done up to that point.

So I was asked to start doing that, because I would have access to all those financial records, even the ones that -- the expenditures and renovations that happened before I started working for the Court. I could go back and dig those out. And so I began pulling invoices. I

1	went and got some documents from Kim Ellis from her
2	construction, kind of design file, so I could kind of
3	find what was in each office I needed to go look for.
4	I walked through some of the chambers,
5	looked around, see what I could find so I would know
6	what I was looking for. And I began to assemble the
7	information and had it in manila folders, and that
8	quickly got unruly, so I started putting them in a
9	binder together because it was just it was easier
10	for me to follow that way.
11	And then I had started creating the
12	spreadsheets, kind of tallying up each office with the
13	idea of being able to answer that question about "Was
14	Justice Loughry's office twice as much as any other."
15	Q. Was there any additional information that you
16	had to add to that to that notebook since then?
17	A. Yes, once the notebook was complete. And
18	that was it was done fairly rapidly - it was about
19	three weeks start to finish - because we needed to get
20	that information out, in response to what I at that
21	time, I believed was a FOIA.
22	And so I knew that over time, there
23	would be things other things I would run across that
24	you know, it wasn't like I had some really, really

1 long period of time to do this. It was pretty rapid. 2 So I knew there would be things I would 3 find later that were responsive that should have been 4 included in the book. And so I started gathering a 5 file on those types of things. 6 And so there are supplemental documents 7 now that we know that relate to each office. And I will go ahead and direct you to Exhibit 8 0. No. 61. 9 10 (Counsel conferred with the witness.) 11 Ο. And do you recognize this memorandum? 12 Yes, I do. Α. Could you tell the Committee what it is? 13 Ο. 14 This is a memo from me to Barbara Allen Α. Yes. 15 dated July 30th, and it's the supplemental items that 16 were located after that -- after the initial binder was 17 put together. 18 These are items that, as I said, I knew 19 - just due to the time frame of producing this -- this 20 document - there would be things I would run across 21 later, and so these are the supplemental things that 22 have been found since then. 23 I do want to back up and say that when 24 I put my binder together initially, it was for my own

1	personal records, is what I intended it to be. It
2	wasn't produced as if it was gonna be released to the
3	public and and for anyone to use, or it wasn't meant
4	to be an audited final findings of the office
5	renovation costs.
б	It was, at that date, the information I
7	had located as of that date, you know, just as
8	completely and thoroughly as I could do it.
9	So had I known that, I would have put
10	some kind of disclaimer in there that these types of
11	things would likely occur, because I knew that was
12	gonna happen.
13	Q. And so is it fair to say that this
14	supplementary supplementary information added to the
15	original notebook, is that a complete record of all the
16	expenditures to your knowledge?
17	A. As of as of today, yes. But that's not to
18	say tomorrow I won't run across something and look at
19	the look at an old invoice and realize that was
20	related as well.
21	Q. And it's my understanding that there was
22	may have been a a miscommunication or confusion
23	regarding requests that that have alleged to have
24	been made regarding the information that would have

1 been included in the notebook? 2 Are you aware of what I'm talking 3 about? 4 Α. Yes, I do. 5 Do you want to clear that up for the Ο. 6 Committee? 7 Α. Yeah. I think there was maybe a misunderstanding that Justice Loughry had asked me to 8 remove certain information from the book, and -- and 9 10 that was not the case at all. 11 When I prepared the book, I realized 12 that the Silling architectural firm, we did not have 13 the costs in any kind of detail in that master book because they didn't break it down by office. 14 So that had been omitted from Justice 15 16 Benjamin, Justice Workman, Justice Ketchum and Justice There were no architectural fees Loughry's office. 17 18 allocated to their offices, and yet Justice Davis' 19 office and Justice Walker's office did have those fees 20 because they had used a different architectural firm. 21 So I believed that it did not provide a 22 good kind of apples-to-apples comparison if you looked at the offices, so I wanted to remove those. I wanted 23 24 to remove the architectural fees so that every -- all

1 offices were treated the same. 2 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Excuse me, Ms. Troy. 3 Would you mind moving that mic just a little closer? Ι 4 think some of our members are having a little trouble 5 hearing you. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 7 DELEGATE PUSHKIN: We can't hear John. John, speak up a 8 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: 9 little bit, please. I lost my train of thought. Do you -- do you 10 Ο. 11 recall why some of the expenditures were not included 12 in some of the justices' invoices and why there were 13 expenditures in others? Is there a reason for that? Silling, I was unable to -- they did 14 Α. Yes. 15 not provide any breakdown of which office they was 16 working in, so there was no way that I could allocate 17 those fees correctly because I didn't have any basis 18 for the allocation. 19 Whereas Justice Davis and Justice 20 Walker had used a different architectural firm, there 21 was a -- I knew exactly which office they were working 22 And that was why I had asked to remove those. in. I did not -- at the time, I didn't 23 24 realize that that was the case for Justice Walker's

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

1	office. I did catch that for Justice Davis' office,
2	and I had mentioned that to Justice Loughry, that "I
3	want to kind of do this apples-to-apples comparison,"
4	and he said, "No, leave it in there, because it's
5	correct, her office costs are stated correctly," which
6	is true. But the others were actually understated
7	because of the lack of the the Silling allocation.
8	But we continue to ask Silling for
9	details to in order to get true costs by office,
10	which we still don't know to date.
11	Q. Who was this notebook provided to?
12	A. I had the the master copy that I had made
13	for myself, and there was just that one, just an
14	original book. And then Judge Johnson was getting
15	he was then the Administrative Director, and he was
16	getting requests from the justices, questions about one
17	thing or another.
18	And so he said to me, "Would you make
19	me a copy of that book so that I'll have a copy and
20	I can help answer questions." I said, "Sure, I'll make
21	you a copy." So I made Judge Johnson a copy.
22	And in a meeting with Kennie Bass, that
23	book was handed to him, Judge Johnson's copy. So I
24	believe Kennie Bass' book that he has has "Judge

Johnson" on the front of it. 1 2 So there were -- to answer your 3 question, there were two sets. 4 0. Did any of the individual justices ever ask 5 you to update them on the specific costs of the renovations in their offices? 6 7 Α. Yes, all of them did. All right. When did those update requests 8 Ο. 9 occur? Once I was -- as I was assembling the -- the 10 Α. 11 book, you know, my -- kind of my notes, they were all 12 very -- they wanted to inquire about what I was coming 13 up with as far as their true office renovation costs. Because I got the impression that nobody really knew 14 15 what their offices cost, and they were anxious to see 16 that. 17 And so I had inquiries from each of the 18 justices wanting me to send them the spreadsheets I'd 19 They wanted to look at the documents; they created. wanted to look at the invoices themselves to see if 20 21 they were correct. 22 And that occurred after the initial FOIA Ο. 23 request by the -- by Kennie Bass? 24 Yes, that started to occur once I started to Α.

1	work on assembling that information. During those
2	three weeks when I was working on putting the binder
3	together, I spoke with each of the justices about their
4	offices.
5	Q. And what time period are we talking about
6	again?
7	A. October 18th, I believe, of 2017. And the
8	book was completed - I think it was - November the 8th,
9	maybe, 2017, when it was given to Kennie Bass at that
10	date.
11	Q. Prior to that date, did any of the justices
12	inquire with you as to the costs of the renovations
13	A. No
14	Q in their offices?
15	A. I'm sorry. No, never.
16	Q. Okay.
17	(Counsel conferred with the witness.)
18	A. There's there's one thing I'd like to
19	clear up as well, if I can.
20	Q. Sure.
21	A. In the front of the book that I had given to
22	Judge Johnson, I had copied not this entire Silling
23	book, but just the the summary page of the Silling
24	so that he he had that information as well, as far

1 as what he -- he knew what was not in that book. 2 And so the book that Kennie Bass 3 received should have had Silling in the very front of 4 it, so he would have known the architectural costs as well. 5 When you add together the -- the total of the 6 0. 7 Silling costs, along with the renovation costs by Neighborgall, does that represent an accurate figure as 8 9 to the total amount expended on renovations? 10 You have to add in the furniture as well, Α. 11 because furniture was purchased from different vendors. 12 So there's actually -- those are -- that's the bulk of 13 the expenditures, is Silling and Neighborgall. 14 But then there's more beyond that, and that's the furniture. And that -- that is all in that 15 16 book. 17 Okay. If I could turn your attention to Ο. 18 Exhibit No. 62. Do you recognize this document? 19 That's -- we received this from Α. Yes, I do. 20 Silling. That is their contract with the Court for the 21 architectural and design services. 22 To your knowledge, is this the only contract Ο. that was executed between the Court and Silling for 23 their services? 24

A. This is the only contract that I've ever
seen. This is the only one that Silling has been able
to provide to us. If there were others, I haven't seen
them yet.
Q. And on the second page, could you tell the
Committee what the estimated project fee
A. Yes, the estimated project fee is \$81,380.
Q. And if you could go ahead and flip over to
Exhibit No. 63. Do you recognize what the what the
first two pages are in Exhibit 63?
A. Yes. I printed these from FIMS, and you can
see at the bottom, I printed these on October 27th,
2017, so this was during the time that I was doing my
research and putting together these construction costs.
So this is the total amount paid to
Silling. And on the second page, I had circled
"Supreme Court, \$893,965.68." So that told me how much
I needed to go find in invoices for them.
Q. But on the initial contract with Silling, the
estimated amount was only to be \$81,000, approximately?
A. Yes. The original amount is \$81,380.
One thing I do want to point out, Steve
Steve Canterbury signed this contract - it looks
like - 9-19-08, and if I go to the kind of detail page

1	of the Silling payments, two of these payments were
2	prior to that. There were two payments in 1998. And
3	that's, you know, quite a bit prior to when he signs
4	the document.
5	So I think these two may have been
6	unrelated. I don't know. I don't have any details on
7	what they did at that time. And so I'd made the note
8	in the Comments section that I don't know what those
9	two payments were for.
10	And I don't have a contract for any of
11	that either. I was not able to locate anything.
12	Q. Okay. And those are the the top two
13	payments there on the third page of Exhibit 63?
14	A. Yes, that's correct.
15	Q. Now, the rest of the payments would have been
16	issued under this contract for services rendered by
17	Silling?
18	A. Yes, that's correct.
19	Q. The remaining pages - and if we could just go
20	go page by page - if you could explain to the
21	Committee what what each of those
22	A. Okay.
23	Q are, beginning with the the one that
24	has "Invoices on the 4th Floor, Chief Counsel's

1	Office."
2	A. Where I have the summary because they're
3	at first, there's kind of a consolidated and then I
4	start breaking them out.
5	Q. Yeah, when you start breaking them out of the
6	summary.
7	A. Okay, yeah. So using the descriptions on the
8	invoice, I put them in the Comments field and then
9	I sorted them and subtotaled them so I could see how
10	much Silling billed for for work in the chief counsel's
11	office, and they billed \$90,350.88.
12	But according to their documents,
13	that's where that work was done.
14	Q. Then the next page?
15	A. The next page, they billed it as "Justices
16	Offices Renovations" and they have that starting March
17	of 2009 and completing in March of 2013 at a cost of
18	\$374,139.81.
19	Q. And that includes all of the justices'
20	chambers together.
21	A. It should.
22	Q. Is that accurate?
23	A. But there was work done in Justice Loughry's
24	chambers after this date, and and they're not billed

1 that way, so I don't know how Silling's billing worked. 2 But during the time of his renovations, 3 there's only one invoice, and that's the one at the 4 very bottom for \$4,050, but there was more work done in 5 there than that. There -- the scope of the work should have cost more than \$4,050, so I don't feel that this 6 7 is terribly accurate from their billing, not -- not that this is put together incorrectly, but their 8 9 billing --10 From Silling's perspective --Ο. 11 Α. Yes. 12 -- as far as their billing. 0. 13 Α. Yeah, the time frame doesn't match up with 14 the invoices. 15 Ο. And what is the -- what are the next two 16 pages? The next one is some work in the law library. 17 Α. 18 Oh, here's the clerk's office. Their 19 fees in the clerk's office, \$283,224.73. 20 What's the next summary? 0. 21 Α. They did work in the first floor hallway. 22 That's kind of the public area over in the east wing. \$20,133.81. They were -- they were doing some kind of 23 24 project with the lights.

1 And what about the next invoice? Q. 2 The next one says "West Virginia House and Α. 3 Senate Elevator Upgrade" for \$9,572. 4 Ο. So does that indicate that the Court paid for 5 an elevator upgrade in the House or the Senate? 6 Well, that's what it says. There's design Α. 7 work, development work and construction documents. Ι don't see any actual construction. 8 It seems like some 9 kind of professional services maybe, but that's how they billed it, and then it's approved for payment by 10 11 Steve Canterbury. What is the next invoice cover sheet? 12 Ο. 13 Α. The next two pages are those payments from 1998 that I don't have any detail on. 14 There's no 15 scanned documents because the scanning wasn't available 16 at that period of time. So all I have are the two payments, and other than the dollar amount and the 17 18 vendor, I don't really have anything other than that. 19 And what are the last two pages? 0. The next to the last one is the invoice that 20 Α. 21 says "For Courtroom Restoration, \$215.63" and there's 22 that House and Senate Elevator Upgrade again at the very end. 23 I think this was actually billed in one 24

1 of these. I think these are just some backup 2 documentation. 3 Ο. Now if I could direct your attention to 4 Exhibit No. 64. Do you recognize what that document 5 is? 6 Yes, I do. Α. 7 Ο. Could you explain to the Committee what it is? 8 9 Α. Yes. I'm copying Judge Johnson, who then was the Administrative Director, on a memo that I'd sent to 10 11 Justice Davis where she and I talked about some items 12 in her office that she believed were her personal 13 items, and according to my records, they had been purchased with State funds. 14 15 0. Now, within that memorandum, under the first 16 bullet point, you refer to a March 14th, 2016 memo? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Do you see that in front of you? 0. 19 Α. Yes, I do. 20 Could you explain to the Committee what that Ο. 21 memorandum is? 22 Is -- oh, there we go. That's Exhibit 64, Α. right, the memorandum? 23 24 0. Correct.

1	A. Okay. On Exhibit 64, the mem this is a
2	I guess a memorandum from Steve Canterbury to Justice
3	Davis, and he says he's memorializing the decorative
4	items, including furniture, that are in her chambers
5	that belong to her personally.
6	Judge Johnson's office located this
7	during the time that I was putting together all this
8	information on the on the offices, and so he thought
9	that it was relevant, that this may be helpful to me
10	while I'm searching through documents.
11	And I noticed once I started working on
12	her office that some of the items on here, I was seeing
13	payments out of State funds for. Specifically, the
14	the sofa. And so I went up and spoke to her.
15	She requested that I come up and speak
16	to her about that, and so we went through everything in
17	her office, you know, as
18	You'd asked me about earlier, did they
19	inquire about their offices, and they did. So she had
20	documents from me showing what was purchased with State
21	funds , and it didn't match up with what she believed
22	was her per you know, her personal items.
23	Q. Do you have any knowledge as to whether this
24	memo from 2016 was delivered, actually delivered, to

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1739

1

3

5

6

8

9

11

15

17

18

21

22

0.

Justice Davis? 2 I have no knowledge of that, no. It's -- the Α. memo isn't signed. I don't know if she received it or 4 not. And after you provided this memorandum, the 0. October 24th, 2017 memorandum, after you delivered that 7 to Justice Davis, did you have any discussions regarding the information contained in the memo with Justice Davis? She and I went over that, actually, the day 10 Α. before this, on October 23rd. She -- Justice Davis, 12 myself and Kim Ellis sat down in her chambers and went 13 through item by item that we could see what was purchased with State funds, what was her personal 14 property. 16 She asked me to document this for her this way so that she could repay the State for these items that she said she was not aware that State money 19 had been spent on. 20 And is it your understanding that Justice 0. Davis made those reimbursements subsequent to receiving that memorandum from you? Yes, she did. 23 Α. 24 Now, before we move into the -- the 1099 West

1	Virginia-48 form issue, there's one other thing that I
2	want to discuss with you that I think occurred during
3	this time period in which you were constructing this
4	notebook.
5	Do you recall a meeting that took place
6	in I believe it was Justice Loughry's office, with
7	Judge Johnson present
8	A. Yes, I do.
9	Q and yourself?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Who else was present in that meeting?
12	A. If that's the October, I think, 19th meeting
13	in his office
14	Q. That's correct.
15	A that was Judge Johnson, myself, Chris
16	Morris, Lori Paletta-Davis, Justice Loughry.
17	We were all meeting in Judge Johnson's
18	office to talk about how to respond to this FOIA from
19	Kennie Bass, who was gonna put what documents together
20	and how would this be responded to.
21	We talked about my work on what I was
22	gathering as far as financial documents, so that was
23	who was in the room, and that's what we were talking
24	about at that time.

1	Q. Do you recall Kim Ellis being requested to
2	come to the meeting?
3	A. Yes, Justice Loughry asked that she be called
4	into the meeting.
5	Q. Can you tell us about that interaction when
6	she got to the meeting?
7	A. Justice Loughry asked her about the cost of
8	his office and why his renovation cost because from
9	what we could see so far I mean, this was very early
10	on to me gathering this information.
11	why his office was so much more
12	expensive. He said that to the best of my
13	recollection, he had said that he instructed her that
14	his office should be no more than Justice Ketchum's
15	office, and he asked her if she remembered that, and
16	she said no, she did not have that conversation with
17	him.
18	Q. And then what did he did he say anything
19	after that?
20	A. I believe he repeated that and said, "Yes, we
21	did, we did talk about that." And she said, "No" I
22	mean, this is my recollection of the conversation,
23	because I was just kind of sitting back and looking at
24	my binder and thinking about what I was working on.

1742

1	But that was how I recall the
2	interaction between the two of them, was that he
3	insisted that they had had a conversation, that she
4	said, no, they did not have that conversation.
5	Q. What is your recollection of Justice
6	Loughry's tone towards Kim Ellis?
7	A. I took it to be kind of a reprimand to her
8	that how was his office allowed to get so expensive.
9	And that was how I interpreted it. It was kind of a
10	scolding of her as far as she allowed the costs to
11	these costs to go over what he had instructed her to
12	spend no more than a certain amount.
13	Q. Did you give any thought as to whether or not
14	Kim Ellis would have knowledge as to the the costs
15	of individual justices' offices?
16	A. Kim would have had no knowledge of that
17	because no one had ever tallied the office costs, ever.
18	I had never been asked to do that.
19	Justice Ketchum's office was remodeled
20	prior to the time that I started working for the Court,
21	so I didn't have that even institutional knowledge of
22	what it would have been. I had to go back and pull,
23	you know, kind of old notes and old financial records.
24	Kim did not work for the Court during

,	
1	that time; she worked for Silling. So she wouldn't
2	have had knowledge of that. So he was asking her
3	something she wouldn't have known.
4	I mean, had had he said that to her,
5	she wouldn't have known how much Justice Ketchum's
6	office cost.
7	Q. Did Just is it your perception that
8	Justice Loughry would have known or should have known
9	that she would have no idea what the cost of
10	renovations would be?
11	A. I don't know if he would have known or not
12	known that. I I don't know, because he never asked
13	me if I knew how much Justice Ketchum's office cost.
14	So I don't know
15	Q. Would he have been aware of when Kim Ellis
16	became employed by the Court?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Did you have any other meetings with Justice
19	Loughry regarding the renovations that you can recall?
20	A. Just the same meetings I had with the other
21	justices, as far as their own personal offices, yes.
22	Q. And the substance of those meetings would
23	have been the the total amount of the renovations
24	for each office? Because I believe you testified

1 earlier that they were curious as to the amounts in their offices? 2 3 Α. Yes, they wanted to know --4 0. Is that what you said? 5 -- about their individual offices, yes. Α. So now the last topic or next to last topic 6 0. 7 that I want to cover with you is this so-called 1099 issue, the West Virginia-48 forms. Are you aware of 8 9 what I'm referring to when I -- when I say that? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Could you explain to the Committee the Ο. 12 circumstances surrounding the issuance of the -- of the 13 1099s for senior status judges? Senior status judges -- it's been the 14 Α. 15 practice of the Court to keep senior status judges on 16 payroll up until they meet some certain threshold, which I've been told is around \$20,000. I don't know 17 18 the exact dollar amount. 19 But payroll notifies -- I believe they 20 notify the recusal assistant of when they're 21 approaching that cap, as far as what they can --22 If I could stop you there, what is the cap Ο. you're referring to? 23 24 My understanding is it's the amount that they Α.

1 can earn on payroll without a reduction in their retirement benefits. 2 3 Ο. Are you aware of what that amount is or what 4 it's equivalent to? 5 I don't know specifically, but I've heard Α. it's around \$20,000. 6 7 Okay. And I'm sorry, go ahead and continue 0. 8 with what you're --9 Α. I'm just not that familiar with the retirement board rules and regulations. But once they 10 earn some certain amount, their -- my understanding is 11 12 their retirement benefits are reduced at that point. 13 And so at some point, the Court decided 14 they would switch them over to independent contractor 15 status and pay them on a 1099. 16 Ο. And so the -- the \$20,000 amount, that is an amount that the judges, the senior status judges, are 17 18 paid as a per diem basis? Or they're -- the amount 19 that they're being compensated for sitting as a senior 20 status judge; is that correct? 21 Α. I believe they're paid \$435.00 per day for 22 their services, and so once the \$435.00 per day reaches around \$20,000, that's when they're -- they start 23 24 watching -- payroll starts watching that number, so

1	that they don't go over \$20,000.
2	Q. And what happens when a particular senior
3	status senior status judge begins to approach that
4	\$20,000 benchmark?
5	A. A WV-48 form is filled out for that senior
6	status judge when they anticipate they may go over the
7	threshold.
8	Q. Could you tell the Committee what a WV-48
9	form is?
10	A. It's a State purchasing form. It reads as a
11	independent contractor form, because there's a section
12	about "I am" or "am not" "a full-time employee of the
13	State."
14	It outlines the scope of the work to be
15	performed. At the bottom left, I believe it says
16	"Agency Head," there's a signature line and a date
17	line, and then to the bottom right, the person filling
18	out the WV-48 or the person who's named on the
19	WV-48, signs and dates it.
20	Q. And if we can back up just a little bit, what
21	is the could you explain to the Committee members,
22	those who may not know, what's the difference between
23	treated as a W-2 worker as opposed to a 1099 worker is?
24	A. Yeah, a W-2 worker is an employee. They can

1	be a temporary employee; they could be a full-time/
2	permanent. It could be any employment status. But the
3	Court the employer will withhold payroll taxes and
4	submit those taxes and pay employer taxes as well on
5	behalf of that employee.
6	A person paid on a 1099 is responsible
7	for all of their own tax reporting; nothing's withheld
8	from their payments.
9	Q. And so for each 1099 worker, a WV-48 form is
10	filled out to identify what exactly does their
11	they're being paid for?
12	A. I could only say that's true for the senior
13	status judges. For other independent contractors -
14	like the IT independent contractors - in our records,
15	we don't always have WV-48s. But it seems to be the
16	standard practice that that was done for the senior
17	status judges.
18	Q. In other I know you testified that you
19	were aware that there is a statutory limit on the
20	amount of compensation that a senior status judge can
21	earn. Is that correct?
22	A. I I've
23	(Counsel conferred with the witness.)
24	A. What I was told was the \$20,000 cap was a

1	retirement board cap, that that was gonna affect their
2	retirement. I think that you asked about statutory.
3	That has not been discussed with me.
4	Q. So the \$20,000 cap was a limit that that
5	you understand was communicated by the retirement board
6	to the Court.
7	A. Yes, that's correct.
8	Q. And if they exceeded this limit and by
9	"they," I mean the senior status judges. If they
10	exceeded the \$20,000 limit, then that would affect
11	their retirement; is that correct?
12	A. Yes, that's correct.
13	Q. And the Court had a specific person that
14	would basically check on how much money a senior status
15	judge was earning to ascertain whether or not that
16	senior status judge was approaching the \$20,000 limit?
17	A. I can't speak to how that information was
18	communicated from one to the other. We do have a
19	person who handles recusals, when a judge recuses him
20	or herself, and that person works with the Chief
21	Justice to assign a judge in that you know, for that
22	vacancy.
23	I don't know how closely she
24	communicates with payroll. I don't know if she gets

1 the information from them, they provide it to her. Ι 2 can't really speak to that. 3 But I know that in that process, that 4 create -- somewhere in there, the WV-48 is prompted. 5 We don't ask for those. Those come to us once the Chief Justice has authorized that to happen. 6 7 Authorized what to happen? Ο. The senior status judge to be paid as an 8 Α. independent contractor. 9 10 That's something the Chief Justice would be 0. aware of. 11 12 Α. The WV-48 forms that I have in my office are always signed by the Chief Justice. 13 Who initially creates the WV-48? Is that 14 0. 15 something that's created by you or the -- you said 16 there was a recusal clerk or --I don't know who creates the WV-48s. I know 17 Α. 18 they are not created by our -- by our office. They're 19 not created in Finance, so --20 Let me clarify. I don't mean the detail Q. 21 included on the actual form. I just mean, who takes 22 the form for the specific senior status judge and fills in the information that then is passed on to the Chief 23 Justice to be authorized? 24

1	A. That's the part I'm not sure about. I think
2	it's that the recusal assistant, but I'm not
3	positive about that. I do know that finance doesn't do
4	those. I believe that the recusal assistant but
5	that's just what I believe happens.
6	Q. Are you aware of how this I mean, I was
7	just asking about a specific part of the process.
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q. But are you aware of how this process works
10	overall, as far as you know, when it's triggered
11	with the recusal clerk, as to approaching this \$20,000
12	limit, what happens after that and then the you
13	know, the next step after that until the senior status
14	judge is paid as a 1099 employee?
15	A. No, I don't I don't know all those
16	details, how it gets communicated back and forth, no.
17	Q. At what point do you become aware that a
18	senior status judge is being treated as an independent
19	contractor?
20	A. From the files in my office - at least on one
21	occasion - I had a memo from the Deputy Administrative
22	Director. She had she was sending the memo to the
23	senior status judge indicating, "Here's your here's
24	your form for the WV-48," and she kind of outlined some

1 -- you know, letting that person know they're 2 responsible for paying their own taxes and that sort of 3 thing. 4 And then that form came to me - I'm copied on the form - and the WV-48 came along with 5 6 that. 7 Do you recall if this was a practice that was 0. being followed when you first came to the Court? 8 9 Α. The Court was already following that practice when I was hired, yes. 10 11 Ο. Do you recall or have any knowledge as to how 12 long this practice has been followed? 13 Α. No, I have no idea when it started. 14 0. About how many senior status judges a year 15 exceed this -- this limitation? 16 Α. I don't know how many -- I don't know specifically how many exceed it. In a meeting the 17 18 other day, I said maybe three to four, but I think it 19 may be just -- I'm going to say between one and three 20 per year probably. 21 It's not a frequent occurrence to go 22 over that -- that \$20,000 cap. We have a lot of senior status judges, but I think the majority stay below the 23 24 But there are occasions when they go over their cap.

1 | cap.

And you just -- you testified a moment ago 2 0. 3 that when the WV-48 forms are being filled out, that 4 you -- you recall an e-mail, I think, being sent to one 5 of the senior status judges. Is there any type of cover document or informational document provided to 6 7 the senior status judge about the WV-48 form or why they're being treated as an independent contractor? 8 9 Α. That document I was referring to was actually a memo from the Deputy Administrative Director, and it 10 11 was doing that specific thing. It outlined kind of the 12 steps of an independent contractor and why that person

13 was being changed over to the status of an independent 14 contractor.

In my files, I just had the one, but it looked like a form letter, so I think there could be others that, for some reason or another, I just didn't, you know, stick in this file.

19 Q. But you're not aware of whether those -- that 20 cover letter would be provided to every senior status 21 judge that would exceed that limitation?

A. I cannot say that they did or did not, right.I don't know that.

24

Q. Now, if I can, I will direct your attention

1	to Exhibit No. 65. Do you recognize what that document
2	is?
3	A. Yes, that's an invoice from Judge Keadle,
4	filling in as a senior status judge, and it says
5	"Senior Status Independent Contractor" at the top.
6	Q. And the second page of that exhibit, page 1
7	of the Supreme Court invoice. Can you tell the
8	Committee what that document is?
9	A. Oh. I'm sorry, that's what I was referring
10	to when I answered the first question. I'm sorry.
11	Q. Oh, I'm sorry, I thought you were looking at
12	the cover page. Well, then we'll go ahead and skip to
13	the fourth page of this exhibit. Could you explain to
14	the Committee what that document is?
15	A. Is this the WV-48 form?
16	Q. Correct.
17	A. I want to make sure I'm on the right page.
18	Yes.
19	Q. Yes.
20	A. Okay. So this is the Agreement form between
21	Judge Keadle and the Court outlining the scope of his
22	work. It says he's to be appointed as a senior status
23	judge, and that this dates of service cover April
24	16th, 2013 to December 31, 2013. Gives the daily rate

1 of pay of \$435.00, and is signed by the Chief Justice 2 at that time, Justice Benjamin, and Judge Keadle has 3 signed -- and I see his Social Security number's on 4 there. He signed on the bottom right. 5 Yeah, we'll -- we'll redact that. And one of Ο. these forms would have been filled out for each justice 6 7 that -- or not each justice. -- for each senior status judge that 8 9 would exceed that limitation you were talking about? I've not gone back and personally audited all 10 Α. 11 of these. But there should be one of these for each 12 one, so I would expect to find them. I know that in my 13 file, I had probably ten of these. 14 But I don't want to testify that 15 there's absolutely one for each one. There should be. 16 Ο. But it would have been practice of the Court to have one of these filled out for a senior status 17 18 judge that is exceeding that limitation? 19 That is correct, that would be the practice, Α. 20 yes. 21 Q. And can you go to Exhibit No. 66? And if you 22 could flip to the last page on that, the WV-48 form. For which judge was this document completed? 23 24 This is a senior status form for Judge Thomas Α.

1	Steptoe, same thing, and it outlines the dates of
2	service from June 25th, 2012 to December 31, 2012. So
3	any work performed during those days would have been
4	paid according to this form.
5	And it's signed by Justice Ketchum and
6	signed by Judge Steptoe, and again, his Social Security
7	number is on this one as well.
8	Q. And if you could turn to Exhibit No. 67. And
9	explain the WV-48 form, the text of that exhibit,
10	please.
11	A. This one is for Judge Keadle covering the
12	dates of service of June 30th, 2014-December 31, 2014,
13	at \$435.00 a day.
14	And again, this contemplates any work
15	after that day. And this one is signed by then Chief
16	Justice Davis and Judge Keadle.
17	Q. And if you could turn to Exhibit No. 68 and
18	explain that document for the Committee, please.
19	A. This is another form for Judge Keadle and
20	there's different WV-48s because the scope the time
21	frame changes on these documents. So this one covers
22	certain dates of service from September 1, 2015 to
23	December 31, 2015 at \$435.00 a day.
24	It's signed by then Chief Justice

1 2 3 4 5	<pre>Workman and Judge Keadle. Q. Who were these WV-48 forms submitted to? A. These would come to finance, so that when we had an invoice from the judge, we could attach this contract to it. Or this Agreement form to the invoice to submit to the State Auditor's Office. Q. Did who was your predecessor in your</pre>
3 4	A. These would come to finance, so that when we had an invoice from the judge, we could attach this contract to it. Or this Agreement form to the invoice to submit to the State Auditor's Office.
4	had an invoice from the judge, we could attach this contract to it. Or this Agreement form to the invoice to submit to the State Auditor's Office.
	contract to it. Or this Agreement form to the invoice to submit to the State Auditor's Office.
5	to submit to the State Auditor's Office.
5	
б	Q. Did who was your predecessor in your
7	
8	position right now?
9	A. My predecessor was Mike Proops.
10	Q. Did he communicate to you how this practice
11	was supposed to be followed when you when you first
12	came into your position?
13	A. Well, he told me about the senior status
14	judges and that there would be a period of time when
15	they may exceed their threshold for their retirement,
16	and if that happened, they would be they would
17	switch to independent contractor status and that I
18	would have these WV-48 forms.
19	So he just kind of let me know that
20	that's how that had been done and to expect that that
21	may happen from time to time.
22	Q. To your knowledge, was there or did any
23	senior status judge or otherwise appointed judge or
24	justice ever repay money that that they believed to

1 be exceeding the -- the limit? 2 Justice McHugh repaid money to the Α. Yes. 3 State when he was a justice, when he replaced Justice 4 Albright. 5 Could you explain to the Committee the Ο. 6 circumstances surrounding his repayment to the State? 7 Α. Yes. Mike Proops told me about that as well, that -- told me where that -- told me that Justice 8 9 Albright had passed away on the bench, and Justice McHugh was appointed to -- for the rest of his term. 10 11 And I was told that Justice McHugh did 12 not feel that that was right, for him to draw his 13 retirement and get paid by the State at the same time, and so he chose to - Justice McHugh - chose to return 14 15 his earnings to the State. 16 I was told they had set up a special fund for it and that I was to get the check from 17 18 Justice McHugh - he would bring it to me - and I was to 19 then take it down the hallway to the State Treasurer's 20 Office and they would take care of it, as far as 21 depositing the money. 22 And so that's how we did it for the next six months while Justice McHugh was on the bench. 23 24 At any time did anyone communicate a reason 0.

-	to see a to she this was seen followed with second
1	to you as to why this process was followed with regard
2	to filling out the WV-48 forms upon approaching the
3	the limitation?
4	A. My understanding was just that just that
5	cap on their retirement earnings, that they couldn't
6	earn, on payroll, more than some certain amount, and so
7	they would fill these WV-48s out to pay them as an
8	independent contractor.
9	Q. And just so we're clear, when you refer to
10	that cap, that is a cap that was communicated - at
11	least to your office - from the retirement board; is
12	that
13	A. No. I'm sorry.
14	Q. Is that correct?
15	A. No. Payroll told me what the cap was. I
16	think payroll got it from the retirement board.
17	Q. Are you aware of a or were you aware
18	during the time in which this process was followed of a
19	statutory limitation on on judges' compensation when
20	they sit as senior status judges?
21	A. No, that had not been discussed with me.
22	Q. Who at the Supreme Court is aware of this
23	procedure that is followed for senior status judges
24	that approach the limitation that you're aware of?

1	A. The people at the Court aware of it should be
2	the recusal assistant, the payroll staff, the justices
3	and the folks in Finance who receive these to pay as
4	independent contractors.
5	Q. And would you say that this occurred during
6	each year in which you've been with the Court? Or has
7	the practice occurred
8	A. Yes, it has occurred each year.
9	Q. So each Chief Justice for each year for which
10	you've been with the Court has authorized these
11	payments?
12	A. Based on what I've seen, yes, that's correct.
13	Q. I believe that's that's all the
14	information I have on that issue.
15	And I just want to finish up with a
16	couple questions about the work environment and the
17	culture at the Court. It seems you know, in our
18	in my examination of you, that that there were times
19	in which the environment was less than friendly.
20	Would you could you provide a
21	characterization of how the the work environment was
22	at the Court when you came on and then how that may
23	have changed during your time with the Court?
24	A. I'd say the work environment changed

1	dramatically in 2017. We after Mr. Canterbury was
2	let go, we got a new Administrative Director. Justice
3	Loughry was then the Chief, and there started being a
4	lot of terminations and restructuring and and that,
5	
	I think, created a lot of feelings of uncertainty in
6	the administrative staff.
7	You know, people didn't really know how
8	secure their jobs were anymore due to a lot a lot of
9	restructuring.
10	Q. What was the main difference you noticed in
11	the Court when when Justice Loughry was Chief
12	Justice as compared to the other justices?
13	A. Justice Loughry was more present in the
14	administrative office than I remember other Chief
15	Justices being.
16	Justice Ketchum would come downstairs
17	from time when I say "downstairs," I'm the
18	administrative offices are on the first floor and the
19	justices are on the third floor.
20	So on a day-to-day basis, we don't have
21	a lot of interaction with them unless they come
22	downstairs or we're called to go upstairs for some
23	reason.
24	And Justice Ketchum would come through

1 occasionally and say hello and walk through the office. 2 But generally the others, you never saw them 3 downstairs. 4 But Justice Loughry was very present -5 almost on a daily basis - in the administrative office. 6 And I believe earlier we talked about how 0. 7 information would flow from the Supreme Court Justices down to the Administrative Director and then down to 8 9 the -- to the division heads, I believe they were 10 called. 11 Α. Correct. 12 Do you recall any times in which information Ο. 13 did not follow that normal chain and you would have had direct communication with -- with one of the justices? 14 15 Α. Yes. I can say that nearly every justice 16 from time to time has communicated with me directly, asking me a question or asking me to -- to look into 17 18 one issue or another, that they felt like maybe they 19 weren't getting all the facts that they wanted, so they 20 would come to me directly to get information. 21 Generally, it would go through the 22 Administrative Director, those requests would. But sometimes, it would come to me directly, and they would 23 even ask me not to disclose that to the Administrative 24

1 Director.

24

Q. Were there any circumstances or occasions where the Adminis -- Administrative Director would have gained knowledge that the justices had been communicating with you directly?

A. Yes. There was a time when Steve Canterbury
became very upset with me about something that Justice
Workman had asked me personally -- she was having -she had initiated the conversation with me and was
asking some -- on some personnel issues, and I just
gave her a straight answer.

12 And she asked me not to say anything to 13 him, and I didn't.

And then she felt compelled to say some -- somewhere in that conversation, something came up that she felt she needed to address with him. And so he then became aware of it and became pretty upset with me that I would speak to her directly and not tell him about it.

But she had specifically told me, "Don't tell Steve," so --Q. What -- who would have communicated to you -well, let me -- let me strike that.

There was a -- you've testified that

1 there was a personnel manual that you had -- were given 2 when you first became employed with the Court. Is that 3 correct? 4 Α. Yes, that's correct. But the personnel manual was devoid of 5 Ο. policies regarding expenditures of money; is that 6 7 correct? Yes, that's correct. 8 Α. 9 Q. Was there any --10 It didn't address that at all. Α. 11 Was there an organizational chart in the 0. 12 personnel manual that provided a hierarchy of how 13 information was supposed to flow within the Court? No, there was no organizational chart. 14 Α. 15 Justice Workman had asked that an organizational chart 16 be developed. I believe that was in 2015 when she was Because she wanted to see -- I mean, there was 17 chief. 18 -- everybody knew what the structure was, but it was 19 never defined on paper. You just knew how to operate. But Justice Workman had asked that that 20 21 be formalized, an organizational chart. 22 How did you learn that structure when you Ο. first came on the Court? Is that something that you 23 24 learned as you -- as a part of on-the-job training, or

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1764

1 was that communicated to you by your supervisor that "This is" -- you know, "This is how we do things and 2 3 this is how information is conveyed"? 4 Α. That would have been directly from Steve Canterbury to me letting -- because he was my direct 5 6 supervisor. 7 -- that I was to communicate issues through him to the justices. And the same thing would 8 9 happen if they needed anything; they would go through 10 him to me, so that he knew everything that was going 11 on. What about the flow of information between 12 0. you or your division and other divisions underneath 13 within the Administrative Director's Office? How often 14 15 -- or how freely is that information exchanged or 16 shared? We were free to communicate with other 17 Α. 18 directors and share information. We did not have to 19 run any of that through the Administrative Director. 20 It was only information from the justices, just -- just 21 the -- the up-and-down information. The side-to-side 22 information was fine. But within the -- within the Finance 23 0. 24 Division, did you have regular communication with the

(Counsel conferred with the witness.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

payroll office?

Α. Payroll is not in Finance; payroll is its own separate division. I'm sorry, the -- did you have regular 0. communications with -- with payroll as a separate division? With the payroll director, occasionally, if Α. she had questions about something or I had questions about something. Yes, especially -- the reason I would initiate conversations with payroll would be if I saw something on the financials, somebody's salary posting in a wrong section on the -- on the Court's financial statements. Let's say somebody was supposed to be in administration and they were being -- the allocation looked like they were a probation employee. Then I would say, "You need to go look at" such and such employee, "I'm getting an incorrect funding stream here." 0. Did you have any impression as to why the structure of the Court was set up as it was? And what I mean by that, why the flow of information had to go

> Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

through Steve Canterbury to the justices and why, you

1	know, if an issue were raised, why you would not be
2	able to address the justice directly?
3	A. He always Mr. Canterbury always told me in
4	his role, he needed to know everything that was going
5	on, and he couldn't do his job effectively if he didn't
6	know everything. So any conversations with any member
7	of the Court were supposed to be reported to him
8	immediately, if they contacted me.
9	I was to never contact them directly.
10	But if they contacted me, he was to know immediately.
11	Q. Was he aware of the the 1099 practice with
12	senior status judges?
13	A. To the best of my recollection, he was. It
14	it would have been nearly impossible for him to not
15	know since he knew everything that was going on back
16	and forth. I recall he and I talking about that, so I
17	don't know how he couldn't have known.
18	Q. Did you have a personal feeling as to whether
19	this 1099 practice was proper, as a CPA, or did you not
20	have an opinion at all based on the information that
21	you were given?
22	A. Based on the information I was given, I I
23	did not have an opinion. The Chief Justice if it's
24	a legal issue, the Chief Justice already looked at it

Г

1	and issued their determination, and it was not up to me
2	to question whether or not the Chief Justice had made a
3	proper determination about whether this person could or
4	should be paid on a 1099.
5	My job was to make sure that when we
6	recorded it, we recorded it correctly.
7	Q. And could you tell us again how the
8	communication from the Chief Justice regarding the
9	payment of the senior status judges would who that
10	would go to and how that would be communicated?
11	A. Can you rephrase the question?
12	Q. I can rephrase.
13	A. I'm not sure I understood.
14	Q. When the when the Chief Justice would
15	authorize the WV-48s - the treatment of a senior status
16	judge as a 1099 employee - where did the communication
17	of their approval go to next?
18	A. My understanding is that would go to the
19	recusal assistant. I think that she made a copy for
20	her file of the WV-48, and then generally, those
21	originals would make it down to finance so that we had
22	them in our files to attach to the invoices that would
23	go with them.
24	Q. Are you aware of any conversations that the

1 justices had among themselves regarding the treatment 2 of senior status judges as 1099 employees? 3 No, I'm not aware of anything like that, no. Α. 4 Ο. Also with regard to the work environment and culture of the Court, were you -- were you - or are you 5 - aware of anyone that directly feared for their jobs 6 7 after Justice Loughry took over as Chief Justice? I would say most everyone did, because there 8 Α. 9 were a lot of terminations going on, a lot of restructuring, and just the general feeling in the 10 administrative office was: Anybody can be let go any 11 12 day. There was just a lot of -- a lot of 13 change going on at that time. 14 15 Ο. And how did that affect the morale within the 16 Court? Among the employees, everybody became very 17 Α. 18 nervous and, you know, feared for their jobs on a daily 19 And some people did get terminated. After the basis. initial series of terminations, there were more. 20 21 And then other people started looking for other employment. Many people resigned before they 22 got -- because they felt like they may -- they may get 23 24 fired just any day, so some people left voluntarily.

Г

1	Q. Are you aware of how many people either left
2	voluntarily or were terminated from their position
3	after that changeover in 2017?
4	A. I'm gonna say in administration, probably 20
5	people. That's kind of a guess based on what I can
6	recall. I'm gonna say about 20 positions were either
7	eliminated or consolidated into other positions.
8	Q. Did anyone ever have a meeting with you
9	regarding your employment with the Court to assure you
10	that you're you know, you were fine in your
11	position, or any conversations to that effect?
12	A. I think there may have been conversations
13	like that, but, I mean, we were "at will" employees, so
14	even if somebody says that, it doesn't really mean
15	anything.
16	Q. At any time during your employment with the
17	Court, were you ever threatened to do something or
18	coerced or made to do something under duress that
19	that you didn't feel comfortable doing?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Okay.
22	MR. HARDISON: Ms. Troy, I believe
23	that's all the questions I have for you at this point.
24	Mr. Chairman?

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1770

1	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Thank you, Ms. Troy.
2	And I'm sure if you followed what we've been doing in
3	this process, we generally allow our members to ask
4	follow-up questions.
5	But at the time it is, we're going to
6	give you a break - as well as our members a break - for
7	lunch, so we're going to be in recess until 1:45.
8	Hopefully that will give you sufficient time to get
9	something to eat.
10	We'll be in recess until 1:45.
11	(A recess was taken for lunch after
12	which the proceedings continued as
13	follows:)
14	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: We're back from our
15	recess. We were in the process of our questioning of
16	Ms. Troy.
17	Ms. Troy, you'll still be under the
18	same oath as you were before the break.
19	The way we'll proceed at this point is:
20	I'm going to start on my far left and sweep across the
21	room to my far right and then come back to the left and
22	and proceed in that fashion. I don't think we've
23	done it that way.
24	So Delegate Fast, do you have any

1 questions for Ms. Troy? 2 DELEGATE FAST: Yes. Thank you, 3 Mr. Chairman. 4 Thank you, Ms. Troy, for being here. 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY DELEGATE FAST: 7 Ο. The idea that there were no written policies regarding spending - I think that's what you testified 8 9 to - and Justice Workman recommended that a written policy be promulgated, among other things, including 10 11 the P-cards. Is that correct? 12 Yes, she --Α. 13 0. Okay. When was this request made by her? That would have been the summer or possibly 14 Α. 15 fall of 2015. It was the year that she was the Chief 16 Justice, the last time she was the Chief Justice. 17 0. So it was just -- that's when she was the 18 Chief Justice? 19 Α. Correct, yes. 20 Okay. And if -- just summarizing: I think 0. 21 you said that Steve Canterbury said "No" or it -- to 22 that effect, that that wasn't necessary or -- or what 23 was Mr. Canterbury's response? (Counsel conferred with the witness.) 24

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1772

1	A. That I didn't need to worry about developing
2	those, because we weren't going to do that.
3	Q. So is this where Justice Workman came
4	directly to you, or did she present that in a
5	conference meeting among all the justices?
6	A. I can't say specifically. I know that she
7	told me personally that she wanted policies and
8	procedures developed related to P-card. I believe that
9	they discussed that in administrative conference as
10	well, although I'm not positive, because I typically
11	don't participate in those.
12	But she had communicated to me directly
13	that she was going to make that request of the
14	Administrative Director. It would be his responsibility
15	to make sure that that her request got completed.
16	Q. So if I can understand this correctly, she's
17	the Chief Justice, and she wants a written policy on
18	P-cards, and Steve Canterbury comes to you and says,
19	"Not gonna do it."
20	A. He let me know that she had made that request
21	of him and I needed did not need to worry about it,
22	we were not going to do that.
23	Q. So did this communication come from Ms. Work
24	Justice Workman to you, or did it come from Steve

1	Canterbury to you?
2	A. Justice Workman told me personally that she
3	was going to make that request of the Administrative
4	Director. It would be his his responsibility to
5	make sure that that happened.
6	So she was following the hierarchy of
7	of how things were done, you know, in the
8	administrative offices.
9	Q. And then subsequently Steve Canterbury told
10	you, "Wasn't necessary, it wasn't gonna happen."
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Huh.
13	A. And then Justice Workman, I believe, in 2017
14	asked me about that and wanted to know "Why were those
15	policies never developed," and so I told her what had
16	happened with Steve Canterbury, where he said, "Don't
17	worry about that, we're not gonna do that."
18	Q. And at that point, when she followed up, she
19	was no longer Chief Justice?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. And what was her response to your reply?
22	A. I think she was pretty aggravated that he had
23	not followed through on the request that she had given
24	to him.

1 And so it was from 2015 until 2017 that she Q. 2 followed up on that issue about a -- creating a written 3 policy on P-cards. 4 Α. She could have asked me in between, but I 5 don't recall. I just know that specifically that issue came up again in 2017. She -- the microphone went off. 6 7 She may have assumed that it was completed. I can't really say for sure. 8 9 But I do know that she specifically addressed it again in 2017. And it could have been 10 11 when it came up later that those didn't exist, and that 12 could have been what prompted her to ask me why they didn't exist. 13 All right. So it's safe to say that during 14 0. the remainder of her tenure as Chief Justice, she did 15 16 not follow through to make sure that had been done with her administrative director. Because obviously, it 17 18 wasn't done. 19 I can't say for sure if she asked him any Α. 20 more follow-up questions after that. She -- she may 21 have. I really can't say. 22 And I -- I'm not sure when she would have made that request to him. I believe it would be 23 24 in the administrative conference notes somewhere, but I

1 don't know when she made that official request. 2 Was Steve Canterbury bucking her on this Ο. 3 issue? 4 Α. In my opinion, yes. 5 Okay. Okay. Did Justice Loughry implement 0. any written policies and procedures that you're aware 6 7 of regarding P-cards or purchases or anything of those -- anything like that after he became Chief Justice? 8 No, he did not. We started drafting some 9 Α. policies and procedures, and that was under the 10 11 direction of Judge Johnson, who became the Administrative Director in 2017. 12 13 He -- he fully supported that and 14 believed that that was good policy and -- to have 15 written policies, that was a good practice to do. 16 So Judge Johnson did start implementing 0. written policies and procedures --17 18 Yes, he did. Α. 19 -- for -- okay. Ο. 20 Α. Uh-huh. 21 Ο. So Judge Johnson did not come on as 22 Administrative Director until Justice Loughry became chief judge, right? Chief Justice. 23 24 That is correct, yes. Α.

1 So Justice Loughry and two others terminated Q. 2 Steve Canterbury. They hired Gary Johnson as the 3 Administrative Director, and then policies started to 4 come about. Yes, that's correct. 5 Α. Okay. What policies -- Well, I guess then my 6 Ο. 7 ans -- the answer would be yes, that when -- when Justice Loughry became Chief Justice, then policies 8 9 were implemented at that point. 10 Yeah, I'm --Α. 11 Through the Administrative Director. Ο. 12 Yes. Α. I'm sorry, I could have misunderstood 13 your question. I think -- I took it to mean, did -did he direct that to be done. And I - - I didn't take 14 that direction from him; I took it from Judge Johnson. 15 16 So it could have been -- yeah, I just maybe wasn't understanding. 17 18 And he gets his direction from the justices. 0. 19 Α. Yes, that's correct. 20 Okay. And what policies were implemented Q. 21 when Judge Johnson became Administrative Director? 22 I know there was an -- a major revision to Α. 23 the travel policy. 24 Ο. Okay.

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018 1 We had in draft form a policy for P-card. Α. 2 Uh-huh. Ο. 3 We had in draft form a policy for Α. 4 procurement. 5 Ο. Okay. Those had been submitted -- or were getting 6 Α. 7 ready to be submitted to the Court, and then other fires kind of got in the way and those kind of got 8 9 pushed to the back burner. 10 So they never got fully implemented, 11 but he was in the process of doing that. 12 Okay. And if there were communications 0. 13 between Judge Johnson, Administrative Director, and the justices, they would -- those communications could be 14 in what kind of notes? 15 16 Α. Likely the notes of the administrative conferences. Typically, they meet monthly to discuss 17 18 administrative issues, the justices and the 19 Administrative Director do. Okay. As to Exhibit 13, would you be able to 20 Ο. 21 turn there, please? 22 And I don't know if we have a computer operator. Let me see if I can find it myself. 23 24 And if you would, please, turn to the

1	third page of that exhibit, the fourth bullet point
2	from the bottom. Counsel had asked you about that,
3	something about the Court's Fixed Asset Inventory
4	Management System had not been updated since 2010.
5	It says here, "Mr. Canterbury had
6	informed her," referring to you, "this was intentional
7	because he did not want others knowing what the Court
8	had" had since "had," period.
9	Is that a correct statement?
10	A. That is correct, to the best of my
11	recollection, yes.
12	Q. Can you elaborate on that? What do you mean,
13	he didn't want the Court he didn't want others
14	knowing what the Court had?
15	A. I mean, detail what I mean by that is
16	details of the fixed assets: Putting items in
17	specifically, how much did we pay for a specific chair
18	or a particular desk or a particular sofa. That level
19	of detail.
20	I felt that he did not want that level
21	of specificity in the State's fixed assets fixed
22	asset logs.
23	Q. Does that strike you
24	(Counsel conferred with the witness.)

1 -- as nontransparent to the public? Q. 2 It strikes me that way, yes. And now finance Α. 3 has taken on the role of making sure that all assets 4 are recorded properly. 5 At that time, IT entered their own assets, and the Administrative Services Division 6 7 entered furniture and that -- that type of things. But we took that under in Finance last 8 9 fall to make sure that everything is accurately entered in the State's accounting records, because there should 10 11 be nothing hidden. It should all be transparent. 12 So that would have included all of the -- the 0. desk work, the chairs, the -- in the justices' offices, 13 the tables, the glass, the thick glass in Justice 14 Davis' office, the floor in Justice Loughry's office. 15 All of that would have been couched in 16 this fixed asset column? 17 18 Yes, and it's all in there now, yes. Α. 19 Okay. And up until at least -- well, when 0. was the change made? 20 21 Α. Fall of -- fall of 2017, so we went back and 22 started entering all of that old information. So after Justice Loughry became Chief 23 Ο. 24 Justice, this practice of hiding the ball changed.

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

1 That is correct. Α. 2 On the Post Audit Report, second -- second 0. 3 Post Audit Report, Appendix E -- it's near the very end 4 of that report, that's -- that's where, I think, you 5 had already testified --And I don't want to put words in your 6 7 mouth, so if I say something that's not correct, tell 8 me. 9 -- but you had told Steve Canterbury that the commuting by Justice Ketchum, in your opinion, 10 11 would have been a taxable fringe benefit, and Steve 12 Canterbury told you it was none of your business. 13 Is that correct? 14 Α. That is my recollection of my conversation 15 with Steve Canterbury, yes. 16 Okay. You -- did you specifically tell Steve Ο. Canterbury that, in your opinion as a CPA, that that 17 18 issue of Justice Ketchum commuting was a taxable fringe 19 benefit? 20 The way I recall the conversation was: Α. " I 21 keep seeing a State car frequently parked in Justice 22 Ketchum's parking space. If he is using the vehicle for personal use, including commuting, that could be a 23 pers -- a taxable fringe benefit." 24

1	I mean, he could be using it only on
2	State business. I don't know; I didn't know. And it
3	could be that it was already on his W-2, but I thought
4	it was important to disclose that to him.
5	Q. Okay. And was this during the time that the
6	IRS was performing his audit?
7	A. No, this would have been immediately after I
8	was hired, within the first few months. And the way
9	that I recall that is because of where my parking space
10	was at the time.
11	So this would have been in the fall,
12	early fall, of 2012, that we had that conversation.
13	Q. Okay. Well well before the IRS audit then.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And so Steve Canterbury knew then, when the
16	IRS audit did come about, that unless he just
17	totally forgot, he knew what you had told him, that you
18	believed that would have been a taxable fringe benefit.
19	A. Steve had already been terminated by that
20	time. He was let go in January of 2017, and we got the
21	first audit notice in May of '17. So he was not there
22	at that time.
23	Q. Are you aware that Steve Canterbury testified
24	under oath that the reason the IRS concluded that no

1	amended W-2 forms were necessary was because the IRS
2	just didn't have the information?
3	A. I did not hear all of Steve testi Steve's
4	testimony. I did not hear that section of his
5	testimony, no.
6	Q. If that's what he testified, would that have
7	been a truthful statement, that the IRS didn't have the
8	the information?
9	A. What what was his testimony again?
10	Q. That
11	A. Just so I'm clear on that.
12	Q. That the IRS, the reason they concluded that
13	no amended W-2s were necessary involving the commuting
14	issue was because they didn't have the correct
15	information.
16	A. I really can't speak to that, because I
17	wasn't involved when they had the discussion about the
18	vehicle, so I don't want to speculate on that.
19	Q. Okay. Let me ask you this: Did after
20	Justice Loughry became Chief Justice of the Supreme
21	Court, were there a reduction in the overall
22	expenditures of the Court?
23	A. Yes, there were dramatic reductions.
24	Q. Okay. Can you give me an estimate about how

1	much, when you say "dramatic"? We talking hundreds?
2	Millions?
3	A. Off the top of my head, I'm gonna say at
4	least \$8,000,000 savings.
5	Q. And was that at the direction of Justice
6	Loughry or the leadership of Justice Loughry, or
7	someone else?
8	A. I can't say under the direction, but under
9	the leadership. He would have been Chief Justice
10	during that time, yes.
11	Q. And as far as the spend we talked about
12	we're generically calling it a spend-down, and I
13	understand there were a lot of expenses and renovation
14	and construction on the in the east wing of the
15	Supreme Court.
16	Is there a any breakdown anywhere
17	between what was a renovation versus what was a
18	restoration? Because I've seen in the documents, it's
19	sort of these these terms seem to be fluctuating
20	back and forth.
21	A. I've never seen it broken down separately,
22	right, what was a restoration versus a renovation, no.
23	I've never seen it that way.
24	Q. Okay. Now, on these renovations/

Г

1	restorations first of all, is it true that that
2	really started in 2008?
3	A. I believe that's correct, based on my search
4	of documents. I didn't come to work for the Court
5	until 2012, so I wasn't there to have kind of firsthand
6	knowledge of that. But when I looked back through the
7	documents, I believe that's when the work began, was in
8	2008.
9	Q. Okay. So if someone made that statement, it
10	would not be a lie then.
11	A. That's correct. I think the Silling invoices
12	start I think the Silling contract even was signed
13	in 2008. I think that's correct.
14	Q. And do you know how much - if at all - the
15	Capitol Building Commission was involved in the
16	renovations/restorations of the justices well, the
17	whole Supreme Court. I mean, we just took a tour. Lot
18	of nice stuff. Some would call it extravagant; some
19	may not.
20	How much - if at all - was the Capitol
21	Building Commission involved in those decisions to
22	to do what was done over there?
23	A. I have no knowledge of that at all. No one's
24	ever spoken to me about that.

1 Okay. Do you know if Steve Canterbury was a Q. 2 member of the Capitol Building Commission, just by 3 virtue of his office or his position? 4 Α. I don't know that it was by virtue of his 5 position, because -- only because I don't know that Judge Johnson held the same role. I don't know -- I do 6 7 know that Steve was a member of -- of that, but I don't know how he became a member of it. 8 9 Ο. Okay. Now, you had mentioned that -earlier, you said you got the impression that justices 10 11 did not know what their office renovations cost --12 Am I correct in -- and again, I don't want to cost. 13 put words in your mouth. I believe that to be correct, because no one 14 Α. 15 had ever asked me to tally up how much their offices 16 cost. So if they knew, I don't know how they would Because they didn't see the invoices. 17 have known. 18 Can you expound on that? I mean, if -- if Ο. 19 you're -- did they -- were they completely in the dark 20 on the cost of these things? I mean, putting a inlaid 21 floor of the State of West Virginia or glass shelves 22 that are four inches thick, is there any -- can you 23 expound? 24 I mean, were they com -- do you believe

1 they were completely oblivious as to the costs? Having 2 couches reupholstered at thousands and thousands of 3 dollars? 4 Α. I really don't know, because they never spoke 5 to me about -- when their offices were being renovated or restored or whatever we want to call it, no one ever 6 7 came to me to say, "How much is -- how much is this costing? What's the estimate on this"? 8 9 I was never asked for that. Nor did I ever speak to them while these restoration/renovation 10 11 projects were going on. It was just not something I 12 got involved in. 13 Ο. Okay. Now, you saw a lot of invoices come through -- across your desk, with all these 14 15 renovations, expenses, etc., a lot of contractors, 16 architects, things like that. 17 Did you realize -- and this is probably 18 an opinion question, but maybe not. Did you realize that there were any particular contractors, architects, 19 20 subcontractors that were involved in any of this work 21 that were just milking the system? 22 No, not that I was aware of. I wasn't really Α. that involved in walking through the offices while 23 24 these renovations were going on. I know that Steve

1 Canterbury was very involved and -- and met with the 2 contractors and kind of oversaw the project, but I 3 personally didn't go upstairs much at all during that 4 time. 5 And who approved -- who was the primary 0. person to approve payment of invoices that would come 6 7 in? Most all of them have Steve Canterbury's 8 Α. 9 approval on them, have his signature on them. And do you know if he -- if he got an invoice 10 Ο. 11 for, let's say, \$30,000, did he have to go get someone 12 else's approval, or could he just sign it and -- and it 13 was done, paid for? 14 Α. What he relayed to me was that he had full 15 authority to sign invoices that were related to the 16 remodeling of the offices, that he did not need additional authority for that. 17 18 Did you ever see him take a -- let's say, a Ο. 19 \$80,000 invoice and say, "Whoa, I need to -- I need to 20 check into" -- did he ever go get approval, discussion on anything? Or did he just sign it and give it back 21 22 to you? If he took it to the Court, I wouldn't have 23 Α. 24 known about that. What I can say is that I don't

1 recall seeing any invoices signed by a justice. 2 So if he took it to them to discuss it, 3 he didn't get a signature on it. 4 Ο. And how -- how fast of a turnaround -- if you 5 gave him an invoice -- or did you physically present an invoice, "Here's another one that needs paid"? 6 7 Α. The contractor invoices from Neighborgall always went through -- or I say "always." I think -- I 8 think that's a correct statement. 9 10 -- went through our administrative office -- administrative services director first, and 11 12 then he would say, "This amount of work has been 13 completed." There would be a memo with that. He would send that to Steve Canterbury for Steve's signature. 14 Then the invoice would come to Finance 15 16 for payment. 17 Ο. So you got it after Steve signed it? 18 Α. That is correct, yes. 19 Okay. And as far as Exhibit 64, that's the Ο. 20 exhibit talking about the memo of Justice Davis about 21 some things that had been paid by the State that she 22 was supposed to pay for --Uh-huh. 23 Α. 24 -- and so I think you said that she went 0.

1 ahead and cut a check out of her personal funds to pay 2 for those things. 3 Α. Yes, she did. 4 0. Is that correct? 5 How did that happen? I mean, how did things like that happen, where the State paid for 6 7 something that wasn't supposed to be paid for by the 8 State? 9 Α. Well, I can't really say it wasn't supposed to be paid by the State. Only in that -- I don't know 10 11 if it's in this or not. I think in -- if you have the 12 large book that has all of the breakdown by offices, 13 there's -- there's even a purchase order, like for the sofa, the \$6,000 sofa. There was a purchase order done 14 for that. 15 16 So someone knew in advance that they were gonna use State funds for that. Quite frankly, I 17 18 was surprised to see this memo saying these were -- the 19 memo from Steve Canterbury to Justice Davis saying 20 these were her personal items. 21 Because when I looked at the 22 documentation, the documentation indicated State money was to be used to purchase those items. 23 24 So I was kind of surprised that there

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1790

1 was a miscommunication there between the two. 2 So you were surprised at Exhibit 64? Ο. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. Okay. Just a couple more questions. The 5 senior status judge issue. And -- and I heard your testimony that, you know, if a -- if a senior status 6 7 judge who's drawing retirement and then gets assigned to a special assignment as a circuit judge, but then 8 9 their compensation exceeded that of a sitting judge, 10 then -- then the practice was to convert them to a 11 independent contractor? Is that -- am I correct? 12 13 Α. My understanding was they were converted when it had something to do with the amount they can earn 14 before their retirement benefits were reduced. That's 15 what I was told, that this was an issue with the 16 retirement board. 17 18 They could only earn up to a certain 19 amount; and otherwise, their retirement benefits were 20 reduced. 21 And so that was the point when they 22 were switched to independent contractor status. Okay. Did that practice ever change? 23 Q. 24 It changed after the IRS audit, because the Α.

1	IRS agents looked specifically at the senior status
2	judges and found that that was not proper to pay them
3	on a 1099, regardless of what the issue might be, that
4	they needed to stay as W-2 employees.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. And so after the IRS audit, that practice
7	ended.
8	Q. And when was the IRS audit again?
9	A. It was completed I want to say it wrapped
10	field work wrapped up in December of '17, but I
11	believe their final sign-off date was sometime in
12	January of '18.
13	Q. Okay. And who implemented those changes?
14	Was was that justices, the Chief Justice? Or do you
15	know who implemented that change?
16	A. Our administrative counsel had the IRS
17	rulings and went one by one with those rulings.
18	Anything that the IRS found that they didn't agree with
19	current practices, changes were made at that point
20	based on the IRS rulings.
21	So administrative counsel initiated the
22	change.
23	Q. Okay. And that that whole idea of just,
24	on paper, converting someone from an employee to a

1 independent contractor, as a CPA, did that strike you 2 as illegal? 3 Α. It did not strike me as illegal. The Chief 4 Justice had signed the WV-48 form. I didn't feel it 5 was my place to question the legal opinion of a Chief Justice. If they thought it was proper to do it that 6 7 way, they -- they make legal determinations, and I follow those. 8 Q. 9 And how many Chief Justices signed this form that you know of? 10 11 Α. Based on the documents in my office, I saw a 12 WV-48 signed by Justice Workman, Justice Davis, Justice 13 Benjamin and Justice Ketchum. I did not see any signed by Justice McHugh, but I was not there at any point 14 when he would have -- would have been Chief Justice, so 15 16 I didn't -- wouldn't expect to find any from him. So Workman, Davis, Benjamin and Ketchum. 17 Ο. 18 Α. Yes. 19 0. Not Loughry? 20 I believe there may be one by Justice Α. 21 Loughry. It wasn't in the -- I just have a file in my 22 desk, so I pulled out the ones that I had there and reviewed them. 23 24 So I don't want to testify that he did

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1793

1 not sign any. I just can testify that of the ones that 2 I reviewed before coming here today, I did not see any 3 with his signature. But it could be they're just not 4 in that file together. 5 0. You're not sure. 6 Α. I'm not sure exactly. 7 Now, last question --Q. Okay. 8 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Excuse me, Delegate 9 Fast. I'm going to move on, if you'll hold your last 10 question --11 DELEGATE FAST: One more question? 12 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: I've heard that before. 13 14 DELEGATE FAST: No, it is. 15 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: All right. One more 16 question. 17 BY DELEGATE FAST: 18 You testified, Ms. Troy, that Justice Workman Ο. 19 came straight to you on some personal issues and did not want Steve Canterbury to learn about it, and then 20 he learned about it and he got upset at you. What were 21 22 the personal issues? 23 Α. It was personnel issues in the office. She 24 was asking about -- specifically about some employees

1 in Finance and who was kind of pulling their weight and 2 who was -- it was personnel issues. 3 And she wanted to know specifically 4 what my concerns were and were my concerns being 5 addressed. 6 And she got upset about something and 7 felt that Steve Canterbury wasn't doing what he should have been doing, and that's when she felt that she 8 should address it with him. 9 10 DELEGATE FAST: Thank you very much. 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 Delegate Foster. CHAIRMAN SHOTT: (Counsel conferred with the witness.) 13 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY DELEGATE FOSTER: 16 My question's also about this Exhibit 64. 0. And it involves the -- the things that were redone. 17 18 And you said you were surprise -- you said you were 19 surprised by it? And I'm trying to follow exactly what 20 happened, because were there P.O.'s issued that said, 21 "Hey, this is State property" --22 If there was a P.O. issued, it wouldn't get paid -- basically it wouldn't get paid unless a 23 24 P.O. was issued, which was basically claiming it was

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1795

1	Court property, correct?
2	A. Yes, exactly. When I saw the purchase order,
3	that that let me know these weren't accidentally
4	somehow paid with State money. There it was
5	intended that State money would be spent for these
6	items.
7	Q. Who would have issued that purchase order?
8	A. It's in the the big book here. Let me see
9	if I can locate it.
10	Okay, it's in Exhibit 51. It's about
11	seven, eight pages in. It's it's a purchase order,
12	and it's issued to Capitol Business Interiors, and it's
13	for a the total amount is \$6100 for a sofa, and
14	Fletch Adkins, who was the Director of Administrative
15	Services, has signed that.
16	So to me, this is intending to use
17	State money to purchase this. I believe there's a memo
18	in here from him also to Steve Canterbury.
19	Yes, two pages after that. Here's a
20	memo to Steve Canterbury from Fletcher Adkins saying "A
21	proposal has been submitted by Capitol Business
22	Interiors to provide a sofa requested for chambers,"
23	and Steve signed off on it and signed it.
24	Q. Steve sent and that and if

1 Mr. Canterbury signed off on it it was intended for 2 purposes -- where is that -- for example, that sofa, 3 where is it now? 4 Α. It's in Justice Davis' chambers. 5 And if it's considered personal property, is Ο. she intending to take it home after she would no longer 6 7 be employed at the Court? Or why -- why this -- if it was for use in the Court, reupholstered or redone, and 8 9 then designated to be paid for by Davis, I'm not understanding where that comes from, if it was to be 10 11 used in the courtroom -- or used in her office, and it 12 was paid for by the State and then repaid. 13 I guess -- I'm trying to follow where -- where -- is it because it's intended to be taken 14 15 with her afterwards or -- or what's the intent? Or do 16 you know what the intent is? I really have no idea. I mean, all I can do 17 Α. 18 is, like you, kind of speculate as to why -- why these 19 were to be her personal items. 20 But it was definitely something that was Ο. intended to be paid for by the State, which was signed 21 22 off on by Steve Canterbury. Certainly all the documents look that way, 23 Α. 24 and that's why I was very surprised to see it on this

1 list of personal items. Because he made the list of 2 personal items and yet he authorized the purchase with 3 State money. 4 0. Okay. 5 So those two things were inconsistent to me. Α. 6 All right. Q. DELEGATE FOSTER: Thank you. 7 8 (Counsel conferred with the witness.) 9 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Overington. 10 DELEGATE OVERINGTON: Thank you, 11 Mr. Chairman. 12 Thank you for being here. 13 EXAMINATION 14 BY DELEGATE OVERINGTON: 15 0. The -- was there an inventory list of who 16 owned what versus what justices owned equipment or office furniture? Or was it just by memory that "I 17 18 purchased this so it was mine"? 19 But was there any official record or 20 listing of -- or anything marked on the furniture 21 itself? 22 Α. Not that I've ever seen, no. 23 And there was or was not a list that 0. 24 indicated that this was the justice's personal versus

1 State purchased? 2 No, I've never seen anything like that. Α. In each justice's office or chambers, there could be a 3 4 blend of personal items and State property, but I've 5 never seen a list distinguishing one from the other, 6 no. 7 You had mentioned the disagreement with 0. Justice Workman. Did you have any interaction with 8 9 other justices as well where they were sort of bypassing the chain of command of you dealing with 10 11 Steve Canterbury, not the justices themselves? 12 Yes. Some with Justice Loughry as well. Α. 13 Because -- I think it's pretty well established that he and Steve Canterbury had -- had issues, and so 14 -- I 15 mean, normally, he would follow the chain of command 16 and go through Steve, but sometimes he would come to me directly if he wanted -- wanted something and felt like 17 18 maybe he wasn't gonna get the complete story that he 19 wanted. 20 Was that -- so you felt that was out of the 0. 21 chain of command, or was that appropriate, or did you feel comfortable interacting directly? 22 In my position, I felt like I re -- and I 23 Α. 24 still feel this way: I report to the Administrative Realtime Reporters, LLC

schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 Director, as well as the five justices. So if any of 2 them ask me for anything, I will always respond to whatever their request is. I don't have a problem 3 4 answering them directly. 5 So you didn't feel that it had to necessarily Ο. be filtered through Steve Canterbury. 6 7 No. I mean, if a justice wants to know Α. something, then they certainly, I think, have the right 8 9 to come and ask whatever it is they want to do or whatever -- whatever it is they want, they should have 10 11 the right to do that. 0. 12 You and Delegate Fast mentioned that there 13 were no limits on spending authority, that things didn't -- that Steve Canterbury would be able to, 14 15 himself, approve spending requests for furniture and 16 other office equipment? That was my understanding from Steve, was 17 Α. 18 that he was given kind of open authority to make these renovations happen. That was my understanding from 19 20 Steve. 21 0. And not only renovations, but office 22 equipment, furniture, that type of thing as well. Yes, that's correct. Anything -- anything 23 Α. 24 related to that, yes.

1	Q. Did did you feel that there was a limit on
2	this, what Steve could approve or not approve?
3	A. I never spoke to any of the justices about
4	that. They never questioned me, and I never questioned
5	them. So I went with what Steve had said, and that was
6	that he had, you know, open authority related to the
7	renovations with whatever they needed.
8	Q. Did you feel that he had to go back to them
9	to get any approval or that he would be able to make
10	all of those approvals on his own?
11	A. We never really had that conversation. If he
12	if there would be a need or a time that he would
13	need to go back, so
14	I don't but I can't really say I
15	have an opinion or a feeling on that one way or the
16	other.
17	Q. Did you ever did did they ever make
18	requests that you felt were unethical or that you felt
19	uncomfortable approving?
20	A. No, not at all.
21	DELEGATE OVERINGTON: Thank you.
22	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Delegate
24	Zatezalo.

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018 1 DELEGATE ZATEZALO: None. 2 Delegate Pushkin. CHAIRMAN SHOTT: 3 DELEGATE PUSHKIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 And thank you for being here. 5 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY DELEGATE PUSHKIN: A couple follow-up questions to the questions 8 0. 9 that were being asked by the gentlemen from the 32nd earlier. That was the first delegate that was asking 10 11 you questions. 12 He asked about when certain policies in 13 regards to use of State vehicles were -- were, I guess, put into writing or when those policies were developed, 14 15 because before that, there wasn't an exact policy on 16 that, as well as some other things, and you stated that was in 2017. 17 18 Was that in the fall of 2017, or was it in early '18? 19 20 I know they were being drafted in the fall of Α. 21 '17. I don't know when the Court officially adopted or 22 approved the policy. 23 Ο. Okay. Well, and when did Justice Loughry become Chief Justice? 24 Was that in '16?

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018 1 No, January of '17. Α. 2 So early '17. Ο. Okay. 3 I think they --Α. Yes. 4 0. Before the session -- before the session of 2017. 5 Yes, I may have misspoken a little bit there. 6 Α. 7 I think at the end of -- like December of '16, they -the Court decides who will be the chief for the next 8 9 year --10 Uh-huh. Ο. 11 Α. -- and my understanding is it becomes 12 official January 1 of the next year. 13 Ο. Okay. But those policies weren't created 14 until late 2017. Α. 15 That is correct, yes. 16 Now, it was also in late 2017 when the public 0. first started hearing about some of the overspending 17 18 going on in the Supreme Court. Is that true? 19 Kennie Bass made the -- really the Α. Yes. 20 first inquiry, and that was in October, I believe, 18th 21 of 2017. 22 So it was -- it was after the -- he made 0. those inquiries and the news stories ran that those 23 24 policies were -- were created in -- in response to

1	those stories.
2	A. I can't say if it was in response to that. I
3	know that the IRS audit kind of prompted the start of
4	looking at things. So to say it was prompted by by
5	one thing or the other, I can't really say, because
6	they were kind of going on at the same time.
7	Q. But it would be safe to say that these
8	policies weren't started as soon as Justice Loughry
9	took over as as Chief Justice, right? It was
10	A. That would be a correct statement.
11	Q. It was almost a year afterwards, correct?
12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. Okay. He had also asked about reductions in
14	spending on when Justice Loughry became Chief
15	Justice, and you said, yeah, the you answered him
16	that there was a reduction in spending. Now, during
17	that time, were there not cuts made to the drug court?
18	A. There were dramatic cuts made to the drug
19	courts
20	Q. Uh-huh.
21	A as well as the sex offender intense
22	supervision program.
23	Q. The sex offender monitoring was not just cut;
24	it was I mean, it was they did away with it,

1 right? They moved it under probation. 2 It was absorbed into probation, and Α. Exactly. 3 30 some people were laid off. 4 Q. Okay. And there were cuts to the guardian ad 5 litem's program? That is correct as well, yes. 6 Α. 7 Ο. Okay. And this was all going on while the renovations were still going on over there in the east 8 9 wing, right? At least some of the renovations to Justice Loughry's office. 10 11 Α. No, Justice Loughry's office would have been 12 finished by that time. Justice Walker's office, those 13 renovations, started sometime in 2017 when she took the 14 15 bench. So those cuts would have been going on at that 16 time. Okay. I was looking for it, but I didn't --17 Ο. 18 wasn't able to find it in this pile -- pile of papers I 19 have here on my desk. There was -- one of the exhibits 20 we've had was an Affidavit -- a sworn Affidavit from 21 Kimberly Ellis. Are you familiar with that? 22 Α. Yes. Okay. Do you -- would you -- is there 23 Q. 24 anything that you saw on there that you would say was

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1805

1	less than factual?
2	A. No, everything looked factual to me.
3	Q. Okay. And you were actually at you stated
4	earlier, you were at the meeting where she was asked if
5	she recalled something a certain way and she said, "No,
6	that's that's not exactly how I recall it" when I
7	believe it was when Justice Loughry said that he told
8	her that his off that the spending on his office
9	should be the same as the other justices. Right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. So you were at that meeting.
12	A. Yes, I was.
13	Q. Okay. Well, okay, I'll stay with that
14	meeting there. When no, I believe it was a it
15	was a different one that you had with him where
16	Okay, when in putting together the
17	I guess the FOIA response to Mr. Bass, I think you
18	stated earlier that you didn't believe it was if you
19	looked at like the expenses to Justice Davis' office or
20	Justice Walker's office, there wasn't really an
21	apples-to-apples comparison when you looked at at
22	spending on the renovations to Justice Loughry's office
23	because there it didn't it didn't reflect the
24	like structural repairs that were going on, say, in

1 Justice Davis' office. Correct? 2 It didn't -- the book does not reflect the Α. 3 structural repairs in Justice Loughry's office. 4 Justice Davis' office is complete as it 5 is. 6 Justice Walker's office is also. 7 So the missing information would be in Benjamin, Loughry, Workman and Ketchum's office. 8 9 Ο. Okay. Now, did you say that you wanted to, I quess, subtract the spending that was made just for 10 11 like structural repairs from the -- from that report, 12 just to make it more of a -- like as was stated, like 13 an apples-to-apples comparison? I felt if I removed that from Justice 14 Α. Yes. Davis' office -- and -- and after the fact, I realized 15 Justice Walker's office had the same issue. 16 Uh-huh. 17 Ο. 18 There's more fees in their office because Α. 19 those have those structural repairs in them. 20 So I thought, if I remove those, then I 21 have something that's a true comparison as far as, you 22 know, the renovation and the furnishings and that sort of thing. 23 24 Okay. So you wanted to remove it. Now, I 0.

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

Г

1	and I wasn't I couldn't I was having a hard time
2	hearing earlier, and it wasn't really very clear to me.
3	Who told you not to?
4	A. Justice Loughry told me not to remove those
5	from the binder I'd put together because it was for
6	work in Justice Davis' office and that
7	That is true; it is for work in her
8	office. But it just creates kind of a some
9	inconsistency in the book. It doesn't make hers
10	incorrect, but it's inconsistent if you compare it to
11	the other offices.
12	Q. So it would I mean, obviously, it would
13	make it a bigger number if you didn't subtract it,
14	right?
15	A. Yes. About \$30,000, I think.
16	Q. Okay. And just to be clear, that was it
17	was Justice Loughry that asked you not to do that.
18	A. Yes. That's correct.
19	Q. Okay. All right. And you stated earlier
20	that during these renovations, that Justice Loughry was
21	very much involved with the work that was being done in
22	his own office? You said he was in your office a lot
23	during this time?
24	A. No, I was talking about I was asked about

Г

1	how things changed after he became Chief Justice, and I
2	said he was more frequently down in the administrative
3	office than other Chief Justices had been.
4	Q. Oh, okay, I misunderstood that part then.
5	All right, well, thank you.
6	DELEGATE PUSHKIN: That's all the
7	questions I have right now.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9	DELEGATE PUSHKIN: Thank you again.
10	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Lane?
11	DELEGATE LANE: Thank you very much.
12	And thank you for being here.
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY DELEGATE LANE:
15	Q. I have several questions. The State rules
16	relating to the purchase card, do those rules apply to
17	the Supreme Court?
18	A. I think that's not for me to decide. I think
19	some people feel that they don't apply entirely. I
20	think there's language in there that says they apply to
21	State agencies and institutions of higher learning, so
22	I think the feeling was that generally we follow those
23	practices. But there are some that we deviate from.
24	Q. So if the State purchasing rules say that you

1	can't use the purchasing card for working lunches, the
2	Supreme Court felt that those rules did not apply to
3	it?
4	A. I don't really want to speak for the for
5	the Court as a whole. I think they viewed it as
6	there's an allowance in there for hospitality, and I
7	think they viewed that as hospitality, which would be a
8	a lunch for a meeting, that sort of thing. So I
9	think that's
10	Again, I'm kind of relaying what I know
11	about it.
12	Q. Well, what about purchasing lunches for
13	themselves while they were deciding cases? Did they
14	feel that the purchasing rules didn't apply to them?
15	A. We never I never had that conversation
16	with any of them directly.
17	Q. Okay. Did the Court Administrator work for
18	all of the justices, or just the Chief Justice?
19	A. The Court Administrator works for all of the
20	justices.
21	Q. Okay. Would you have seen the invoices or
22	billing for any outside counsel that any of the
23	justices would have hired?
24	A. Either I saw it or someone on my staff would

1	have seen it, yes.
2	Q. Were there invoices for outside counsel that
3	the justices hired?
4	A. I believe that on two or three occasions,
5	yes.
6	Q. And could you relate those to me, please?
7	A. As I recall, there were two payments to or
8	at least two instances of payments to Barbara Allen for
9	work that she was doing in relation to work for the
10	Court directly.
11	The other, I think, was someone named
12	I think her last name was Rash, maybe Peggy Rash. I
13	can't remember her first name. But that was somebody
14	that had done some work, I think, as a law clerk for
15	Justice Ketchum.
16	Those are the ones that I can recall
17	off the top of my head.
18	Q. And what kind of work was Ms. Allen doing for
19	the Court?
20	A. What I what has come to my mind right now
21	is: There was a case where all the justices recused
22	themselves and five new justices came in and she was, I
23	think, working as their law clerk. I really don't know
24	much detail to speak about that, but that's my general

Г

1	understanding.
2	Q. Okay. I think you testified that you
3	provided - I'll call them - spreadsheets for the
4	justices on a monthly basis to show the expenditures
5	and where they were in relation to their overall
6	budget. Is that correct?
7	A. Yes, that is correct.
8	Q. And so those spreadsheets would have included
9	the expenditures for renovations of of the justices'
10	offices.
11	A. They would have been encompassed in those
12	financial statements. The level of specificity
13	probably wasn't on there in great details, because that
14	would be many, many pages, and they were used to seeing
15	things at kind of a higher level.
16	But it would have been included in the
17	total of the expenditures, yes.
18	Q. So the justices had access to what was being
19	spent on the renovations.
20	A. The financial statements would have shown
21	overall expenses. And if there was a question that
22	something looked out of line, then certainly they would
23	have made they could have made inquiries.
24	Q. Okay. Now, I think you said that you did see

1 the invoices for the senior status judges.

A. Yes, generally, yes.

2

Q. Okay. So if a judge signed the W -- or the WV-48 was applicable to a particular judge, does that mean that that person -- that person's retirement was not reduced?

A. I don't know, because we don't -- in my position, I would never know what they were drawing for retirement and whether or not they had stopped their retirement benefits. That's not something I would have access to.

Q. Well, if you thought that a senior status judge could only make \$20,000 before his or her retirement were reduced and that person, that senior status judge, signed a WV-48 to get paid, wouldn't -would it be a logical assumption then that his or her retirement was not being reduced?

18 Yes, I think that would be reasonable. Α. 19 Ο. Okay. Thank you. 20 Now, you said that Justice -- when Justice Loughry became chief judge -- Chief Justice, 21 22 restructuring took place and a lot of people were fired 23 and a lot of restructuring done and probably \$8,000,000 24 was saved.

1 Yes, that's correct. Α. So did the Court reduce its budget request by 2 0. 3 \$8,000,000 for the next year? 4 Α. No, the budget request remained the same as 5 the prior year. 6 Okay. Why did -- why did Judge Johnson 0. resign as Court Administrator? 7 I can't speculate. That would be a question 8 Α. for him. 9 10 Okay. Thank you. 0. 11 DELEGATE LANE: Okay, thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Sobonya, do 13 you have questions? 14 Thank you for being DELEGATE SOBONYA: 15 here. 16 EXAMINATION BY DELEGATE SOBONYA: 17 18 One of my questions relates to the 0. 19 expenditures for the meals, and they're itemized -- I'm not sure what exhibit it is, but if you look at October 20 21 5th, there were three different charges. One was to 22 SoHos for \$237.18. That's in 2016, January through December, 2016. 23 24 So there was SoHos \$237.00, South Hills

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1814

1	Market, \$120.00 and South Hills Market again, \$26.00.
2	So there's three different expenditures on that same
3	day. Do you know what that would entail?
4	A. Do you know which exhibit it is so I can
5	refer to it real quick?
6	Q. Let me look at it. It's 43. 43, I'm sorry.
7	Would that be lunch and dinner if it
8	were on the same day?
9	MS. NEWBERGER: It's this one.
10	Q. October 5th, 2016.
11	A. Oh, okay, '16.
12	Q. It's down towards the bottom.
13	A. Oh, here.
14	(Counsel conferred with the witness.)
15	A. I can't tell by looking at this document.
16	But what we should have in OASIS - and I don't know if
17	it's in these exhibits anywhere - is the actual credit
18	card images, the credit card receipts, and those would
19	have a date a time stamp on them, so then we could
20	see if it was lunch or dinner.
21	This report doesn't indicate that, so I
22	would need those credit card receipts to see the the
23	time stamps on them.
24	Q. Okay. We were told that they were for

Г

1	working lunches and we there's one on the same page,
2	November 9th, Tricky Fish for \$28.00. That would
3	indicate possibly one person. That wouldn't include
4	the the Supreme like all the justices, would it,
5	for a \$28.00 expenditure?
6	A. I don't know. For that dollar amount, I
7	wouldn't think so, but I really don't know.
8	Q. And P-card policy on the Auditor's website
9	states very clearly that "Hospitality events must be
10	supported by itemized receipts and invoices and list by
11	first and last names all attendees."
12	Do you have any knowledge that all of
13	the attendees for each of these working lunches or
14	dinners would have listed who had attended and whose
15	lunches or dinners would be who we were paying for?
16	Do you have any knowledge of a list of
17	attendees for each working lunch as required by the
18	P-card policy?
19	A. Yes, my office uploads those all of those
20	all of the required documentation, and we require
21	the credit card receipt as well as the hospitality form
22	that does list all the names of the attendees.
23	So that's why I was saying there's
24	additional documentation that would answer the

1 questions on these, but I don't see them here in the 2 exhibits.

Okay. So they did list the attendees, so 3 0. 4 that would -- that would actually mean that they --5 that the Court did adhere to P-card policies then. Ιf that's required by P-card policy as stated on the 6 7 Auditor's website, then that kind of indicates that they fall under the P-card policies and they're not 8 9 exempt from that. Would you agree?

A. Generally, we follow all of the P-card policies. I'm -- there's just slight exceptions here and there. But generally -- I mean, it's good practice to follow those, and so we have always uploaded the hospitality forms with those, yes.

Q. So any exception would be granted by whom?The justices themselves?

A. And no one's ever said specifically to me about that. It was just kind of the way that things have always been, that -- and again, you know, the lack of written policies or procedures has kind of exacerbated the problem, that these things have always just gone on, it's just kind of standard practice to do it this way.

24

And without written policies and

1 procedures, it's difficult to know when things started 2 to be the way they are. 3 Q. Okay. 4 DELEGATE SOBONYA: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Lovejoy? 6 DELEGATE LOVEJOY: No. 7 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Fluharty? 8 Delegate Byrd? 9 DELEGATE BYRD: No. 10 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Harshbarger, 11 questions? 12 DELEGATE HARSHBARGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 14 Thanks for being here. 15 EXAMINATION BY DELEGATE HARSHBARGER: 16 17 One of the things my colleagues asked was the Ο. 18 -- the purchase order for the sofa for Justice Davis' 19 office. You said it looked like it was surely intended to be paid for by State funds. Also in that same 20 21 exhibit, Exhibit 51 on page 57, there's also a quote, 22 which I believe is the quote to reupholster the two arm 23 chairs? I'm sorry, which exhibit is that? Is it in 24 Α.

1 the large book? 2 Yes, the big book. 0. 3 Α. Okay. 4 Ο. Exhibit 51. 5 Okay, I have Exhibit 51. Α. Yeah, it's toward the back. I think it's 6 Q. 7 page -- about 57. Who's the vendor? Because I have these in 8 Α. 9 alphabetical order by the vendor. 10 0. It's just a memo, it says -- it's dated June 11 30th, 2014. It's basically a quote to reupholster the 12 two Milo chairs that was sent to the Court. 13 Α. Oh, okay, I think I see -- twenty -- \$2,790? 14 0. Yes. 15 Α. Okay, yes, I have it. 16 So here's another instance where it looked 0. like State funds would be used to reupholster two 17 18 chairs when it was actually for a personal item? 19 It certainly looks that way to me. Α. I mean, 20 Steve Canterbury has signed it. 21 Ο. Yes, Steve Canterbury approved it. And then 22 also earlier -- in the same exhibit, before -- just a couple of pages before there, there's the shipping for 23 24 those same two chairs from Plycor (Phonetic), I believe

1 it is. 2 Uh-huh. Yes, I think that was put on a State Α. 3 P-card. 4 Ο. Yes, State P-card. 5 Α. Uh-huh. 6 In the memo -- referring to the memo -- I 0. 7 think it's in Exhibit 51, but it's also in another exhibit, this where you talk about recapping your 8 9 meeting with Justice Davis. What spurred or initiated that meeting three years after these costs were assumed 10 11 by the State? 12 When I began putting that information Α. 13 together for each office in response to Kennie Bass' FOIA, as I kind of got costs together by office, I 14 15 would send them to that specific justice so they could 16 look at what I had done so far so they could help me as far as "No, this is missing, that's missing," that sort 17 18 of thing. 19 And so Justice Davis had a list of the items that I had identified in her office that had been 20 21 purchased with State funds, and then she asked for me 22 to come up and meet with her personally, myself and Kim Ellis, so that we could go over that and discuss the 23 24 items that were in her office and what was her personal

1 items versus what was State property. 2 So did she have any recollection that the Ο. 3 State actually paid for these items three years prior 4 to this meeting? 5 She seemed very surprised by it. I don't Α. think that she knew that that was the case. 6 7 So if -- if they're her personal items, she Ο. had no recollection of how they were paid for? 8 9 Α. She -- I really -- my impression was that she was surprised that she had not purchased these items 10 11 herself. She seemed very surprised that State money 12 had been spent on those items. Because even the sofa, we talked about 13 at length, and I had the picture of the sofa and the 14 15 description of the sofa, and we had looked at the sofa 16 together and determined that in fact was the very sofa that was in the documents. 17 18 Yeah, and I think it's mentioned also in your Ο. memo that those two chairs were purchased by Steve 19 20 Canterbury at an auction? 21 Α. Yes. But I couldn't find any evidence of 22 State money being spent, so I don't know how he paid for them at the auction. 23 24 Yeah, I went back through Plycor and actually 0.

1 went to the auction site. Those two chairs are listed 2 _ _ 3 Oh. Α. 4 Ο. -- June 9th, 2013 on their auction site, so 5 somehow they were purchased. 6 DELEGATE HARSHBARGER: That's all I 7 have. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 9 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Miller? 10 DELEGATE MILLER: Thank you, 11 Mr. Chairman. 12 Thank you for being here, Ms. Troy. 13 A question of counsel, please. 14 MR. HARDISON: Yes, sir. 15 DELEGATE MILLER: And I may have missed this earlier. At least initially, it was a little bit 16 difficult to hear you. What code section were -- are 17 18 we looking at in regard to the senior status judges 19 being capped as far as their benefits and retirement and such as that? 20 21 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: It's 51-2-13. 22 MR. HARDISON: The two that I have cited in front of me are 51-2-13 and/or 51-9-10, but 23 24 generally it relates to a statutory limitation upon

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

1 senior status judges compensation when they're sitting 2 as a senior status judge. 3 DELEGATE MILLER: 51-2-13? Okay. 4 And in regard to the 51 -- 51-9 5 sections, is that a retirement section in and of itself? Is -- I guess what I'm trying to find out, are 6 7 we getting ready to get into civil law violation, criminal code violation, etc.? Or are we gonna talk 8 9 about that later? 10 MR. CASTO: We'll talk about that 11 later. 12 MR. HARDISON: I think co-counsel's 13 indicated he's going to cover that following the conclusion of the -- the testimony here with Ms. Troy, 14 15 but I don't -- I don't have any of those sections 16 directly in front of me --17 DELEGATE MILLER: Okay. 18 MR. HARDISON: -- specifically. Okay. Do these 19 DELEGATE MILLER: 20 senior status judges fall under the classification of a 21 "leased employee" in the retirement section of the code 22 on 51-9-4? 23 MR. HARDISON: I'm not aware. I'm 24 sorry.

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 MR. CASTO: I don't know either, to be 2 honest with you. 3 DELEGATE MILLER: Okay. All right, 4 thank you. 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY DELEGATE MILLER: 7 Ms. Troy, if a senior status judge is Ο. serving, are they treated as a full-time employee? 8 9 Α. I don't know the answer, because I don't have that level of payroll involvement. My access with 10 11 payroll, my interaction with payroll, is only when it 12 comes to financial transactions, so I can't say that I 13 have any firsthand knowledge of that. And once they've maxed out -- I think we had 14 0. 15 some testimony earlier, once they maxed out to the 16 salary of a sitting judge, then they are required to complete a WV-48 form? 17 My understanding is the WV-48 form was 18 Α. 19 initiated when they were reaching a cap amount that affected their retirement benefits. No one ever spoke 20 21 to me about anything related to a sitting judge. Ιt 22 was related specifically to their retirement amount, and that's where that cap came into place. 23 24 Yeah, that's what I was talking about. 0. Once

1 they got to that cap, then they're treated as a 2 non-full-time employee? 3 Α. When they reached the cap that would have 4 reduced their retirement benefits, they became an 5 independent contractor and went -- actually went off of payroll. 6 7 When they're on the payroll, are they Ο. considered a full-time or a part-time employee? 8 9 Α. That, I don't know. So you're not aware of whether either of 10 Ο. 11 those positions as a senior status applies -- or would require a WV-48 form where they have to certify that 12 13 they are not currently a full-time employee of the 14 State of West Virginia? 15 Α. A WV-48 would not be required when they were 16 paid on payroll. The WV-48 was generally completed -and there could have been a few that were not, but I 17 18 think from the ones that I've seen, WV-48s were 19 completed for senior status judges who are becoming 20 independent contractors, going off of payroll and going 21 onto 1099 status. 22 I guess the trouble I'm having is: On the Ο. WV-48, they have -- they certify that they are not 23 24 currently a full-time employee of the State of West

1 Virginia. Do we assume that they are considered a 2 full-time employee before they max out on their 3 retirement cap? 4 Α. I really can't answer that. I don't know. 5 The WV-48, if you know, it's titled 0. Okay. 6 "State of West Virginia Purchasing Division." Do these 7 -- do these WV-48s go to a different entity? Do they go to the Purchasing Division for oversight or 8 9 administration, or is the Supreme Court just utilizing 10 these and keeping them in-house? 11 Α. They do not go to Purchasing. The Supreme 12 Court is utilizing the forms and keeping them in-house. 13 0. So there is no oversight from an outside agency to find out whether Purchasing requirements are 14 15 being followed in regard to this contract employee. 16 Α. Right. I believe that the Supreme Court, there's a specific exemption from the Court not being 17 18 under Purchasing, State Purchasing. But we did, in 19 that case, use that form. Even with the use of a 1099, if you're aware, 20 0. 21 could that cause a problem because they are being paid 22 as a contract employee by the State of West Virginia, still cause problems with the Consolidated Public 23 24 Retirement Board as it applies to the Judicial

1	Retirement System?
2	A. I have no idea. I've not ever gotten
3	involved with the Judicial Retirement System. I don't
4	know the the ins out and outs of that.
5	Q. Are you aware if the information contained on
6	a 1099 form is reported - even as a contract employee -
7	to the Consolidated Public Retirement Board?
8	A. I don't have any knowledge of that, no.
9	Q. We've heard some testimony over the last few
10	days from some other individuals that that led us to
11	believe that there's been a variation of treatment of
12	employees and individuals in the Supreme Court system.
13	Have you ever been threatened by anyone
14	regarding your employment, knowledge of issues or
15	communicating with other members of the Court or
16	Supreme Court employees?
17	A. Only by Mr. Canterbury. Never by any of the
18	justices.
19	Q. Do you have any concern or fear for your job
20	as a result of working with investigators or providing
21	testimony here before the Committee?
22	A. Well, I mean, as I said earlier, I'm an "at
23	will" employee; I can be terminated at any time for any
24	reason, so all I can do is tell the truth.

1 Has anyone made reference to you that you Q. 2 need to be careful what you tell before this Committee? 3 Α. No. 4 0. Thank you. 5 DELEGATE MILLER: Thank you, 6 Mr. Chairman. 7 Delegate Robinson. 8 DELEGATE ROBINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 10 EXAMINATION BY DELEGATE ROBINSON: 11 12 Ms. Troy, I'm trying to figure out the couple 0. 13 diff -- we've got a couple different versions of how we're missing information or how the Legislative 14 15 Auditor's information was missing a section or 16 something of that sort. I believe Ms. Allen stated to 17 18 legislative auditors, as Mr. Robinson testified, that 19 Justice Loughry requested information be removed from the binder. 20 21 Then we have a letter from you on last 22 Monday, July 30th, that says you accidentally left it 23 out. 24 And then today, your statement is that

-	
1	Justice Loughry you requested to put it back in and
2	then Justice Loughry told you not to put it back in.
3	So can you can you describe the
4	conversation you had with Justice Loughry about
5	information not being disclosed to the auditor like
6	and detail that conversation?
7	A. In the in the initial binder when I was
8	putting that together, what I knew was that the Silling
9	contractor invoices for their the structural design
10	kind of work and engineering services, that that was
11	not in the binder for Justice Benjamin's office,
12	Justice Loughry's office, Justice Workman's office or
13	Justice Ketchum's office, because we did not have a
14	breakdown from the Silling architects for those four
15	offices.
16	So I knew that that information was
17	missing, meaning their offices were understated. And
18	there was no way to get that information from them. I
19	couldn't make up what didn't exist.
20	So I felt to do an apples-to-apples
21	comparison, I should remove those same items from
22	Justice Davis' section so that what we were comparing
23	was the same between between the chambers. And
24	Justice Walker's office also. Those two have fees that

	VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018
1	the four other chambers don't have.
2	As far as the the communication from
3	Barbara Allen, I think that could have been just a
4	misunderstanding about what was omitted, what was
5	included, that sort of thing.
б	Q. But you did have a conversation with Justice
7	Loughry about this information being in the report or
8	not being in the report. Can you tell me about that
9	discussion?
10	A. In the binder itself, right. I wanted to
11	remove the architectural fees from Justice Davis'
12	office because it was her office was about \$30,000
13	higher because of that. And meanwhile, the other four
14	had no architectural fees.
15	So if you wanted to do a comparison
16	that was fair and equal, you would remove those. And
17	he said, "No, they're they're allocated correctly.
18	They were for her office, leave them in there."
19	And so I did, because it didn't one,
20	I'm not going to argue with the Chief Justice, and it's
21	not it didn't make her office incorrect. It just
22	made it inconsistent with the others.
23	Q. The request was for a total package and a
24	description of a comparison of the offices. Four of

1	the offices did not have certain fees in there,
2	totaling \$30,000 on Justice Davis', and the others did
3	not.
4	And the Chief Justice of the of the
5	Court requested you not disclose that to the
6	legislative auditor, not disclose that or clear that up
7	in the report?
8	Do you not find that as a misleading
9	report you provided?
10	A. This wasn't in relation to the legislative
11	auditor. It was in the FOIA request to Kennie Bass,
12	where Kenny Bass wanted the cost by office.
13	Q. So this was information that you provided to
14	a news source that would be disbursed to the public and
15	there were a report that your the information you
16	were providing, you felt was misleading, and Justice
17	Loughry told you to leave it that way?
18	A. He advised me to leave Justice Davis'
19	architectural fees in her office costs.
20	Q. How did you how did you approach Justice
21	Loughry? How did what was your conversation, your
22	statement to him to initiate this conversation?
23	A. I think it was when I am completing you
24	know, kind of wrapping things up, nearly had all the

1	totals by office and felt like we had enough
2	information that we could respond to the FOIA request
3	at that point.
4	But at the same time, I felt like
5	Justice Davis' office was you know, had had costs
6	that the others didn't, which didn't seem
7	Q. So I think I think my question is: What
8	did you say to Justice Loughry to indicate to him that
9	you thought you had enough information to provide, but
10	it was not an accurate report at that time? How did
11	you disburse that or communicate that to him?
12	A. I was talking to him about it and told him
13	just that, that Justice Davis' office had architectural
14	fees that the others did not. And the others didn't
15	because I didn't have enough information to do that.
16	Q. So your statement to him was, "We have all
17	the information except for I'm missing some fees from
18	your office and four other justices and I have those
19	for Justice Davis', so the the information I'm
20	providing isn't exactly accurate and could be
21	misleading."
22	And what was his response to that?
23	A. That those fees were for her office - we knew
24	that, because she'd used a different architectural firm

- and to leave -- leave them in there because they were 1 for her office. 2 3 0. Did you disclose it to him that -- in that 4 way, that you find this to be misleading? 5 Α. I don't know that I said it was "misleading," but I said that I should re -- I wanted to remove those 6 7 so that each office was treated consistently. Can you -- can you give me kind of a detailed 8 0. 9 explanation of what -- how he did that? Because I 10 think there's a big difference between what you state 11 in this letter that "I accidentally provided something 12 that didn't have that" and the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of West Virginia telling you to take 13 14 information -- or leave information out. 15 Do you see the big difference that I 16 see there? 17 I'm not -- I'm having a little bit of trouble Α. 18 hearing. 19 I'm sorry. I see a big difference between Ο. 20 the way you stated it in the letter here as saying "I 21 accidentally provided it without that information" and 22 the statement that we've heard you say today that Justice Loughry told you not to put it in there. 23 24 That -- that's two very different

1 stories to me, and I find a big difference there. 2 That's what I -- I'm trying to get 3 explanation of how that happened and how he 4 communicated that to you and what he said. 5 I'm not -- is it -- are you referring to a Α. 6 letter from me? 7 I believe this is from -- from Sue Racer-Troy 0. to Barbara Allen on July 30th, 2018: "After the binder 8 was given to Kennie Bass, additional invoices related 9 10 to these office renovations have been located." 11 Α. Okay, I know what you're talking about. 12 0. So -- do you understand -- I mean, I find it 13 different -- the comment you put in the letter is very different than Justice Loughry telling you not to put 14 15 it in the comparison that you provided to Kennie Bass. 16 Α. Yeah, I'm actually dealing with two separate issues there. My letter to Barbara Allen was -- this 17 18 binder was put together and it was never meant to be an 19 audited final, you know, full accounting of the costs. I knew that we would continue to find additional items 20 21 related to the offices. 22 And so rather than altering the binder - knowing that it had gone out once and there were 23 24 subsequent FOIAs for it - I left it intact, and

1 additional items I found, I kept totally separate. 2 And so that's -- when I sent that memo 3 to Barbara Allen the other day, I'm telling her, "These 4 are the items I found subsequent to the production of 5 the book." 6 When the book was given to Kennie Bass, 7 I made a disclosure that the Silling architectural fees were missing from Justice Benjamin, Justice Workman, 8 9 Justice Ketchum and Justice Loughry's office. So these are different -- these are different 10 0. 11 fees that we're talking about in the letter. 12 Yes, that's correct. Α. 13 Ο. But you -- you made the same discovery of the design fees and those kind of things and provided that 14 15 to Justice Loughry, and he told you to continue to 16 leave them out. I spoke to him about that. He said, "Leave 17 Α. 18 them in there because they are for her office." 19 And not to include the fees to his office and 0. 20 other justices. 21 Α. I couldn't include the fees because we didn't 22 have enough information for me to make a -- any type of allocation of those fees. 23 24 Ο. Okay.

1	DELEGATE ROBINSON: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Fleischauer.
3	MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Thank you,
4	Mr. Chairman.
5	And thank you for being here today.
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER:
8	Q. I wanted to did you ever come to any
9	conclusion about the differences in the cost between
10	the different offices for the architecture charges, or
11	is that something you're still trying to break down?
12	A. The the Court has requested the
13	information from Silling. To date, we don't have any
14	breakdown from Silling.
15	Q. Okay. What do you can you remember, or
16	to the best of your recollection, what was the amount
17	in Justice Davis' office that had to do with
18	architectural services?
19	A. I believe it was around \$30,000.
20	Q. Okay. So it wasn't a large portion of those
21	renovation costs.
22	A. Right. As a percentage of the total
23	renovation, it would have it would not have been a
24	large amount, no.

1	Q. Okay. And do you know you don't you
2	haven't you just don't have any idea what the
3	charges were for the other four or five offices that
4	were included in a different bill.
5	A. I can see the total, but
6	Q. What was the total?
7	A. It was three hundred and they they
8	broke it down into it just says "Justices Chambers,"
9	but it doesn't say which chamber.
10	Q. Okay. It was over \$300,000?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And that was remind me again. You've said
13	it three times. But that was for Ketchum
14	A Benjamin, Workman
15	Q. Workman.
16	A and Loughry's offices.
17	Q. And Walker?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Okay, thank you. Did you you said that
20	you're an "at will" employee. Did you ever get the
21	impression that Loughry expected loyalty from you? Is
22	that something you said there was an atmosphere of
23	fear because people were losing their jobs around you.
24	Did you did you have an expectation

1 of loyalty, that he -- did you have an understanding 2 that he expected you to be loyal? 3 Α. I think that each of the justices expect us 4 to do our job and be loyal to the Court and -- I --5 Well, did you feel any differently when he Ο. became Chief Justice, that there was an expectation you 6 should be loyal to him over and above any of the other 7 8 justices? 9 Α. I didn't feel that way, because I've always felt that the five are equal. 10 11 Ο. Okay. 12 Α. Regardless of who the chief is, to me, all 13 five are equal. Okay. You said that -- I'm a little bit 14 Ο. 15 confused. We know -- you talked about the fixed asset 16 inventory, that it was kept in the past under the FIMS 17 system? 18 Uh-huh. Α. 19 And I think you said it was kept until 2010. 0. 20 Is that right? 21 Α. That's what the FIMS records indicate. Ι 22 couldn't find anything being added after 2010. And that would have -- and I was a little 23 Ο. 24 confused about whether you said that was Mr. Fletch

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 Adkins or whether that was Mr. Proops who was 2 responsible for doing that. 3 Α. When I came to work for the Court, I was told 4 Administrative Services did that, Fletch Adkins took 5 care of that. Who told you that, if you can recall? 6 Ο. 7 Α. I think Fletch himself told me, or Mike There was a division on kind 8 Proops may have told me. of who was doing what. 9 10 0. Okay. 11 Α. And I do know that I talked to Fletch about 12 the fixed assets, and so I knew that he did that. 13 Ο. So was Mr. Proops on for a while while you --14 did he stay for a while after you started? 15 Α. We trained for one month together. 16 For one month, okay. 0. 17 Uh-huh. Α. 18 So do you recall any conversation with him Ο. 19 about the fixed -- keeping track of fixed assets, that 20 that was his responsibility? 21 Α. Mike Proops did not do that. By the time 22 that I -- unless he did it at some point in the past, and then it may have transferred to Fletch Adkins. 23 But 24 when I was training with Mike Proops, Finance was not

1	responsible for adding the fixed assets into the
2	system.
3	Q. So he didn't say that was part of his job.
4	A. No, absolutely not.
5	Q. Okay. Did you ever when you with the
б	work that you were doing oh, let me let me go
7	back to Exhibit 69, one of the first ones we talked
8	about today, and let's just look at the first page of
9	that.
10	What I have written down here, you
11	talked about Item E under Operating Expenses, and
12	that's Building Repairs and Improvements?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Just on the first page. And you said that
15	was where the Silling invoices would be, right?
16	A. That's where they would be allocated. Now,
17	this only is for two months of the fiscal year, so this
18	would have only been items paid in July and August of
19	2012. This is just for that specific time frame.
20	Q. Okay. Would that have included anything else
21	for circuit courts or magistrate courts that were
22	repaired or improved?
23	A. Yes, that would include everything, for
24	all

1 Okay. So that's -- it's just a part of a Q. 2 larger amount. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. Possibly. We don't know what was done those -- you know, this doesn't indicate exactly where that 5 -- those repairs were made. 6 7 Α. That is correct, yes. Okay. Going back to that letter that Justice 8 0. 9 Davis or Mr. Canterbury wrote in 2016, he wrote it to Ms. Davis, but it doesn't -- or Justice Davis, but it 10 11 doesn't appear to be signed. 12 This was March 14th, 2016 that that was 13 done, and this was long before any investigations, 14 right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And so was it -- like this 1970 coffee table, Ο. 17 was that something that was purchased in 1970 or bought 18 later? 19 If you know. Do you know? I think the coffee table, I'm not sure about. 20 Α. 21 I know the chairs and the sofa were -- were purchased 22 later, I guess like as vintage items. But the coffee 23 table, I'm -- I'm not sure about that one. 24 What about the lamps? Because there's a big 0.

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	list of things here
2	A. All of them. I I found no evidence that
3	those were purch that those were ever purchased with
4	State funds, so I don't have any records on them.
5	Q. So the only two things that you think might
6	have do you think there is a possibility there was
7	just confusion here about who paid for what?
8	A. I don't
9	Q. That was what you
10	A. I mean, I
11	Q you saw?
12	A. I guess I can't really say, but I can say
13	I can't say what they thought, but I can relay that
14	Justice Davis seemed surprised when I talked to her
15	that State money had been spent for those items.
16	Q. And that's the only two things on this whole
17	list that where there was a discrepancy.
18	A. I think there was the sofa, the two chairs,
19	and I think some work on the coffee table. I think it
20	had been sent out for some kind of repair work and that
21	had been put on a State P-card, I believe.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. So we identified the items that were in
24	question.

1 And would that have been before or after Q. 2016? 2 3 I'd have to look it up here in her section. Α. 4 The sofa was purchased in 2013 with State money. 5 The -- it says, "Correct the finish on the stainless steel coffee table base." It was sent 6 7 out for some kind of repair work. And that was in 2013. 8 9 The shipping charge on the chairs was 10 2013. 11 And the work to reupholster two lounge 12 chairs purchased at auction was in 2014. So this money 13 was --14 Which ones are the lounge chairs? Is that 0. 15 the arms chairs or --16 Α. The Milo -- Milo Baughman, I think is the name, arm chairs. 17 18 Oh, okay. So those are the lounge chairs/ Ο. arm chairs. 19 20 Right, right. Α. They --21 0. So that was the shipping for them. 22 Α. Yeah. 23 So there are these three invoices. Any -- is 0. 24 there anything else on the -- on that list that you

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 have to indicate that is State property or not State 2 property? 3 Α. No, just the -- just those items: The sofa, 4 the arm chairs and the repair work done to the coffee 5 table. 6 Okay, those three. 0. 7 Α. I couldn't find --8 Ο. Okay. I couldn't find where the coffee table 9 Α. Yeah. was purchased with State funds, so I assume it wasn't, 10 11 because I couldn't find the evidence otherwise. 12 Okay. And then would you do invoice -- I Ο. think you talked about doing invoices for contractors. 13 Did you have anything to do -- or did it ever cross 14 15 your desk, invoices relating to Software Systems, Inc. 16 that was involved in the UJA work? I've seen those invoices. I don't know that 17 Α. 18 I personally processed any of them, but I'm familiar 19 with the company. 20 Ο. Would they have come across -- go through 21 your office, the Finance Division? 22 Yes, they would have come through Finance for Α. 23 payment, yes. 24 I have information that they have an 0.

1	outstanding debt owed to them - that they believe is
2	owed to them - for over \$200,000 during this time when
3	there were a lot of other expenses that were paid for.
4	Do you know anything about that, why
5	they weren't paid?
6	A. No, I have no idea. I've heard of that, and
7	I've heard of some litigation, but I don't know any of
8	the details of it, no.
9	Q. Okay.
10	MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Thank you.
11	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY CHAIRMAN SHOTT:
14	Q. Ms. Troy, I have a series of questions
15	regarding a couple of different topics. The first one
16	deals with this 1099 issue.
17	So typically when an employee becomes
18	an independent contractor - and I think you men you
19	confirmed this - the withholding of payroll taxes
20	ceases, which to me, means that the employer is not
21	only not holding any out, the employer is not paying
22	the employer's share of Social Security, for instance,
23	or unemployment taxes.
24	Is that based on your knowledge as a

1 CPA, is that correct? 2 Yes, that is correct. Α. 3 Okay. So when these justices -- I'm sorry, Ο. 4 when these senior status judges converted from a W-2 to 5 a 1099, then the responsibility for withholding their own taxes for personal income taxes shifts to them. 6 7 Correct? Yes, that is correct. 8 Α. 9 0. My understanding is that there's a wage base, 10 and so if you are earning above the wage base, you may 11 not have any additional tax if you get income that 12 exceeds that Social Security wage base. That is 13 correct, is it not? 14 Α. Yes, that's right. There's a cap on Social 15 Security and how much a person -- once they earn more 16 than a certain amount, right, that stops. So if, by chance, the threshold -- and at --17 Ο. 18 from 2011, I believe, until current, the sitting 19 circuit judges were earning \$126,000. 20 So for purposes of my example, if they 21 -- the total amount they drew from retirement and W-2s 22 and 1099s did not exceed that amount -- or did not exceed the wage base, then they wouldn't have had to 23 24 pay any additional Social Security taxes. Correct?

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018 1 Let me rephrase that, because I've even 2 confused myself with that one. 3 Α. Okay. 4 Ο. Let's suppose that the -- the amount that the 5 person received from W-2s and retirement was \$100,000, and the wage base is \$110,000, and then they shift to a 6 7 1099. Anything that's under that \$10,000, they would pay -- be responsible for Social Security tax, but over 8 9 and above that, they would not. 10 Is that fair? 11 Α. I think that's correct. I'm just trying to 12 qo through all that -- all that tax law in my head. 13 Ο. Well, let me ask a different question. 14 There's no limit on the Medicaid -- or Medicare tax, is 15 there? 16 Α. I think that's correct. I think it's just --17 Ο. So --18 -- the other portion of it. Α. 19 -- if we shifted from a W-2 to a 1099, then 0. 20 someone's supposed to pay -- the employer would not be 21 withholding that Medicare tax, right? On the 1099. 22 Yes, I think that's correct. Α. Would not be withholding that. 23 Q. 24 That's right. Α.

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	Q. Would not be withholding anything for the
2	unemployment tax. My question then gets to this point:
3	Do we know whether the State Supreme Court, out of
4	their budget, paid either of those taxes from to
5	make up the loss of that tax revenue when we switched
6	to a 1099?
7	A. I don't have any knowledge of that, but I
8	don't see any way that we would have, that the Supreme
9	Court would have paid that.
10	Q. When the IRS conducted its audit and I
11	understood that they paid they issued a check of our
12	taxpayer money to the IRS for \$227,541. Was any part
13	of that to make up for the loss to the Medicaid
14	Medicare Trust Fund for unpaid Medicare taxes?
15	A. I didn't see that level of detail in the
16	audit findings, so I can't answer that.
17	Q. Do we know whether there was any part of that
18	that went to pay unemployment taxes that were not paid
19	due to the fact that we'd shifted from a W-2 to a 1099?
20	A. Again, I have no knowledge of that level of
21	detail of their audit findings.
22	Q. Where would we find - if we wanted to find
23	out - how that \$227,000 broke out, how that would have
24	been allocated? Who would have that information?

1	A. The Court's administrative counsel has the
2	audit findings and their detailed audit reports, and
3	those audit reports had their calculations.
4	Q. Okay. Now, with respect to that same issue,
5	you mentioned someone that was the recusal
6	administrator, clerk, whatever. Do you have a name for
7	that person during the period you've been employed by
8	the Court?
9	A. I think generally, Shannon Shannon Green
10	handles the recusals and works with the whoever the
11	sitting Chief Justice is at that time, to fill
12	vacancies.
13	Q. Does that position shift or that person that
14	fills that position shift from Chief Justice to Chief
15	Justice, or does that person remain the same?
16	A. During my time there, she's remained the
17	same. So I think only if she was on vacation or out
18	for some sort of leave would somebody else take that
19	responsibility. But generally, she's had that
20	responsibility since I've been there.
21	Q. Okay. Let me shift gears a little bit to
22	this Silling - I think that's how you say it - the
23	design invoice. And I've got that I think it's part
24	of Exhibit 63, I believe. \$374,139.81, which covered a

1 period from March 3rd of '09 through March 7 of '13. 2 So about -- basically four years. Let 3 me give you a minute to look at that, if you would, 4 please. 5 You say Exhibit 9? Α. Exhibit 63. 6 Q. 7 Oh, 63. Sorry. Α. Okay, so I see on there a four-year period 8 Ο. 9 ending with either an invoice date or a service date -I'm not sure which - of 3-7-2013. Correct? 10 This is 11 the one that basically refers to Justices Office 12 Renovations. 13 Α. Okay, Justices Office Renovations, yes, \$374,139.81. 14 15 Ο. All right. Now, what I'm trying to do is 16 narrow this down somewhat. As I understand it, Justice Loughry was -- became a justice in '13. He was elected 17 18 in 2012 and became a justice in '13. 19 So if I'm looking at this correctly, 20 about the only one on this list that could have been for his office would have been the last one, the \$3,000 21 22 -- or \$4,050. 23 Is that fair to say? 24 It is, based on their invoices. But I -- I Α.

1	know - having been in that office when Justice McHugh
2	was there and then having been in it after the
3	renovations - that Silling would have done a lot of
4	work in there, and \$4,050 is is not a reasonable
5	amount just based upon what I personally saw as far as
6	the renovations and the work that went on in there.
7	Q. Have you come across any other invoices that
8	aren't listed on this sheet that would be after that
9	date from Silling?
10	A. The only other ones from Silling after that
11	date indicate work elsewhere, so
12	Q. Okay.
13	A to me, it looked like maybe the way their
14	billing was done didn't really match up. Because
15	everything after that, they refer to the clerk's office
16	and the elevator upgrade and there's just
17	It seems that something's not quite
18	right with the way their their bills what their
19	bills reference, anyway.
20	Q. Well, let me ask a different question then
21	about that, because it occurred to me that somewhere
22	along the line, we've had testimony that the person
23	that was the Silling decorator went to work for the
24	Court sometime around the time that Justice Loughry's

1 office was being decorated. 2 Is that consistent with your memory at 3 all? Could --4 Α. That --5 Could that explain maybe that that person was 0. just a Court employee providing similar services at 6 7 that time without Silling getting paid for them? She did come to work for the Court, and that 8 Α. would be Kim Ellis. She had worked for Silling, and 9 she came to work for the Court. I think it was 10 11 sometime in late '13. It could have been in '14. Ι 12 can't remember specifically when she was hired. 13 So that could be a question that she 14 could answer. I really can't speak to that. 15 Ο. Okav. Now, that leaves Justices Workman, 16 Benjamin and Ketchum that would be covered by this period, and I'm looking at the -- the dates of the 17 18 invoices. It looks like Justice Workman's invoices 19 extend over a period from October 5th of '09 all the way through November 7th of '13, which would pretty 20 21 well cover this entire time frame of these invoices. 22 Is that fair to say? 23 Α. I think that's correct, yeah. And I think 24 that Justice Ketchum's office was being done right

1 around the same time as Justice Workman's. I wasn't 2 working there yet, but I think that's kind of what the 3 documents indicate.

Q. It appears from the invoices we were provided
that Justice Ketchum's period of renovations went from
February 1 of '10 to November 15 of '13. So presumably
anything before 2010 would not have involved in his -his office. Is that reasonable to conclude?

9 A. I think that would be reasonable, with the 10 exception of any kind of -- I see on some of these, it 11 will say "predesign" or "consulting." I don't know how 12 far ahead of that that happens in the renovation work. 13 I don't know that.

Q. And finally, it looks like Justice Benjamin's invoices extended over a period from July 23rd of 2009 to February 28th of 2011, which goes down about almost two-thirds of the way down that list.

But anything after that probably was not involved in Justice Benjamin's office. Would that be a fair conclusion?

A. I think that would be a fair conclusion, yes.
Q. And I guess my final question in that area:
Was anyone auditing these invoices at that time for
accuracy? Because it appears that basically three

1 offices, \$374,000 worth of design services, seems awful 2 high for three offices. 3 Α. I believe that each of the Silling 4 invoices -- and I have them here in the binder, but I 5 believe they're all signed off by Steve Canterbury. 6 We had Justice Davis with like \$30,000 on her 0. 7 office, so does it seem -- if we just assume these were equally spread among the three office -- the three 8 justices, \$100,000, \$125,000 an office seems awful 9 10 high. 11 Does it seem high to you based on your 12 review of the various invoices in connection with the 13 -- with these renovations? I can't really render an opinion. I didn't 14 Α. 15 see the offices prior to the time that they were 16 renovated to know how much work was done by the architect, so -- and it predated my employment there, 17 18 so I really don't have an opinion one way or the other 19 about it other than that Steve Canterbury was working 20 there and did sign them, so that's kind of all I can 21 rely on. 22 But the Court has requested more detail where 0. you are on these invoices; is that correct? 23 24 Yes, that's correct. Α.

1 And no response so far. Q. 2 No, none so far. Α. Am I correct that Justice Benjamin office --3 Ο. 4 Justice Benjamin's office was -- is now being occupied 5 by Justice Walker; is that correct? Α. Yes, that's correct. 6 7 Ο. And in looking at the invoices for Justice Benjamin's office, which basically went through 2011, 8 9 there was about \$30,000 of furnishings. Do you know if any of those furnishings are still in the office, or if 10 11 not, where they are? 12 I can't speak to what is still in that Α. 13 office. I know that some items, he purchased at the 14 end of his term and took with him. 15 Some items went into storage in our 16 Venable Avenue warehouse, and then some could have been used elsewhere. 17 18 And what -- where would the documentation be 0. of the purchase of any of these assets by Justice 19 20 Benjamin when he left? 21 Α. There's a cash receipt document. I don't 22 know if I have it documented in here. Let me take a look here. 23 No, I don't have it documented here, 24

1 but I can provide that. I have a list of all the items 2 that he purchased. There was an appraisal done, and 3 then the money was given back to the State for those 4 items. 5 Is that the customary process, if some --0. someone leaving the Court - well, let's say a justice 6 7 leaving the Court - would like to take something from their office, that there is an appraisal done and then 8 9 the justice is given the option of paying that price and taking the furnishings? 10 There's no written policy about that, but I 11 Α. 12 was told that that was standard practice. And that was what was done when he left his term. 13 All right. 14 0. 15 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Thank you. 16 Counsel, do you have any redirect? Where's counsel? 17 18 No redirect. 19 All right, we'll start back a second 20 round and hopefully we can --21 Let's try not to ask the same question 22 a third or fourth or fifth time, okay? 23 Delegate Fast. 24 DELEGATE FAST: Thank you, Ms. Troy.

1	RE-EXAMINATION
2	BY DELEGATE FAST:
3	Q. Regarding Kennie Bass' FOIA request, if you
4	have a document that could be read in various ways but
5	the FOIA request simply says, "Give me all the
6	documents pertaining to the renovations," now, you
7	thought that this document could be read wrong because
8	it included, for Justice Davis, approximately \$30,000
9	of Silling work that perhaps some of the other
10	documentation didn't include. Is that correct?
11	A. My understanding is that he didn't Kennie
12	Bass didn't request the documents. He requested, I
13	think, to look at certain documents, and then just a
14	question about if Justice Loughry's office cost twice
15	as much as any other.
16	Q. Was it a written FOIA request?
17	A. That, I don't know. I was my
18	understanding was that he was going to submit a written
19	FOIA. That would have gone to our administrative legal
20	counsel. So that wouldn't have come to me.
21	My job was starting to assemble the
22	information so that they could reply to it.
23	Q. Well, if if you were replying well,
24	were you or were you not replying to a FOIA request?

1 I was assembling information that I believed Α. 2 was gonna -- was going to be on a FOIA request. Okay. And if -- if you were going to respond 3 Ο. 4 to a FOIA request, then you would have been required by 5 law, would you not, to submit all of the documentation the way it was? 6 7 Α. But I think that his -- his question was: "Did Justice Loughry's office cost twice as much as any 8 9 other." I don't think that he requested any level of detail as far as the other offices go. And no one 10 11 discussed with me in advance "We're going to hand him 12 this book." 13 You know, I had my binder that I had put together to the best of my ability and make it as 14 15 accurate as possible. I had Silling in the front of 16 it. And the same thing for the book that I'd made for Judge Johnson. 17 18 But no one ever said to me, "This is going to be publicly release -- publicly released." 19 20 You know, that was not the plan. The plan was for him 21 to be able to look at it, for me to talk about the 22 book, talk about the production of the book, and then it was just handed to him. 23 24 Who asked you to get this ready for 0.

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	submission?
2	A. I was not it wasn't posed to me that way.
3	It was posed to me to calculate the total cost by
4	office, not to make a document that could be released.
5	Just "Do a do an accounting of each office" so that
6	they could answer his FOIA questions.
7	But never "Make a document that we can
8	hand out."
9	Q. But you're not sure if a FOIA request had
10	been submitted at that point.
11	A. I
12	Q. If it had, you didn't know?
13	A. No, it would have gone to administrative
14	counsel, and I didn't ask, "Oh, do you have a FOIA?" I
15	just did what I was told to do.
16	Q. Okay. And so if a FOIA request had been
17	submitted by Kennie Bass, then the duty would have been
18	to present the documents as they were, not taking
19	anything out, not adding anything to it; present the
20	documents.
21	And if that's the case, isn't that what
22	Justice Loughry advised you to do: Leave the documents
23	as they were, period?
24	A. I would have to speculate, but that could

1	have been his reasoning behind it. But that's you
2	know. I can't really say why why he said to do it
3	that way.
4	As I said before, I didn't feel like it
5	was incorrect. It didn't her office was still
6	correct. It was just inconsistent.
7	Q. I understand that. If but if a FOIA
8	request had been presented, then the purpose is to
9	present documents, not present explanations. Is that
10	do you believe that's correct?
11	A. I believe that's correct. But the responses
12	were always sent by legal counsel. You know, I would
13	give the documents to legal counsel and then they would
14	answer the FOIA.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. I never personally answered any of the FOIAs.
17	Q. Okay.
18	DELEGATE FAST: Thank you.
19	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Zatezalo.
21	DELEGATE ZATEZALO: Yeah, I just
22	very quickly.
23	And thank you for being here.
24	EXAMINATION

1	BY DELEGATE ZATEZALO:
2	Q. I want to clear something up for myself. If
3	I read your testimony through today, it is unclear to
4	me exactly who made calls as far as approving expenses.
5	It sounds like it was a mixture of the Court and the
6	administrator. Is that a fair statement?
7	A. Generally, it would be the administer the
8	Administrative Director. When we spoke about the
9	WV-48s, those were always signed by the Chief Justice.
10	And that authorized that person to work as an
11	independent contractor, but then the justices
12	themselves didn't sign the invoices after that.
13	The justices may sign some invoices,
14	but not many.
15	Q. And did the same thing apply to expenses for
16	renovations and that type of thing? Or was it a
17	mixture of of people who had control over it?
18	A. In the renovation documents, I don't recall
19	seeing any signed by any justice. They're almost all
20	signed by the Administrative Director.
21	Q. Okay, very good.
22	DELEGATE ZATEZALO: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Pushkin.
24	DELEGATE PUSHKIN: Thank you,

1	Mr. Chairman.
2	Thank you again, Ms. Troy.
3	RE-EXAMINATION
4	BY DELEGATE PUSHKIN:
5	Q. We heard in other testimony throughout this
6	from a lot of the folks that worked over there that
7	when Justice Loughry became Chief Justice, there were a
8	lot of firings; a lot of people lost their jobs and a
9	lot of people expressed that they were worried about
10	their jobs when they were asked to do things.
11	Now, you expressed earlier that you
12	were not worried about your job, so I'm gonna ask you,
13	conversely, did you receive a raise under Chief Justice
14	Loughry?
15	A. Yes, I did. I had been told over the years
16	that I would at one point that Justice Workman had
17	suggested that I would get a raise. And I didn't.
18	And then I did receive one under
19	Justice Loughry, and that was my right around my
20	five-year anniversary with the Court.
21	Q. It was how much?
22	A. \$12,000.
23	Q. Okay.
24	DELEGATE PUSHKIN: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Back over on the left 2 side. Delegate Isner? No. 3 Delegate -- okay. All right. 4 Ms. Troy, we thank you for your time 5 today, your patience and your endurance. You are dismissed. Thank you. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: We're gonna take a 9 minute and ask our counsel to -- Brian Casto, to give us some overview of this 1099 issue, because it's a 10 11 little bit obscure for most of us. 12 Brian, whenever you're ready. 13 MR. CASTO: All right. So you heard a lot today, especially from Ms. Troy's testimony. 14 You 15 heard a lot of testimony about the WV-48, 1099. 16 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Is your mic on? 17 MR. CASTO: It says that it's on, 18 Mr. Chairman. 19 Battery dead? THE CLERK: 20 No, doesn't appear to be. MR. CASTO: 21 THE CLERK: Try holding it closer to 22 your mouth. 23 MR. CASTO: What'd you do, Johnny? 24 What'd you do?

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 That better? Because I could have just 2 raised my voice. 3 DELEGATE: You're not loud enough. 4 MR. CASTO: Don't bet on it. I heard whoever said that that I was not loud enough. I said, 5 "Don't bet on it." 6 7 So you've heard a lot about the terms 48 and 1099 thrown around today, especially with 8 9 Ms. Racer-Troy's testimony here. So we're gonna give you a little bit of a background on what the pay of 10 11 senior status judges is. 12 Now, we're gonna look at 51-2-13, which 13 is the first enabling statute. The relevant portion is bolded and highlighted, so all you have to do is look 14 15 at it. A salary of a circuit court judge is \$126,000. 16 I need somebody to advance that. 17 Now, we're gonna look at 51-9-10. The 18 text of this section was first enacted in 1949 and 19 It has not been altered since 1991, so twice amended. 20 it has been the law of the State of West Virginia for 21 almost 30 years. 22 It is not to be confused with its preceding section, 51-9-9. Many of you may remember 23 24 51-9-9 because several years ago, there were two

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 circuit judges in the State of West Virginia who took 2 their retirement and then ran for reelection. 3 51-9-9 was amended immediately upon 4 their reelection in 2006 to provide that no judge could 5 both sit the bench and collect his or her retirement money. So 51-9-9 was amended in 2007. 6 7 51-9-10, however, has basically been the same for about 30 years. So 51-9-10 provides for 8 the authorization of the use of these senior status 9 judges by the Supreme Court. It allows them to come in 10 11 and sit the bench when a vacancy is created by recusal, 12 by illness or other good cause on the Supreme Court or on one of the subordinate circuit courts of the State. 13 14 And we can see the highlighted language 15 provided is very simple and straightforward. It says, 16 "Provided" "the per diem and retirement compensation of 17 a senior judge shall not exceed the salary of a sitting 18 judge." 19 So if you are a senior status judge and 20 you are placed upon the bench once again and called forth to duty, you can only make \$126,000 a year in per 21 22 diem and retirement compensation. That's it. That's 23 all. That's the cap. It's a hard limit that we believe was 24

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	put in place essentially for the legislative purpose
2	that if you are doing the work of a circuit judge, you
3	get paid what a circuit judge gets paid.
4	51-9-9, the preceding section, was
5	amended for the exact same reason. You can't retire
6	and collect your pension and then get paid a salary as
7	a circuit judge. You can't retire, come in as a senior
8	status judge and be paid more than a circuit judge for
9	doing a circuit judge's job.
10	The two statutes are entirely
11	consistent one with another.
12	Now, you'll look at the new exhibit
13	which has been placed in front of you, Exhibit 71. The
14	Supreme Court knew this to be the case. If you will
15	look, there is a rule on retired judges admitted to
16	senior status.
17	It is the first five or six pages that
18	you've been provided, and it was authored by then Chief
19	Justice Tom Miller in 1991. It notes: "The
20	compensation for a judge admitted to senior status will
21	be at the rate of \$200.00 per day."
22	And notes in Clause (C) of that:
23	"Provided, however, the per diem and retirement
24	compensation of a retired judge admitted to senior

1	status shall not exceed the salary of a sitting judge."
2	And if you will look through here, this
3	has been amended numerous times. We have another
4	example of the same rule, which is signed by Justice
5	
	Neely in his capacity as acting CJ, on 15 September
6	1994, which right above Justice Neely's signature in
7	Clause (C) notes the same proviso, which is right out
8	of statute.
9	We have updates to this rule
10	promulgated by Justice Miller and by Justice Neely.
11	These updates we have one from October 1, 2000
12	signed by Justice Maynard; one in 2006 signed by
13	Justice Davis; one in 2008 signed by Justice Maynard;
14	one in 2010 signed by Justice Davis and attested to by
15	the clerk; and one in 2011 signed by Justice Workman.
16	All of these note that the Supreme
17	Court has increased the per diem rate. Many of these
18	were done with salary increases of the circuit judges
19	so that the Supreme Court, each time that the circuit
20	judges got a pay raise, would go back in and
21	recalculate the per diem to allow for some increase for
22	these senior status judges to get a little bit more
23	money each time they were called back to the bench to
24	serve.

1	Now, we also have a slightly new
2	wrinkle for you. If you'll look in your packet,
3	there's a Bates stamp WVSCTHJC00016. Looks like this.
4	It's an Administrative Order from 2017.
5	This is a document entered as an
6	Administrative Order of the Supreme Court on May 19th
7	of that year by then Chief Justice Allen Loughry. As
8	members of the Legislative Branch, you all may have an
9	opinion about what you're about to read.
10	Justice Loughry notes in this article
11	that he has general supervisory control as the Supreme
12	Court and the Chief Justice shall be the administrative
13	head of all the courts, and he recites the authority of
14	51-9-10 that reasonable pay be made to such judges who
15	sit as senior status judges on a per diem basis,
16	provided, however, that the per diem and retirement
17	compensation of a senior judge shall not exceed the
18	salary of a sitting judge.
19	And if you will look on this next page,
20	right above his signature: "Chief Justice Loughry
21	says, however, that in light of the administrative
22	authority vested in the Chief Justice, the Chief
23	Justice hereby orders that the Chief Justice has
24	authority to determine in certain exigent circumstances

1	that a senior judicial officer may continue in an
2	appointment beyond the limitations set forth in West
3	Virginia Code 51-9-10."
4	In other words, they will be paid in
5	excess of the moneys due a sitting circuit judge
б	because the Court believes that it is necessary for
7	them to do so.
8	And as Chief Justice of the Court,
9	Justice Loughry said, "We're going to do that."
10	Now, of course, that's a question which
11	would have had to have been litigated, should you have
12	chosen to contest that, before the Supreme Court.
13	We have also within that same packet a
14	series of WV-48s so that you can get a better look at
15	what these look like. These have the numbers redacted
16	which are personally identifying.
17	As you can see, each Chief Justice -
18	starting in about 2012 - signed off on these. We have
19	Justice Ketchum in 2012; one from Justice Workman in
20	2015; one from Justice Benjamin in 2013; we have one,
21	again, from Justice Workman in 2015; one from Justice
22	Davis in '14; another one from Benjamin in '13.
23	These all provide the authority of the
24	Chief Justice to execute the WV-48.

1	Now, why is that significant? Because,
2	as we now know, there is an absolute limit provided in
3	West Virginia Code that a senior status judge cannot
4	make more than a sitting circuit judge.
5	That is the plain language of code.
б	The Supreme Court determined upon - as you have heard
7	from Ms. Troy and Mr. Canterbury - the use of the WV-48
8	system and the issuance of 1099s as independent
9	contractors to pay these judges in excess of the salary
10	of a sitting judge.
11	You have heard that Justice McHugh -
12	during his time when he was recalled to duty - paid
13	that money back because he knew that to be wrong.
14	What does this mean? Mr. Canterbury
15	also noted that there were personnel who kept track of
16	when the per diem and retirement compensation equaled
17	that of a sitting circuit judge so that they could then
18	transition that judge over to the WV-48/1099 system and
19	cease using W-2s. This is the mechanism that we have
20	heard used.
21	What's the consequence? This was a
22	scheme by whereby they were paid in excess. It is a
23	violation of the requirement, and a senior status judge
24	sitting as a circuit judge would be paid more money

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	than their colleagues who were circuit judges because
2	they were collecting their retirement and collecting
3	the compensation paid through their regular W-2 and
4	then through the 1099.
5	The managers have discussed this and
6	wanted to bring this to your attention. One of the
7	managers noted that he believed that there was a
8	violation of this particular statute with regard to
9	these payments.
10	And let's look at it. The language
11	that is relevant is highlighted in bold. This is a
12	very torturously written piece of legislation. It's an
13	old piece of law, but it has been used in the courts of
14	this state for a long time.
15	It's the provision of 61-3-22 in the
16	West Virginia Code on the falsification of accounts.
17	"If any officer, clerk or agent of this
18	State" "make any entry in any book of account, or in
19	any account kept by such state," "with the intent to
20	enable or assist any person to obtain money to which he
21	was not entitled, such officer, clerk or agent shall be
22	guilty of a felony and shall, upon conviction, be
23	confined in the penitentiary not less than one nor more
24	than ten years."

1	It can be argued, our managers believe,
2	that causing these accounts to be made in such manner
3	as to pay the judges in excess of a sum to which they
4	were legally entitled may place the judges of the Court
5	and the officers of the Court who caused those entries
б	to be made in violation of this statute.
7	That's what I have, Mr. Chairman.
8	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Questions of counsel?
9	Delegate Lane, followed by Delegate
10	Lovejoy.
11	DELEGATE LANE: Counsel, in looking at
12	this Administrative Order dated May 19th, 2017, where
13	would a normal person find this order?
14	MR. CASTO: I don't know that they
15	would have.
16	DELEGATE LANE: I mean, where where
17	does it reside?
18	MR. CASTO: It's an Administrative
19	Order of the courts, and I'm not sure to be honest
20	with you, it's something I've never had any reason or
21	rationale to look for previously.
22	DELEGATE LANE: I mean, is it on their
23	website? Because they have all their decisions and all
24	of that

1 MR. CASTO: But I'm not sure that it 2 I've not had an opportunity -- because literally, is. 3 we received this today. 4 DELEGATE LANE: How did you find this? 5 MR. CASTO: How did we find this? We asked for all orders and rules by which the Court had 6 7 governed the issuance of the WV-48s and 1099s to the senior status judges, and this was provided to us as a 8 9 response in discovery. 10 DELEGATE LANE: Okay, one more 11 question. 12 What is the statute that talks about 13 retirement making no more than \$20,000? 14 MR. CASTO: I'm sorry, I can't hear 15 you. 16 DELEGATE LANE: What is the statute that limits -- if you're on -- if you're getting State 17 18 retirement, you cannot make more than \$20,000 a year 19 without it affecting your retirement? 20 MR. CASTO: Well, that's a limitation 21 in the PERS -- in the PERS limiting statute which I 22 believe is 51-9 but I'm not sure -- not 51-9, excuse 51-9 is JRS. PERS is a different statute. 23 me. Ι 24 think that's 51-2-13 maybe. I'm not sure.

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	DELEGATE LANE: Okay, thank you.
2	MR. CASTO: I'll have to I have
3	that, but I'll have to look at that.
4	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Lovejoy.
5	Lovejoy.
6	DELEGATE LOVEJOY: It's on. Thank you,
7	Mr. Chairman.
8	Counsel, I want to ask a couple
9	questions about the substance of the order. Is it true
10	that our Constitution under Article VIII, Section 8,
11	does provide administrative authority to the Chief
12	Justice to assign judges for temporary service?
13	MR. CASTO: Yes, sir.
14	DELEGATE LOVEJOY: And is it true that
15	under Article III, Section 17 of the Constitution,
16	there is a requirement that the courts of this state
17	shall stay open and justice shall be administered
18	without sale, denial or delay?
19	MR. CASTO: Yes, sir.
20	DELEGATE LOVEJOY: Now, I guess the
21	issue here is the interpretation of this Administrative
22	Order purports to be that that Con those two
23	Constitutional provisions would allow the Chief Justice
24	to fill a judicial vacancy in what, I guess, the Court

1	- or the Chief Justice here - determines an exigent
2	circumstance?
3	MR. CASTO: Yes, sir.
4	DELEGATE LOVEJOY: And those exigent
5	circumstances - at least noted by the Chief Justice in
6	this Administrative Order - I think, specifically
7	protracted illness or lengthy suspensions due to
8	ethical violations
9	MR. CASTO: I think that is correct,
10	sir.
11	DELEGATE LOVEJOY: When you look at the
12	senior status judges who were allegedly paid in excess
13	of the cap, do they fit in situations where there's
14	alleged to be protracted illness or lengthy suspensions
15	due to ethical violations?
16	MR. CASTO: We have an issue at this
17	time, sir, in that we do not have a full list of all
18	the judges who have been paid in excess of the cap.
19	DELEGATE LOVEJOY: Okay. And I
20	remember, you know, in my county, there's the issue
21	sometimes where the about funding of
22	Constitutionally-required offices such as the
23	prosecutor's office, that that there are times when
24	acts of the legislature can be challenged, so to speak,

1 by the judiciary under Constitutional grounds. 2 And is that what we're seeing here? 3 MR. CASTO: I don't think so. I would 4 say that to the contrary, we have -- if you will 5 recall, this Committee, during the last session, took up a bill which was proposed by the judiciary. 6 7 It was sponsored, I believe, by the vice chairman of this Committee in the House and was 8 9 passed to us from the Senate where it was sponsored by 10 Chairman Trump over there which was to allow for 11 payments over the cap. 12 So the Court, last session, did attempt 13 to do what - if this were an issue - it probably should have done some sessions ago, which was to bring this 14 15 issue of these exigent circumstances which were causing 16 some level of discomfort in the judicial process to the legislature's attention, to say to the legislature, 17 18 "This statute is a problem, it needs fixing." 19 But instead, as we have seen for at 20 least -- according to Ms. Troy's testimony and that of 21 Mr. Canterbury, for the last decade or so, the Court 22 has simply crafted its own work-around without coming back to this body and asking permission to do anything. 23 24 DELEGATE LOVEJOY: And I don't

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	remember, but in the process of whether it was debate
2	or discussion of the bill you just referenced, did
3	anyone from the Court come and mention or discuss in
4	any way the practice of this Administrative Order?
5	MR. CASTO: No, sir. There was no
6	testimony from the Court at that time to my
7	recollection on the issue of how the Court was
8	currently currently satisfying the requirements.
9	The Court said, "It's a problem, we've
10	got people sitting who need paid," but I don't believe,
11	to my recollection, that there was any testimony I
12	know Mr. Morris, who was at the time the Counsel to the
13	Administrative Director, was present in our committee
14	room upstairs at the time that bill was moved through
15	our committee, but it's not clear to my recollection -
16	because it wasn't a bill that I handled - whether or
17	not he actually spoke to that bill or not within our
18	committee.
19	DELEGATE LOVEJOY: I guess I'm trying
20	to wrestle with, kind of the ultimate end of it is, is
21	if we have a statute that the Court believes interferes
22	with its Constitutional duty to keep the courts of the
23	State open, are they permitted to determine that they
24	can fulfill a Constitutional requirement outside of the

1	statute?
2	MR. CASTO: I don't believe that they
3	are. I think that they have Constitutional mechanisms
4	in place which would allow for a fix to be made, and
5	that Constitutional mechanism is to come down here and
6	ask this body to fix it.
7	Now, as to the specific instance, let's
8	be clear: It is not an instance where the judges were
9	not being paid. The judges who were assigned as senior
10	status judges, if they retired through JRS, the
11	Judicial Retirement System, receive a retirement
12	compensation of three-quarters of the salary of a
13	regular sitting judge.
14	They're paid \$90,000 a year from their
15	retirement. They would then have the opportunity to
16	earn up to \$36,000 by sitting in the capacity of a
17	senior status judge on assignment.
18	No one is suggesting that the judges
19	are somehow doing this work for free or that they are
20	not being compensated. The question is - to be frank,
21	I think, in my own mind - is: Are they being paid what
22	they want to be paid.
23	DELEGATE LOVEJOY: I understand what
24	you're saying. I guess my question is: In a situation

1 -- the judiciary - and fortunately not too frequently, 2 but often - throws out statutes as they believe to be 3 unconstitutional. 4 Do they have to do so in a written 5 decision, and if so, does an Administrative Order equal or -- is that the same as a -- as a decision? 6 7 MR. CASTO: I don't think that an administrative decision -- an Administrative Order, 8 9 which can be undertaken sua sponte upon the action of the Chief Justice in his or her capacity as 10 11 Administrative Order, which could be rescinded from 12 year to year by the actions of a -- of another Chief 13 Justice --14 DELEGATE LOVEJOY: But in the unique 15 circumstance of -- of the issue of providing a judge to 16 -- to decide -- at a circuit court level, for instance, to keep the courts of the State open, what would be a 17 18 case in controversy that somehow would get up to the 19 Supreme Court instead of the Chief Justice implementing that Constitutional directive? 20 21 MR. CASTO: I think the Supreme Court 22 could have, for example, perhaps -- and I'm just speculating. I mean, now you're asking me to put my 23 24 lawyering hat on and think about how to approach this

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	from a practical matter.
2	If I'm representing the Supreme Court -
3	let's say I'm counsel or assistant counsel or assistant
4	to the administrative director or counsel to the AD -
5	what I'm gonna do is, I'm gonna go in and file a
6	petition for my own court for a writ of mandamus to
7	compel to compel the appointment of a of a judge
8	to that position.
9	I'm going to to mandamus the Court
10	to act, I think. I mean, I think that's one mechanism
11	that could at least get a case before the Court, to
12	which parties such as the legislature could then come
13	forward and say, "But there's a statute in place, and
14	perhaps we need to"
15	Yeah, I mean, I can speculate as to
16	three or four mechanisms like that as to who perhaps
17	would have standing to do so. I think perhaps
18	perhaps even the circuit clerk might have standing.
19	The circuit clerk could say, "Cases aren't being
20	heard." Perhaps the circuit clerk could come to the
21	Court with a petition for a writ of mandamus.
22	I can think of three or four different
23	mechanisms by which that case could be brought before
24	the Court and an appeal heard and a decision rendered.

1	DELEGATE LOVEJOY: Okay, thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Miller,
3	followed by Delegate Overington and then Delegate
4	Summers.
5	DELEGATE MILLER: Thank you,
б	Mr. Chairman.
7	Counsel, as a as a normal rule, does
8	anyone that has general supervisory authority over an
9	entity that's given by the Constitution so most
10	elected officials, per se, at basically any level of
11	government, do they have the ability to violate the
12	Constitution or West Virginia Code?
13	MR. CASTO: No, sir, not not
14	generally speaking, they would not.
15	DELEGATE MILLER: Would that not also
16	apply to the Supreme Court of Appeals?
17	MR. CASTO: I think that it would, sir.
18	DELEGATE MILLER: Is there any
19	provision in code or in the Constitution that provides
20	for exigent circumstances?
21	MR. CASTO: No, sir, not to my
22	knowledge.
23	DELEGATE MILLER: Thank you
24	Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Overington,
2	followed by Delegate Summers, and then Delegate Fast.
3	DELEGATE OVERINGTON: Thank you. Are
4	we aware of any cases where the Court was not able to
5	do its duty because of a shortage of judge qualified
6	judges in senior status?
7	MR. CASTO: We have not been made aware
8	of any.
9	DELEGATE OVERINGTON: If the
10	legislature is not in session and something like that
11	happens, what would the normal response be for the
12	Court?
13	MR. CASTO: Well, we know that the
14	Court has acted to fill vacancies created by emergency,
15	by the appointment of senior status judges. In some
16	cases, they have detailed judges who were regular
17	sitting judges in other circuits to hear cases.
18	In some cases, you know, they have
19	detailed other justices from within the same circuit to
20	hear cases.
21	So there's a varied array of mechanisms
22	by which they can address that issue.
23	DELEGATE OVERINGTON: Thank you.
24	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Summers.
2	DELEGATE SUMMERS: Thank you, Counsel.
3	I have two questions. In order to be
4	in violation of 61-3-22, do you have to actually have
5	had a signature on a WV-48, or would you just have to
6	have knowledge of the process?
7	MR. CASTO: The I don't know. I
8	don't know that it would necessarily require a
9	signature because the language of the actual full
10	statute - which you can see up there - notes the
11	making, altering or omission, so I mean, a person who
12	omitted an entry, it wouldn't necessarily require a
13	signature, I don't think, but it would require, I think
14	- because of the fact that it's alteration or omission
15	- some action.
16	I don't think mere knowledge would
17	necessarily do so. But I think it would require an act
18	or omission.
19	DELEGATE SUMMERS: Would it be regarded
20	an omission if you knew this was occurring and then you
21	did nothing about it?
22	MR. CASTO: I don't think so, because
23	the omission is actually the omission of the entry, is
24	what is called for.

	-
1	DELEGATE SUMMERS: Okay. And my second
2	question is: In this order that you gave us by Justice
3	Loughry, is this because these retired justices are
4	have the retirement of, you said, maybe \$90,000, and
5	then they can make up to \$126,000 per the law, but
6	they're they're refusing to continue if it's like
7	if they're in the middle of a case, they're refusing to
8	continue unless they make more money?
9	MR. CASTO: We are not informed that
10	any of them actually refused to do so.
11	And in the case of Justice McHugh, we
12	have an absolute counter example of one retired judge
13	in the state who said, "I know that this is a problem,
14	and I am not going to continue to receive this money."
15	And we are informed by Ms. Troy's
16	testimony today turned around, and upon his own
17	action, took the affirmative act to write his check
18	back to the treasury of West Virginia to indemnify this
19	state for whatever it was paying him in excess.
20	DELEGATE SUMMERS: Okay, thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Fast.
22	DELEGATE FAST: Thank you.
23	Counsel, what options are there to fill
24	a temporary vacancy in a circuit circuit court

1	position?
2	MR. CASTO: Well, as I stated earlier,
3	it depends. The Court has in the past of course,
4	the senior status judge route is the one we're most all
5	familiar with. The Court has - if there are multiple
6	judges within the same circuit - sometimes allowed
7	another judge within that same circuit to step into
8	that position and hear those cases and simply divvy up
9	the work load.
10	The Court has, on occasion, taken a
11	judge from a neighboring circuit or a close-by circuit
12	and asked that judge to come down one or two days a
13	week and hear cases from that docket.
14	So the Court does have, in its capacity
15	as the administrative overseer of the courts, a varied
16	number of actions that it can undertake.
17	DELEGATE FAST: What about a vacancy -
18	it's a temporary vacancy - but for two years, and
19	there's only one sitting judge in the circuit?
20	MR. CASTO: Again, they could have - in
21	that instance - filled it by a series of rotate of
22	rotations. They could have placed multiple judges
23	within it to hear certain cases.
24	They could have they could have

1	assigned - as they did in that case - one judge, from
2	the senior status, to hear those cases.
3	DELEGATE FAST: And we're talking
4	Nicholas County.
5	MR. CASTO: Yes, sir, I know the
б	example you're talking about.
7	DELEGATE FAST: If they did assign
8	which they did assign a senior status judge. That
9	would inevitably put that senior status judge over the
10	sitting judge rate for that length of time.
11	MR. CASTO: If they were to pay that
12	senior status judge the per diem every day, yes, it
13	would.
14	DELEGATE FAST: And of course, in any
15	given circuit, you're going to have prolonged and
16	ongoing cases, abuse and neglect cases, criminal trials
17	that a judge makes rulings on on pretrial motions and
18	then having the jury trial. Civil trials, the same
19	thing.
20	So if you have judges just coming and
21	going on different days of the week and not the same
22	judge, then you could get a hodge-podge of of
23	justice without having the same judge presiding long-
24	term.

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	MR. CASTO: I think that's been
2	represented, but I also think that it could be that you
3	could simply assign a judge to hear certain cases.
4	You could say, "Judge X, you're getting
5	cases you know, Civil Cases 001 through 008. You
б	know, Judge Y, you're getting Criminal Cases 001
7	through 009. Judge A, you're getting Criminal Cases
8	0010 through 0015," and let those judges set that up
9	for hearing on various dates.
10	I think there are mechanisms by which
11	multiple senior status judges could have been assigned
12	to fill that gap. That's a mechanism that could have
13	been used.
14	Alternatively, they could have simply
15	the senior status judge in that case could say, "I
16	understand that I'm essentially being recalled to
17	active duty" as it were and written those payments
18	back, as Judge McHugh did when he sat over here on the
19	third floor for two years filling that vacancy on the
20	Supreme Court.
21	So there there are a couple of
22	different ways it could have been done.
23	But they chose to go with what, I think
24	
21	in their minds, was the easiest route: To appoint the

1	judge and to use the mechanism which they had been
2	using for some time to continue paying the per diem
3	DELEGATE FAST: Do do
4	MR. CASTO: in excess of the
5	statutory limit.
б	DELEGATE FAST: Do you believe that
7	this Administrative Order we're looking at is a result
8	of the Nicholas County situation since it was a rare
9	two-year temporary vacancy?
10	MR. CASTO: To be frank, I'm not sure.
11	Yes, that's certainly an argument. It could be an
12	argument that Justice Loughry knew of the issues that
13	were accruing with regard to this potential violation
14	of statutory law and attempted to fashion a credible
15	rationale by which an underpinning could have been
16	provided to allow it to continue.
17	I mean, I can't speculate as to what
18	his intentions were, because I've never had a
19	conversation with him about what his intentions were.
20	DELEGATE FAST: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Hollen,
22	followed by Delegate Foster.
23	DELEGATE HOLLEN: Thank you,
24	Mr. Chairman.

1	Counsel, a few different questions. In
2	the testimony that we were hearing today, it was being
3	referred to as the \$20,000 limit. Now we have two
4	different scenarios that judges can fit under, the PERS
5	and JRS; is that correct?
6	MR. CASTO: Yes, sir.
7	DELEGATE HOLLEN: And the PERS
8	limitation is the \$20,000.
9	MR. CASTO: Yes, sir.
10	DELEGATE HOLLEN: And those are the
11	ones that don't qualify under the JRS retirement.
12	MR. CASTO: That's correct. Or have
13	elected to participate in PERS instead of JRS.
14	DELEGATE HOLLEN: Okay. And it seems
15	in this past legislative session that they tried to fix
16	the wrong by getting some legislation passed under
17	for 51-9-10; is that correct?
18	MR. CASTO: I think that's a fair
19	statement, yes, sir.
20	DELEGATE HOLLEN: If it was such an
21	emergency and they deemed it such an emergency that the
22	Administrative Order was written by Justice Loughry,
23	wouldn't another remedy to that is they could have
24	approached the Governor to call a special session and

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 -- and bring this 5-9-10 up to fix, if it was that big 2 of an emergency, and do it correctly? 3 MR. CASTO: Yes, sir, they certainly 4 could have. 5 DELEGATE HOLLEN: And there was no attempt to do that that we know of. 6 7 MR. CASTO: Not to my knowledge, sir. Okav. And the last 8 DELEGATE HOLLEN: 9 question, on the 61-3-22, the delegate raised a question about if there was no hands-on, just 10 11 knowledge, that they could not be held under 61-3-22. 12 But could they not be held under 61-10-31, conspiracy to defraud? 13 14 Well, that is certainly a MR. CASTO: 15 possibility, I suppose. I mean, the gentleman has 16 probably brought more charges against people for that 17 crime than I ever have and probably knows that statute 18 a little better than I do. 19 DELEGATE HOLLEN: Okay. No further 20 questions. Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Foster. 22 DELEGATE FOSTER: My -- my question is on the -- because most of their pay -- if I got the 23 24 numbers correct, they're being paid \$90,000. They can

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	be paid up to what was it, \$126,000?
2	MR. CASTO: Yes, sir. That would be
3	for those under the Judicial Retirement System.
4	DELEGATE FOSTER: Okay.
5	MR. CASTO: Those under PERS would have
6	whatever their retirement was, which we don't know what
7	that would be.
8	DELEGATE FOSTER: And my question is,
9	in filling these vacancies, to me it seems like the
10	easiest route would be well, basically, you can receive
11	\$36,000 to equal the salary of a sitting judge, so
12	you're doing the job of a sitting judge at that point.
13	Why wasn't that just the cap and
14	MR. CASTO: You have now articulated
15	what I think was the legislature's intent when it
16	passed that bill in 1991. And I think why it is
17	essentially consistent with the act right next to it,
18	51-9-9.
19	Both of those state essentially that a
20	retired judge is going to collect the money of a
21	retired judge, or if they are sitting on the bench, the
22	money of a sitting circuit judge, and those are your
23	two options.
24	I think that's exactly you've

1 articulated what I think the legislature's intent in 2 1991 probably was. 3 DELEGATE FOSTER: But -- and we have no 4 information to say that any judge said, "Well, if 5 that's all I'm getting, I'm not gonna sit." 6 MR. CASTO: We do not at this time, 7 sir. 8 DELEGATE FOSTER: All right, thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Pushkin, followed by Delegate Isner. 10 11 DELEGATE PUSHKIN: Thank you, 12 Mr. Chairman. You stated that there was a bill that 13 14 passed through the -- passed through the house last -it was last session? 15 16 MR. CASTO: Yes, sir, it passed through 17 the Senate and passed through our committee. It did 18 not pass through the House. 19 DELEGATE PUSHKIN: It passed through 20 our committee? 21 MR. CASTO: Yes, sir. 22 DELEGATE PUSHKIN: But did -- was it 23 passed by the -- by the House? 24 MR. CASTO: It did not pass the House.

1	DELEGATE PUSHKIN: Okay.
2	MR. CASTO: It was one of those that
3	died in the last week of session, as many bills do. I
4	don't know what the what happened to it.
5	DELEGATE PUSHKIN: It never got moved
6	to the House calendar?
7	MR. CASTO: I think that's I think
8	that's the case, but I'm not sure what the issue was.
9	DELEGATE PUSHKIN: Okay. Well, I'm
10	asking now, in the past, there was like a
11	legislative liaison between the Court and the and
12	the legislature.
13	MR. CASTO: Yes.
14	DELEGATE PUSHKIN: Is there was
15	there one last session?
16	MR. CASTO: I don't think they had. I
17	think they had terminated their then legislative
18	liaison, and I think most of the contacts that our
19	committee had were through Mr. Morris.
20	DELEGATE PUSHKIN: Was through where?
21	MR. CASTO: Were through Mr. Morris,
22	Mr. Chris Morris
23	DELEGATE PUSHKIN: Okay.
24	MR. CASTO: the assistant to Judge

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 Johnson, the Administrative Director. 2 DELEGATE PUSHKIN: And Chief Justice 3 Loughry at the time fired the legislative liaison. 4 MR. CASTO: I believe he had, sir. Ι 5 believe that's --6 DELEGATE PUSHKIN: And the bill didn't 7 pass. 8 All right, thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Isner, followed by Delegate Lane. 10 11 DELEGATE ISNER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 13 Counsel, I just want to follow up on a There's been some discussion of 14 couple of things. 15 Nicholas County creating a situation, but -- but that's 16 not it, right? You have Upshur County where there was 17 a long delay by the Executive Branch in making an 18 appointment to fill a -- a seat. Is that correct? 19 MR. CASTO: I assume that the gentleman 20 is correct. The gentleman knows that circuit far 21 better than I do. 22 Okay. And I'm just DELEGATE ISNER: looking at some of the judges that are referenced in --23 24 in the material we have. I think it was Judge Henning

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 that filled that for a very long time. 2 Are you aware of a very similar 3 situation that I think occurred up in Hardy County 4 where there was a long delay by the Executive in making 5 an appointment? I actually am aware of that 6 MR. CASTO: 7 because I had a case in front of Judge Cookman many years ago around the time that -- that I think that he 8 9 left the bench and that that occurred. 10 DELEGATE ISNER: Judge Keadle spent an 11 awful lot of time there filling that one. 12 MR. CASTO: I think that's the case, 13 yes. 14 DELEGATE ISNER: And then Judge Keadle 15 and Judge Steptoe back in my home county of Randolph 16 spent a really long length of time there filling in for 17 a judge that was suspended, you know, during some 18 ethical investigations; is that correct? 19 Yes, sir, I believe that is MR. CASTO: 20 the case. 21 DELEGATE ISNER: So this goes well 22 beyond, you know, what went on in Nicholas County as 23 far as some of these judges go. 24 MR. CASTO: Oh, yes, sir. And I mean,

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

we've had we've had a case here in Kanawha County
with Judge Stucky's illness in which there was a rather
long appointment there.
I mean, there have been, you know, long
appointments in many of the circuits due to illness or,
as you put it, misconduct or other reasons why a
particular seat had been vacated.
DELEGATE ISNER: So following up a
little bit on Delegate Lovejoy asking about how this
issue might be addressed, you indicated perhaps the
circuit clerk, you know, could fill a writ or we
were talking about who might have standing to get a
judicial vacancy filled. Correct?
MR. CASTO: Yes, sir.
DELEGATE ISNER: So isn't the reverse
also true? Would the auditor or some other branch have
or some other state official have standing to
challenge Chief Justice Loughry's 2017 Administrative
Order and say that it violates statute?
MR. CASTO: I think your construction
is eminently logical, that it is possible that the
auditor and the treasurer in their capacity, as the
overseers of disbursements of funds, may have had the
standing to do so, absolutely.

	5
1	DELEGATE ISNER: So the statute that
2	we've been dealing with - I think it was 61-3-22 - was
3	last amended in 1991?
4	MR. CASTO: Well, 61-3-22 has not been
5	amended for some time. I do not know the last date on
6	that. The one you're talking about with regard to the
7	limitations, 51-9-10, was last amended in 1991.
8	DELEGATE ISNER: So that's last amended
9	in 1991, then Chief Justice Loughry issues an
10	Administrative Order in 2017 which effectively
11	conflicts with the statute to some degree. Correct?
12	MR. CASTO: Yes, sir.
13	DELEGATE ISNER: So until somebody
14	challenges Chief Justice Loughry's Administrative
15	Order, wouldn't that be the prevailing law?
16	MR. CASTO: Well, actually, I think the
17	prevailing law would be what the statute would be. I
18	think there's the question as to whether and I think
19	this is the question that the gentleman from Cabell
20	asked.
21	What is the prevailing law? Is the
22	prevailing law the Administrative Order undertaken by
23	the Court or is it the statute? And until we have
24	resolution of that in some in some form or fashion,

Г

1	we have basically the Court has legitimized the
2	process that it was undertaking for the past -
3	according to the testimony that we've had - for at
4	least the past six years.
5	But it has only legitimized it on a
6	post-hoc basis from 2017 forward. There is no
7	administrative authority prior to that for the practice
8	of the issuance of payment to these judges in excess of
9	the cap via the WV-48/1099 mechanism that we've heard
10	described.
11	DELEGATE ISNER: Well then I mean,
12	isn't the Supreme Court the ultimate arbiter of, you
13	know, what happens when a statute is in conflict with
14	the Constitution?
15	MR. CASTO: Well, I would say that the
16	process that you are involved in right now probably has
17	restored a little bit of that momentum in your
18	direction, sir.
19	DELEGATE ISNER: Well, that was my next
20	question: Is that our role to decide the conflict
21	between the statute and the potential Constitutional
22	obligation of the government to keep the courts open?
23	MR. CASTO: Well, you all passed the
24	statute, and you all are going to have to make that

1 determination. That's all above my pay grade. 2 Thank you, Counsel. DELEGATE ISNER: 3 Just asking for your interpretation. 4 No other questions. 5 Delegate Lane. CHAIRMAN SHOTT: 6 DELEGATE LANE: Counsel, if -- if 7 there's a -- a vacancy or a need for a replacement in one of the circuits, could -- could one of the Supreme 8 Court Justices sit in on circuit court proceeding? 9 MR. CASTO: My understanding is that 10 11 they can. 12 DELEGATE LANE: They can? Okay. And 13 this Administrative Order, do we have any knowledge as to whether or not the other justices knew about this 14 15 order? 16 MR. CASTO: We have no information 17 based up -- at this time to say that they did or did 18 not. 19 DELEGATE LANE: Okay, thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Counsel -- Counsel, 21 just a question from the Chair. We have a November 22 15th, '91 rule -- I quess that's their policy set by 23 the Supreme Court, signed by Justice Miller at that 24 time, that recognized the statute and made no

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 exceptions. 2 Is that fair to say? 3 MR. CASTO: That's true, sir, and we 4 also have the one from Justice Neely from 1994 --5 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: I'm --6 MR. CASTO: -- that does the same. 7 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: I'm getting to that. 8 MR. CASTO: Okay. 9 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: And Justice Miller was generally thought to be a very intelligent justice 10 11 during the time he served; would you agree with that? 12 MR. CASTO: Yes, sir. 13 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: And then we have Justice Neely basically in '94, reenacted the same 14 15 policy and again basically provided that the per diem 16 and retirement compensation of a retired judge admitted to senior status shall not exceed the salary of a 17 18 sitting judge, same language basically, and no 19 exceptions. 20 Absolutely, sir. MR. CASTO: 21 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: And Justice Neely was 22 also recognized during the time he served as a scholar of the law and the Constitution; is that fair to say? 23 24 MR. CASTO: I think that is very fair

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 to say, sir. 2 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: And that's been the 3 policy, although the per diem rate has remained -- or 4 has been adjusted upward since 1994. But until Justice 5 Loughry's attempt to override the statute, that policy has remained in effect, unchanged. Is that fair to 6 7 say? MR. CASTO: Yes, sir, through many 8 9 iterations. 10 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: With regard to the 11 limit of the sitting judge's salary setting the limit, 12 of the amount that the person -- the retired -- or the 13 senior status judge can receive. Fair enough? 14 MR. CASTO: Yes, sir. 15 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: So even if it's not a 16 violation of the pol -- or of the statute, the Court 17 has consistently violated its own policy, has it not? 18 MR. CASTO: I --19 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: -- until -- until 20 Justice Loughry attempted to change that. 21 MR. CASTO: I would have to agree, they 22 have violated their own written directive. 23 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Thank you. 24 Other questions for counsel? Other

1 questions for counsel? 2 Delegate Fleischauer. 3 MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 Thank you, Mr. -- thank you. 5 Actually, I have a request to make. Ι believe there might be alternative interpretations of 6 7 the statute, and I was wondering if I could ask our minority counsel if he would share possible other 8 9 interpretations of these two statutes. 10 Certainly. Counsel, CHAIRMAN SHOTT: 11 would you yield the podium to minority counsel, please? 12 MR. ALTIZER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So let's start with 51-9-10. Do you 14 15 think there could be an alternate interpretation other 16 than that explained by --17 MR. ALTIZER: Well --18 MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: -- our 19 judiciary counsel? 20 MR. ALTIZER: My reading of the 21 statute, if I could just kind of go through it --22 MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Yes. 23 MR. ALTIZER: I think that's a --24 reflects a few provisos --

1	MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: And I
2	would request that you speak into the microphone,
3	because we can't hear you.
4	MR. ALTIZER: Okay, thank you.
5	MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: or
6	adjust the mic perhaps.
7	MR. ALTIZER: All right. There are two
8	provisos in this section of the code. And as generally
9	as a general statutory construction rules, provisos
10	are exceptions or limitations to the prior statements.
11	I guess the prior statement, the singular statement.
12	So the first sentence, "The Court is
13	authorized and empowered to create a panel of senior
14	judges to utilize the talent and" expertise "of former
15	circuit judges and supreme court justices of this
16	state."
17	Then the second sentence, "The Supreme
18	Court of Appeals shall promulgate rules for said judges
19	and justices to be assigned duties as needed and as
20	feasible toward the objective of reducing caseloads and
21	providing speedier trials to litigants throughout the
22	state," so the two provisos are exceptions to or
23	limitations upon the rules promulgated by by the
24	Court.

1 So the first proviso says, "That 2 reasonable payment shall be made to said judges and justices on a per diem basis." That would be a 3 4 requirement of the rule. 5 And the second proviso, "That the per diem and retirement compensation of a senior judge 6 7 shall not exceed the salary of a sitting judge." And it's my practice in writing 8 9 legislation over the years, and -- if you're going to prohibit an activity, you need to expressly set it out. 10 11 You can't prohibit it by inference. 12 The fact that the second proviso only 13 prohibits that the per diem and retirement compensation 14 shall not exceed a salary of a sitting judge, it does 15 not expressly - and I mean expressly - prohibit any 16 other compensation to that judge. 17 I know you think I might be parsing out 18 -- picking details on this, but I would tell you that 19 whenever there's a criminal law that's going to be 20 applied like there's attempting to be here, 61-3-22, I 21 think you have the responsibility to have an express 22 prohibition in the law. 23 And I don't think that is an express 24 prohibition. I think that my thinking is the Court,

1	when they're looking at this, read this, is this is the
2	max we can do. If you're gonna pay per diem, you
3	cannot pay them per diem beyond the combination of the
4	salary of the I mean, the pension of the judge and
5	the per diem rate to meet a salary of an existing
б	judge. That's your prohibition.
7	Now, if you want to go out and I
8	think what the Court has done, is they've - through
9	their general authority to regulate the practice of the
10	Court - they've created an exception outside of these
11	rules to allow payment on a contract basis for people
12	they have designated through their authority - in the
13	first sentence - to designate as senior judges.
14	So if they've written a letter
15	designating somebody as a senior judge, they are
16	authorized to act as a judge, regardless of whether
17	they're paid or not. That's the authority to act.
18	The second part is is this. I'm
19	only saying that to the extent you're trying to apply
20	61-3-22 to this provision as a violation, I don't think
21	it fits. And that's my analysis of this section.
22	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Do you want to follow
23	up on that, Coun on that, Delegate Fleischauer,
24	questions?

1	MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Yes, so I
2	let me just rephrase it. So what you're saying is -
3	I think - is that this does not exclude this does
4	not prohibit payment on a contract basis.
5	MR. ALTIZER: It doesn't authorize it.
6	It says the only the only prohibition in that
7	sentence is that the per diem and retirement
8	compensation of a senior judge shall not exceed the
9	salary of a sitting judge. That's the prohibition.
10	MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Okay.
11	What are you saying, it doesn't it doesn't authorize
12	what?
13	MR. ALTIZER: It doesn't authorize them
14	to pay by contract work. It doesn't say you can; but
15	it doesn't say you can't.
16	MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Okay.
17	MR. ALTIZER: My point is if you're
18	gonna place a criminal penalty on somebody for a
19	criminal act, it needs to expressed in the code what
20	the criminal act is. It can't be done by implication
21	or by some kind of conclusion that the public policy
22	was that no retired senior status judge can make more
23	than a sitting judge.
24	I mean, that might have been the

1 prevailing idea here, but it's not expressly said 2 anywhere in that article that I could find that that's 3 prohibited. 4 It's just -- through this implication, 5 that it's not allowed. 6 MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Okay. So 7 you -- so you're saying that there is a possible way to interpret this to -- it's not -- it's -- it's not 8 9 disallowed by this statute. 10 MR. ALTIZER: I'm just saying I don't 11 think it's real clear and I think it's clearly a 12 prohibition that you cannot make more with your per 13 diem and your retirement compensation than a sitting judge, but there's no prohibition for making more than 14 that in some other fashion. 15 16 MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: So vou don't think it would be fair or consistent with our 17 18 application of the criminal laws to say that it's a 19 felony. MR. ALTIZER: Well, yes, that's what I 20 21 think. 22 MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Is that --23 I think that there's --MR. ALTIZER: 24 that statute does not rise to the level of being able

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	to assert a felony against a justice for violating that
2	provision and using 61-3-22 as your penalty.
3	MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Are there questions of
5	counsel regarding his interpretation of the statute?
6	Gentleman Delegate Foster, followed by
7	Delegate Summers.
8	DELEGATE FOSTER: My question's on when
9	you're talking about the per diem and the salary not
10	excluding, and you said that just because it's a
11	contractual services, that it wouldn't exceed because
12	it's outside of per diem and salary?
13	MR. ALTIZER: It would the sentence
14	says the per diem and retirement compensation of a
15	senior judge shall not exceed in combination, shall
16	not exceed that of a sitting judge.
17	DELEGATE FOSTER: And that's the thing,
18	but these contractual services are paid per diem,
19	correct?
20	MR. ALTIZER: Well, my understanding of
21	how it works is they've been paying people per diem up
22	to the to the salary level and then they've quit
23	paying their per diem because of this prohibition and
24	then began paying contract, which is a different

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	contractual relationship than it is on a per diem
2	basis.
3	DELEGATE FOSTER: But in the documents
4	we saw, it's still \$435.00 a day, which was per diem.
5	MR. ALTIZER: I know. It's legal
б	sophistry. I'm not disputing that. I'm just saying
7	for purposes of applying a criminal code to these
8	folks, that I would like a little more clear expression
9	that there's actually a violation in existence here
10	other than that proviso.
11	DELEGATE FOSTER: And that's my
12	question. How would you clarify that? Do you want to
13	say "per diem rate"
14	MR. ALTIZER: Well, if I would write
15	the statute, I'd say that the per diem retirement
16	and well, I just let me see. The first
17	"reasonable payment shall be made to said judges and
18	justices only on a per diem basis." That would do it.
19	DELEGATE FOSTER: Because otherwise, I
20	mean, if for it to clarify, it would have had to say
21	"per diem" or "contractual" or "bonuses" or
22	MR. ALTIZER: Well, and I I would
23	my take on it is they understood they were dancing
24	around this language and they had a serious problem

1 they were trying to address. They said there wasn't 2 express prohibition for doing this, so they did it. 3 Is it right or wrong? That's your 4 all's judgment. You all are the legislators here. I'm 5 just doing what I do, which is reading the statutes and making an assessment on what I think the implication of 6 7 it is. 8 DELEGATE FOSTER: But either way, the 9 payment was made per diem. Well, it wasn't a per 10 MR. ALTIZER: 11 diem. They entered into a different relationship. Ιt 12 was -- the per diem was capped and they started paying 13 them on what we call contract basis, which was like 14 independent contractor, so to speak. 15 DELEGATE FOSTER: All right, thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Summers. 17 DELEGATE SUMMERS: Thank you, Counsel. 18 I had one question. Why, on this interpretation, do 19 you think the IRS said you can't do that? 20 MR. ALTIZER: I think because of the 21 implications on their pension plans. Whenever you 22 exceed -- you earn too much money doing the job you did prior to your retirement, there are limitations. Like 23 24 we as legislative employees, I'm a part-time person --

1	I could work so many days a year and still draw a
2	pension.
3	And I think they were trying to protect
4	their the efficacy of their pension plan, because if
5	you exceed those rates, you can be required to
6	reimburse some of your pension to the State, and so if
7	I exceeded the amount of work I'm allowed to make by
8	law, I wouldn't go to jail; I'd be required to pay back
9	some of my pension.
10	DELEGATE SUMMERS: So should we
11	disregard the IRS's recommendation based on that one
12	statute?
13	MR. ALTIZER: I'm I haven't seen
14	their recommendation and what their objection was.
15	Now, I'm not speaking towards federal
16	law. This could be something totally different. This
17	is only an analysis of that particular statute and the
18	relationship that I to the criminal provisions we
19	were talking about.
20	DELEGATE SUMMERS: Okay, thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Further questions of
22	counsel?
23	Delegate Miller.
24	DELEGATE MILLER: Thank you,

Г

1	Mr. Chairman.
2	This might be geared more toward
3	Mr. Casto.
4	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Well, let's finish
5	let's finish with
6	DELEGATE MILLER: Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: our current victim
8	and we'll move to another victim in a minute, okay?
9	Are there any other questions? I have
10	a question. I want to be sure I understand the
11	distinction that you're making. You're not saying that
12	this activity doesn't violate their own policy; you're
13	just saying it shouldn't be - based on the statute -
14	the basis for a criminal charge.
15	Am I fair is that fair?
16	MR. ALTIZER: I think that's very fair.
17	I haven't done any research on that and I would be
18	wading in this deep water if I offered an opinion on
19	that.
20	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: So you haven't had a
21	chance to look at the various exhibits from the
22	MR. ALTIZER: I have.
23	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Court's policy
24	MR. ALTIZER: And I would say, my first

1	observation is this all of these policies related to
2	their per diem reimbursements as authorized under the
3	statute and directed by the statute.
4	Now, whether the Court had authority
5	outside of these per diem payments through their own
б	rights as through the judiciary to administer the
7	courts, and if they were lawful in that capacity, I
8	don't have an opinion on that.
9	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: But you would agree
10	that the rate that they are paying under this contract
11	is exactly the rate they authorize as per diem under
12	their policy.
13	MR. ALTIZER: Well, it's certainly
14	probably more than a coincidence.
15	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: It's the last
16	policy update was \$435.00 a day, which is what they are
17	paying under this WV-48.
18	MR. ALTIZER: Yes, but I think this
19	was done in an effort to comply with the statute and
20	still keep their their judges in the in
21	courtroom. So
22	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: So there's good
23	intentions for disregarding the statute.
24	MR. ALTIZER: Well, I'm just not

1 convinced the statute has been disregarded, Mr. Chairman. 2 3 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: I understand. I 4 understand your interpretation. 5 Thank you. MR. ALTIZER: 6 Any other questions CHAIRMAN SHOTT: 7 for our friend over here who's taken our grilling with 8 a good nature? 9 Okay, Counsel -- Delegate Miller, further questions of counsel? 10 11 DELEGATE MILLER: Thank you. Thank 12 you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN SHOTT: -- staff counsel. Go 13 14 ahead. 15 DELEGATE MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 17 And I'm trying to wrap my head around 18 61-3-22 --MR. CASTO: Yes, sir. 19 20 DELEGATE MILLER: -- as it applies to 21 what we're discussing if we're, in effect, trying to 22 prepare a criminal case. 23 MR. CASTO: Yes, sir. 24 DELEGATE MILLER: Is there any question

Г

1	that anyone at the Court is falsifying accounts? At
2	least on its appearance, everything is clear that there
3	has been a a contract signed, there's no excessive
4	payments, it's per whatever their schedule is.
5	You see where I'm going with that? Is
б	there any fraudulent activity in regard to the numbers,
7	I guess you'd say?
8	MR. CASTO: There's no fraudulent
9	activity with regard to the numbers. But the statute -
10	even though it's captioned as falsification of accounts
11	- provides that it's simply if you are doing anything
12	which enables someone to obtain moneys to which they
13	are not entitled.
14	And so I would argue that there is a
15	possibility of construction based upon our our
16	managers' representations that these persons are thus -
17	because of the hard cap - not entitled to those moneys,
18	and if you make any entry which enables persons to
19	obtain money to which they are not entitled, you have
20	violated the provisions of the statute, even though
21	there is no falsification.
22	The caption of the statute just is what
23	it is.
24	DELEGATE MILLER: Understand. And it

1	my normal thought process is to play devil's
2	advocate by the time you get to the
3	MR. CASTO: Oh, I'm aware.
4	DELEGATE MILLER: the end of a case,
5	so my ultimate question would be: Is there as
б	what's normally present in a criminal case, do we find
7	criminal intent involved in this?
8	MR. CASTO: Well, there is there is
9	a question of intent, and I don't know that it's
10	criminal intent, but the it doesn't say that the
11	intent had to be criminal. It simply says the intent
12	is to enable the person to obtain money to which they
13	were not entitled.
14	So the question of whether or not the
15	Court's actions constituted an attempt by the Court to
16	allow a person to obtain money to which they were not
17	entitled would ultimately be a question for the trier
18	of fact.
19	DELEGATE MILLER: Thank you.
20	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	MR. CASTO: Mr. Chairman, if if I
22	may.
23	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Counsel, if you want
24	to proceed, go ahead.

1	MR. CASTO: I have a clarifying comment
2	upon my co-counsel's point. I think he would be
3	correct if the Court had not understood that what they
4	were doing was continuing to pay per diem.
5	I'd like to call your attention to
б	Exhibit 65. You've all been handed this out
7	previously. This is a contractual payment made to
8	Judge Keadle when he was sitting by special assignment
9	in the year 2013.
10	You will look the fourth page of
11	that exhibit is the signed WV-48 which was executed by
12	Judge Keadle and Justice Benjamin in 2013.
13	We have two of the inner pages which
14	appear to be for dates when Judge Keadle was sitting in
15	Moorefield in May and June of that year. And on the
16	front, on this page of Exhibit 65, I want to point this
17	out to the Committee.
18	(Counsel pointed on the screen.)
19	MR. CASTO: It says on the Comments
20	
20	line about three quarters down the page, where I
21	line about three quarters down the page, where I indicated, it says, "This is a senior status judge per
21	indicated, it says, "This is a senior status judge per
21 22	indicated, it says, "This is a senior status judge per diem."

1	being paid under a West Virginia-48 or whether they
2	were being paid under a W-2.
3	The Court viewed it as a per diem
4	payment to a senior status judge, and the Court knew -
5	as we have heard - from their own policies that per
6	diem payments, when combined with retirement, were to
7	be capped at the compensation of a sitting circuit
8	judge.
9	That's what we have, Mr. Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Other questions?
11	Other questions of counsel.
12	Yes, Delegate Fluharty.
13	Counsel?
14	MINORITY VICE CHAIR FLUHARTY: The
15	criminal code provision you cited earlier, that's a
16	specific intent crime, isn't it?
17	MR. CASTO: It is an intent crime, and
18	the intent is to enable a person to obtain money to
19	which they were not entitled.
20	MINORITY VICE CHAIR FLUHARTY: So a
21	simple entry would not constitute the a crime.
22	MR. CASTO: No, sir. It would have to
23	be the persons who would have had knowledge that the
24	persons were not entitled to that money.

1	MINORITY VICE CHAIR FLUHARTY: So to
2	prove that up, you're gonna have to prove that justices
3	had knowledge and intended for more money to be made
4	available to sitting judges.
5	MR. CASTO: Well, I think that would be
б	if if someone were to try to prove that, I think
7	that would be what they would have to prove.
8	If the Kanawha County prosecutor, for
9	example, were to look at this and believe that that
10	were actionable, they would have to prove that the
11	judges knew or should have known that these persons
12	were not entitled to that money and that they undertook
13	actions specifically to get them that money despite
14	their knowledge of the law. And that would be the bar.
15	MINORITY VICE CHAIR FLUHARTY: Thank
16	you.
17	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Other questions?
18	Delegate Fleischauer.
19	MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Thank you,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	I guess just to follow-up on that, this
22	Exhibit 66, this is something that someone entered into
23	a computer. This isn't something that one of the
24	justices entered into a computer.

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 MR. CASTO: No, it's -- it's within the 2 FIMS system originating from the Supreme Court. To say in 3 what manner this was transmitted or what it was intended 4 to be --5 But you'll note that the electronic authorization is from Ms. Troy, the Director of Financial 6 7 Management at the Court at the time. So this is -- whatever -- I cannot 8 9 speculate as to who the original preparer of this was, whether that was her or some other person at the Court. 10 11 But it appears to be something that the auditor's office 12 would have received from the Court. 13 MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Well, I quess 14 what I'm saying is: If they change the status to contract 15 status, it -- this document does not prove that they --16 that they were doing it under the statute. This -- this document - because it's just 17 18 a computer entry. 19 MR. CASTO: Well, I think all that we have 20 in proof of this is that it's still being captioned in per 21 diem. That for whatever reason, that was what -- we have 22 to take it for what it is, and it says that it is a payment of a senior status judge per diem for periods 23 24 within August 2013. And that's all I can go on, is what I

1	see before me.
2	MINORITY CHAIR FLEISCHAUER: Right. But I
3	don't think that is like proof that the justices knew that
4	they were I just don't see it that way.
5	Okay. Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Summers,
7	followed by Delegate Isner.
8	DELEGATE SUMMERS: Thank you, Counsel.
9	Can you help me understand I'm not
10	following this. Why are you filling out a WV-48 if you
11	haven't already met your amount? So why would they sign
12	that?
13	MR. CASTO: They would not
14	DELEGATE SUMMERS: I'm missing something,
15	MR. CASTO: fill out a WV-48 unless
16	they'd already hit that point. That's exactly correct.
17	DELEGATE SUMMERS: Okay, that's what I
18	thought.
19	MR. CASTO: We heard testimony from
20	Mr. Canterbury that they had a person assigned to
21	facilitate the transmission and completion of a WV-48 on
22	the period when after that cap had been hit.
23	DELEGATE SUMMERS: Yeah, that's what I
24	thought, thanks.

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018 1 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Isner. 2 Thank you, sir. DELEGATE ISNER: Thank 3 you, Mr. Chairman. 4 Counsel, could you turn your attention 5 back to Exhibit 65? 6 MR. CASTO: Sure. DELEGATE ISNER: This document, where do 7 we obtain this from? Was this from the Supreme Court? 8 This was obtained from the 9 MR. CASTO: 10 Supreme Court. 11 DELEGATE ISNER: Okay. Because the --12 about the third line down at the top says it's from the 13 Financial Information Management System from the Office of the State Auditor; is that correct? 14 15 MR. CASTO: Yes, sir, that's what it says. 16 DELEGATE ISNER: So was this generated by 17 the Supreme Court or generated by somebody at the State 18 Auditor's Office? 19 MR. CASTO: The person who would have had 20 better knowledge of that was here earlier today, sir. 21 DELEGATE ISNER: Okay, fair enough. Do 22 you know if there was ever a copy of this that was 23 ultimately signed by the State Auditor or somebody that --24 their designee?

1 MR. CASTO: We do not have any knowledge of that at this time. 2 3 DELEGATE ISNER: There --4 MR. CASTO: We received this document 5 today. 6 DELEGATE ISNER: There is a line at the 7 bottom that appears to be a signature line from somebody at the State Auditor's Office though; is that correct? 8 9 MR. CASTO: Yes, sir. 10 DELEGATE ISNER: So if somebody from the State Auditor's Office was somebody who prepared this 11 12 and/or ultimately signed it, is it your position that they would have violated 61-3-22? 13 14 MR. CASTO: I'm not the one to -- to say 15 whether or not that law was violated. That's a jury 16 question, and that will be -- that would have to have a prosecution initiated to determine whether or not there 17 18 was even chargeable evidence on that. 19 I'm not -- I'm not speculating as to 20 whether that law has been violated in any way, shape or 21 form. I know that one of our managers raised that it was 22 a potential violation and believed that there was a potential that that law had been violated, and so I'm 23 24 merely bringing it to the Committee's attention.

1	DELEGATE ISNER: Okay.
2	Thank you, Counsel.
3	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Delegate Miller.
4	DELEGATE MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
5	Counsel, are we aware if any other
б	judicial appointments are made this way for senior status
7	or a fill-in position such as magistrates or family courts
8	in the State of West Virginia?
9	MR. CASTO: I'll be entirely honest with
10	you, I have I have, in the course of my career, never
11	had occasion to question how magistrate vacancies or
12	family court vacancies have have been filled.
13	I've never been in a in a trial before
14	a magistrate or before a family court judge who was
15	sitting who had a successor sitting or substitute
16	sitting by designation, so I have no idea how those
17	vacancies are filled.
18	DELEGATE MILLER: If there was a need for
19	a substitute family court judge or a magistrate, on its
20	face, would would this Administrative Order signed by
21	Justice Loughry apply to that those positions as well?
22	MR. CASTO: I don't believe so, because I
23	think he speaks specifically to the judicial statute in
24	51-9-10, and I think what he is speaking solely to - the

1	way that I read that order - is the position of judge, not
2	the subordinate court positions such as family court judge
3	or magistrate.
4	DELEGATE MILLER: Okay, thank you.
5	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Further questions of
7	counsel?
8	Thank you, Counsel.
9	MR. CASTO: Thank you, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: We have some additional
11	exhibits that we want to share with you that have just
12	come in recently, and I'm going to ask our chief of staff
13	to explain what they are, and then we'll pass them out.
14	Ready, Counsel, go ahead.
15	MS. KAUFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	The exhibits that have been just passed out to the
17	Committee are Exhibits No. 70 and Exhibit No. 72. We do
18	not have any witnesses to testify as to either one of
19	these documents. They are being provided to the Committee
20	for informational purposes.
21	If follow-up is needed later, we can
22	certainly do so later with witnesses. Again, these are
23	being provided for informational purposes, as some of
24	these issues have been brought before the Committee during

1	the recent hearings.
2	Exhibit 70 is a letter dated July 26, 2018
3	from the State Auditor to the West Virginia Supreme Court
4	of Appeals. This letter references purchases of meals by
5	the Supreme Court. It is a three-page letter.
6	I will note - and you have not been
7	provided copies of these - this letter does reference four
8	I believe it is four advisory opinions from the Ethics
9	Commission. If anyone would like copies of those, we will
10	be happy to make copies of those advisory opinions.
11	They also address meal purchases in
12	different manners or in different instances. So those can
13	be provided.
14	Again, this is Exhibit 70, the again,
15	just the letter from the State Auditor.
16	On page 2 and certainly the Committee
17	will have the opportunity to read the entire letter. I
18	will just note on page 2, above the Supreme Court policy
19	defining allowable expenses above that, the position of
20	the auditor is noted that public employees and public
21	officials, including specifically members and employees of
22	the Supreme Court may not use public funds to purchase
23	personal meals during a nontravel work day.
24	And further states that an expenditure of

1	public funds for a personal meal will neither be processed
2	nor approved by the State Auditor's Office.
3	Again, this was received via FOIA request,
4	so this is being provided to the Committee for
5	informational purposes.
6	Exhibit No. 72 that has also been provided
7	to the Committee is information regarding a payment that
8	was made to Ms. Allen in 2017 for writing - or drafting,
9	rather - an opinion in the Quicken Loans cases.
10	This has been mentioned, I believe, at
11	some point throughout the course of the proceedings, so
12	this information is being provided, again, for that for
13	the Committee to consider.
14	The exhibit should contain two pages which
15	are the the bill that Ms. Allen submitted. It notes on
16	page 2 of this that there was an agreed-upon cap, that she
17	was entitled to \$10,000 for her work. Her total charges
18	were actually \$14,950, but the cap kept that at \$10,000.
19	The last page of this is an e-mail from
20	what appears to be an administrative assistant for Justice
21	Walker to the former Administrative Director, Judge
22	Johnson, discussing this invoice and having the invoice
23	paid.
24	I will note that I believe there was

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1	some testimony and just to clear up any confusion, it
2	is our understanding that Ms. Allen was actually paid to
3	write two separate opinions. This deals with an opinion
4	that was written in 2017.
5	It is our understanding there was a prior
б	opinion drafted by Ms. Allen in 2016. That was in the
7	case in which all of the justices - as I recall, I believe
8	all of the justices - had recused themselves, so that
9	information is not provided in this. This one was is
10	different in that regard. I don't know if there were any
11	recusals.
12	But the prior one in 2016, I believe all
13	justices had recused themselves, and it's my understanding
14	that that was also a \$10,000 payment.
15	Mr. Chairman?
16	CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Thank you, Counsel. And
17	understanding that neither of these exhibits were prepared
18	by the Committee staff, are there questions that might be
19	answerable? But basically we're just disseminating
20	information that we received pursuant to either a FOIA
21	request or unsolicited information, I think it's fair to
22	say.
23	Are there questions?
24	Yes, Delegate Sobonya.

1	DELEGATE SOBONYA: The e-mail that was
2	sent from Gary Johnson to Whitney Humphrey about the
3	payment to Ms. Allen, it says in the e-mail that Justice
4	Walker wasn't sure if she was still an approved vendor or
5	if a formal contract is necessary.
6	And in this e-mail, they're stating that
7	they received the invoice from Ms. Allen. Is it common
8	practice to contract out for \$10,000 of work without
9	knowing if someone's an approved vendor and without a
10	formal contract? Doesn't that seem a little backwards?
11	MS. KAUFFMAN: I can't speak to that. I'm
12	not sure what the the Court's process was in that
13	regard. I believe - and this is this would be
14	speculation on my part - when it references in that
15	e-mail, "wasn't sure if she was still an approved vendor
16	or if a formal contract is necessary," I am not sure if
17	that references her earlier work in 2016, because she also
18	did work for the Court in 2016.
19	So that again, pure guess on my part.
20	But it may reference the earlier work done.
21	DELEGATE SOBONYA: And when did Ms. Allen
22	start her employment with with the Court?
23	MS. KAUFFMAN: Her current employment with
24	the Court? I am not sure. It would have been after Judge

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1 Johnson, the former Administrative Director, left this 2 past summer -- or this summer. 3 I believe he left in June, if I -- if I 4 recall correctly. 5 DELEGATE SOBONYA: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Other questions of 7 counsel? Other questions? 8 If not, as -- as was announced previously, 9 we're gonna seek to adjourn into executive session. This whole process was subject to an exception under the Open 10 11 Meetings Act, but we've elected to try to keep as much of 12 it in open session as possible. 13 However, there are a couple of issues that 14 I think are appropriate to discuss in executive session. 15 The intention will be to go into executive session. We do 16 have dinner for -- for all of you. When we're finished with the executive session and reconvene in regular 17 18 session in the Committee room, the only purpose of that will be to -- to adjourn until tomorrow morning. 19 20 So there won't be any action taken, won't 21 be any votes after we come out of executive session. So 22 that's for the benefit of the press. If you want to stick around, fine. But we don't anticipate any action taking 23 24 place, and we will resume tomorrow morning.

1 Recognize --2 (Some discussion was had off the record.) 3 VICE CHAIR HANSHAW: Mr. Chairman, under 4 the authority of West Virginia Code 6-9A-4(b)(2)(A), I 5 move that the Committee reconvene upstairs in executive 6 session at 5:20 p.m. 7 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: You've heard our vice 8 chairman's motion. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor will say "Aye." 9 10 DELEGATES: Aye. 11 CHAIRMAN SHOTT: Opposed will say "No." 12 The ayes appear to have it. 13 The ayes do have it. 14 We'll be in executive session upstairs at 15 5:20. 16 ---000---17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

1931

1 | STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 COUNTY OF KANAWHA, to wit:

I, Teresa Evans, Registered Merit Reporter and a Notary Public within and for the County and State aforesaid, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were duly taken by me and before me at the time and place and for the purpose specified in the caption hereof.

9 I do further certify that the said 10 proceedings were correctly taken by me in shorthand 11 notes, and that the same were accurately written out 12 in full and reduced to typewriting by means of 13 computer-aided transcription.

14Given under my hand this 8th day of August,152018.

Teresa Evans

TERESA EVANS, RMR, CRR

	\$21,015,245.83	\$80,000 1788:19	1748:6,9 1751:14	1998 1734:2
\$	1714:20 1715:20	\$81,000 1733:20	1767:11,19 1768:4,	1737:14
\$1,722,268.17	1716:24	\$81,380 1733:7,21	16 1769:2 1792:3 1825:21 1826:20	19th 1741:12
1715:7	\$215.63 1737:21	\$876,156 1721:24	1827:6 1845:16	1868:6 1872:12
\$10,000 1847:7	\$227,000 1848:23	\$893,965.68	1846:5 1847:7,19, 21 1848:6,19	1:45 1771:7,10
1927:17,18 1928:14 1929:8	\$227,541 1848:12 \$237.00 1814:24	1733:17	1863:10,15 1864:8 1871:4	1st 1686:19
\$100,000 1847:5	\$237.18 1814:22	\$9,572 1737:3	1099s 1745:13	2
1854:9	\$26.00 1815:1	\$90,000 1878:14 1884:4 1890:24	1846:22 1870:8	2 4700-44 4000-40
\$110,000 1847:6	\$28.00 1816:2,5	\$90,350.88	1873:7	2 1702:11 1926:16, 18 1927:16
\$12,000 1862:22	\$283,224.73	1735:11	12 1711:24 1715:15,16	20 1770:4,6
\$120.00 1815:1	1736:19		13 1697:16 1778:20	2000 1867:11
\$122,320,952 1713:12	\$29,000,000 1716:13	((C) 4000:00 4007:7	1850:1,17,18 1852:11,20 1853:6	2006 1865:4 1867:12
\$125,000 1854:9	\$3,000 1850:21	(C) 1866:22 1867:7	1869:22	2007 1865:6
\$126,000 1846:19 1864:15 1865:21 1884:5 1891:1	\$3,669,243.82 1714:24	-	14 1852:11 1869:22 14th 1738:16 1841:12	2008 1785:2,8,13 1867:13
\$127,000 1713:8	\$30,000 1788:11 1808:15 1830:12	000 1931:16	16 41.12 15 1853:6 1867:5	2009 1686:5 1735:17 1853:15
\$127,320,952 1713:8	1831:2 1836:19 1854:6 1855:9	0	15th 1899:22	2010 1700:14
\$14,950 1927:18	1857:8	001 1887:5,6	16 1802:24 1803:7	1701:9,13 1779:4 1838:19,22 1853:7
\$142,300 1717:23	\$300,000 1837:10	0010 1887:8	1815:11 16th 1754:24	1867:14
\$19,822,112.78	\$36,000 1878:16 1891:11	0015 1887:8	17 1782:21 1792:10	2011 1712:18,20, 22 1715:6 1846:18
1717:2 \$2,790 1819:13	\$374,000 1854:1	008 1887:5 009 1887:7	1802:21 1803:1,2 1874:15	1853:16 1855:8 1867:15
\$20,000 1745:17 1746:6,16,23	\$374,139.81 1735:18 1849:24 1850:14	09 1850:1 1852:19	18 1696:21 1792:12 1802:19	2012 1683:21 1686:19 1698:14
1747:1,4 1748:24 1749:4,10,16	\$4,050 1736:4,6 1850:22 1851:4	1	18th 1723:9 1731:7 1803:20	1712:2,15,22 1714:20 1715:20
1751:11 1752:22 1813:13 1873:13, 18 1889:3,8	\$435.00 1746:21,	1 1683:21 1754:6 1756:22 1803:12	1949 1864:18 1970 1841:16,17	1716:24 1718:1 1756:2 1782:12 1785:5 1840:19
\$20,133.81 1736:23	22 1755:1 1756:13, 23 1909:4 1913:16	1853:6 1867:11	1990 1694:20	1850:18 1869:18, 19
\$200,000 1845:2	\$5,000,000 1713:13,15,17	1.1 1717:2	1695:3,4	2013 1712:22,23,
\$200.00 1866:21	\$6,000 1790:14	1.7 1715:21 10 1853:6	1991 1864:19 1866:19 1891:16	24 1713:7 1714:14 1716:18 1735:17
\$21,000,000	\$6100 1796:13	10 1853:6 1099 1706:3	1892:2 1897:3,7,9	1754:24 1822:4
1716:23	\$8,000,000 1784:4 1813:23 1814:3	1740:24 1745:7 1746:15 1747:23	1994 1867:6 1900:4 1901:4	1843:4,8,10 1869:20 1917:9,12 1920:24

2014 1756:12	3-7-2013 1850:10	51-9-9 1864:23,24	72 1925:17 1927:6	accounting
1819:11 1843:12	3.6 1723:3	1865:3,6 1866:4	72 1925.17 1927.0 7th 1852:20	1686:4 1687:22
2014-december	3.7 1723:3	1891:18	701 1032.20	1700:12 1780:10 1834:19 1859:5
1756:12		57 1818:21 1819:7	8	
2015 1756:22,23	30 1805:3 1864:21 1865:8	5:20 1931:6,15		accounts 1871:16 1872:2 1915:1,10
1764:16 1772:15 1775:1 1869:20,21	30th 1716:18	5th 1814:21	8 1874:10	accrual 1694:15
2016 1708:16	1725:15 1756:12	1815:10 1852:19	8th 1731:8 1932:14	accruing 1888:13
1738:16 1739:24	1819:11 1828:22 1834:8	6	9	accuracy 1853:24
1814:22,23 1815:10 1841:9,12	31 1754:24 1756:2,			accurate 1697:21
1843:2 1928:6,12	12,23	6-9a-4(b)(2)(a)	9 1850:5	1732:8 1735:22
1929:17,18	32nd 1802:9	1931:4	9-19-08 1733:24	1736:7 1832:10,20 1858:15
2017 1683:23	3rd 1850:1	60 1720:9	91 1899:22	
1688:16,22 1696:16 1698:13		61 1725:9	94 1900:14	accurately 1780:9 1932:11
1723:10 1731:7,9	4	61-10-31 1890:13	9th 1816:2 1822:4	acquire 1695:23
1733:13 1740:6 1761:1 1770:3	4 1702:13	61-3-22 1871:15 1883:4 1890:9,11		acquisition
1774:13 1775:1,6,		1897:2,4 1904:20	A	1700:22
10 1776:12 1780:21 1782:20	40 1706:23	1905:20 1908:2		acquisitions
1802:17,18 1803:5,	41 1722:4,6	1914:18 1923:13	ability 1683:8 1858:14 1881:11	1700:18
14,16,21 1805:14 1868:4 1872:12	43 1815:6	62 1732:18	absolute 1870:2	act 1880:10
1896:18 1897:10	48 1864:8	63 1733:9,10 1734:13 1849:24	1884:12	1883:17 1884:17 1891:17 1905:16,
1898:6 1927:8	4th 1734:24	1850:6,7	absolutely 1694:2	17 1906:19,20
1928:4		64 1738:4,22	1714:7 1723:8	1930:11
2018 1834:8 1926:2 1932:15	5	1739:1 1789:19	1755:15 1840:4 1896:24 1900:20	acted 1882:14
21 1702:13	5-9-10 1890:1	1791:2 1795:16	absorbed 1805:2	acting 1867:5
22.7 1715:17	51 1796:10 1818:21	65 1754:1 1917:6, 16 1922:5	abuse 1886:16	action 1879:9
23rd 1740:11	1819:4,5 1820:7	66 1755:21 1919:22	access 1723:20	1883:15 1884:17 1930:20,23
1853:15	1823:4	67 1756:8	1812:18 1813:11	actionable
24th 1740:6	51-2-13 1822:21,23 1823:3 1864:12		1824:10	1919:10
25th 1756:2	1873:24	68 1756:17	accidentally	actions 1879:12
26 1926:2	51-9 1823:4	69 1710:11 1840:7	1796:3 1828:22 1833:11,21	1885:16 1916:15 1919:13
27th 1733:12	1873:22,23	7	accompanied	active 1887:17
28th 1853:16	51-9-10 1822:23 1864:17 1865:7,8		1683:11	activity 1904:10
	1868:14 1869:3	7 1850:1	account 1719:18	1912:12 1915:6,9
3	1889:17 1897:7 1902:14 1924:24	70 1925:17 1926:2, 14	1871:18,19	acts 1875:24
3 1708:8 1715:11 1721:20	51-9-4 1823:22	71 1866:13	accountable 1693:20	actual 1737:8 1750:21 1815:17

1883:9	17,19,23 1698:19	affect 1749:1,10	1929:3,7,21	amount 1713:7,11,	
ad 1805:4 1880:4	1710:20 1729:15	1769:15	allocate 1728:16	15 1714:15	
add 1724:16	1738:10 1751:21 1753:10 1761:2,6,	affected 1824:20	allocated 1727:18	1718:10 1721:24 1732:9 1733:15,20,	
1732:6,10	14,18 1762:5,8,22,	affecting 1873:19	1830:17 1840:16	21 1737:17	
	24 1763:3 1765:14,	-	1848:24	1743:12 1744:23	
added 1700:14	19 1769:11 1773:9,	Affidavit 1805:20		1745:18,24 1746:3,	
1715:24 1726:14	14 1774:3,8	affirmative	allocation 1728:18	11,16,17,18	
1838:22	1775:17,24	1884:17	1729:7 1766:16	1748:20 1759:6	
adding 1715:19	1776:12,22 1777:3,	of arrangial 4000.5	1835:23	1789:12 1791:14,	
1840:1 1859:19	11,21 1778:13,16,	aforesaid 1932:5	allowable 1926:19	19 1796:13 1816:6	
additional	18,19 1780:6	agencies 1688:3	allowance 1810:6	1824:19,22	
1685:19 1720:18	1789:10,11	1690:15 1809:21		1836:16,24 1841:2	
1721:14 1724:15	1792:16,21	agency 1747:16	allowed 1743:8,10	1846:16,21,22	
1788:17 1816:24	1796:14 1799:24	1826:14	1885:6 1907:5	1847:4 1851:5	
1834:9,20 1835:1	1809:2 1839:4		1911:7	1901:12 1911:7	
1846:11,24	1849:1 1857:19	agent 1871:17,21	allowing 1682:16	1921:11	
1925:10	1859:13 1861:8,20 1868:4,6,12,21	agents 1792:1		amounts 1718:15	
address 1703:16	1872:12,18	-	alphabetical 1819:9	1745:1	
1763:16 1764:10	1874:11,21 1875:6	aggravated 1774:22		analysis 1905:21	
1767:2 1795:9	1877:4,13 1879:5,	1774.22	alteration 1883:14	1911:17	
1882:22 1910:1	8,11 1880:4	agree 1792:18	altered 1864:19		
1926:11	1885:15 1888:7	1817:9 1900:11		and/or 1822:23	
	1889:22 1894:1	1901:21 1913:9	altering 1834:22	1923:12	
addressed 1694:13 1775:10	1896:18 1897:10,	agreed-upon	1883:11	anniversary	
1795:5 1896:10	14,22 1898:7	1927:16	alternate 1902:15	1862:20	
	1899:13 1924:20	Agreement	alternative 1902:6	announced	
adhere 1817:5	1927:20,21 1930:1	1754:20 1757:5		1930:8	
adjourn 1930:9,19	administrator		Alternatively	ana 4777.7	
-	1810:17,19 1814:7	ahead 1702:19	1887:14	ans 1777:7	
adjust 1903:6	1849:6 1861:6	1710:11 1722:9	ALTIZER 1902:12,	answerable	
adjusted 1901:4	admitted 1866:15,	1725:8 1733:8 1746:7 1754:12	17,20,23 1903:4,7	1928:19	
Adkins 1796:14,20	20,24 1900:16	1790:1 1853:12	1906:5,13,17	answering 1800:4	
1839:1,4,23	adopt 1692:19	1914:14 1916:24	1907:10,20,23 1908:13,20 1909:5,	anticipate 1747:6	
Adminis 1763:3	adopted 1802:21	1925:14	14,22 1910:10,20	1930:23	
administer 1861:7	adopting 1695:11	Albright 1758:4,9	1911:13 1912:16,	anxious 1730:15	
1913:6		all's 1697:24	22,24 1913:13,18,	anymore 1704:18	
administered	advance 1790:16	1910:4	24 1914:5	1761:8	
1874:17	1858:11 1864:16	alleged 1705:5	amended 1783:1,		
administration	advantage 1683:7	1726:23 1875:14	13 1864:19 1865:3, 6 1866:5 1867:3	appeal 1880:24	
1766:16 1770:4	advised 1831:18	allegedly 1875:12	1897:3,5,7,8	Appeals 1712:14	
1826:9	1859:22			1881:16 1903:18	
	advisory 1926:8,	Allen 1725:14	amendment	1926:4	
administrative	10	1811:8,18 1828:17	1709:19	appearance	
1683:24 1684:1,20	_	1830:3 1834:8,17	amendments	1915:2	
1688:2,7 1689:3,7, 17,19,22 1691:16,	advocate 1916:2	1835:3 1868:7	1720:17 1721:9	appears 1681:13	
11,13,22 1031.10,		1927:8,15 1928:2,6		appears 1001.13	

1888:11,12

arising 1704:7

1853:4,24 1920:11 1923:7 1927:20

Appendix 1702:12,19 1708:9 1715:10 1781:3

apples-to-apples 1727:22 1729:3 1806:21 1807:13 1829:20

applicable 1813:4

application 1705:21 1907:18

applied 1904:20

applies 1825:11 1826:24 1914:20

apply 1809:16,19, 20 1810:2,14 1861:15 1881:16 1905:19 1924:21

applying 1909:7

appoint 1887:24

appointed 1754:22 1757:23 1758:10

appointment 1869:2 1880:7 1882:15 1894:18 1895:5 1896:3

appointments 1896:5 1924:6

appraisal 1856:2,8

approach 1747:3 1759:24 1831:20 1879:24

approached 1889:24

approaching 1745:21 1749:16 1751:11 1759:2

appropriation 1710:23 1713:11 1714:15,17 appropriations 1719:11

approval 1768:17 1788:9,12,20

approvals 1801:10

1801:9

approve 1788:6 1800:15 1801:2

approved 1692:15 1719:8 1737:10 1788:5 1802:22 1819:21 1927:2 1929:4,9,15

approving 1801:19 1861:4

approximately 1715:22 1733:20 1857:8

April 1754:23

arbiter 1898:12

architect 1854:17 architects 1787:16,19 1829:14

architectural 1727:12,17,20,24 1728:20 1732:4,21 1830:11,14 1831:19 1832:13, 24 1835:7 1836:18

architecture 1836:10

area 1694:11 1698:7 1704:17

1736:22 1853:22 areas 1682:16 1697:3,11

argue 1830:20

argued 1872:1

argument

1915:14

arm 1818:22 1843:17,19 1844:4 arms 1843:15

array 1882:21

arrived 1715:19

article 1868:10 1874:10,15 1907:2

articulated 1891:14 1892:1

ascertain 1719:22 1749:15

assemble 1724:6 1857:21

assembling 1730:10 1731:1 1858:1

assert 1908:1

assessment 1910:6

asset 1700:6,9,18, 23 1779:3,22 1780:17 1838:15

assets 1700:10 1701:20 1779:16, 21 1780:3,6 1839:12,19 1840:1 1855:19

assign 1749:21 1874:12 1886:7,8 1887:3

assigned 1791:7 1878:9 1886:1 1887:11 1903:19 1921:20

assignment 1791:8 1878:17 1917:8

assist 1871:20

assistance

audit

1707:15,17 assistant 1745:20

1751:2,4 1760:2 1768:19 1880:3 1893:24 1927:20

Associates 1720:13,23

assume 1682:14 1826:1 1844:10 1854:7 1894:19

assumed 1708:3 1775:7 1820:10

assumption 1813:16

assure 1770:9

atmosphere 1837:22

attach 1757:4 1768:22

attempt 1876:12 1890:6 1901:5 1916:15

attempted 1888:14 1901:20

attempting 1904:20

attended 1816:14

attendees 1816:11,13,17,22 1817:3

attention 1696:7 1697:15 1706:22 1720:8 1722:3 1732:17 1738:3 1753:24 1871:6 1876:17 1917:5 1922:4 1923:24

attested 1867:14

auction 1821:20, 23 1822:1,4 1843:12

audit 1695:1

1696:8,14,17,23 1697:4,10 1698:8 1699:22 1702:14 1703:9,17 1705:8 1706:10 1781:2,3 1782:6,13,16,21 1791:24 1792:6,8 1804:3 1848:10,16, 21 1849:2,3

audited 1726:4 1755:10 1834:19

auditing 1853:23

auditor 1697:22 1703:23 1704:8 1707:2 1829:5 1831:6,11 1896:16, 22 1922:14,23 1926:3,15,20

auditor's 1688:6 1691:15 1694:24 1702:11 1708:24 1757:6 1816:8 1817:7 1828:15 1920:11 1922:18 1923:8,11 1927:2

auditors 1828:18

August 1712:14, 20,24 1717:24 1840:18 1920:24 1932:14

authored 1702:20 1866:18

authority 1709:20 1788:15,17 1800:13,18 1801:6 1868:13,22,24 1869:23 1874:11 1881:8 1898:7 1905:9,12,17 1913:4 1931:4

authorization 1865:9 1920:6

authorize 1768:15 1906:5,11,13 1913:11

[
authorized	1863:1 1867:20,23	1761:20 1762:5	benchmark	bit 1682:24 1728:9
1750:6,7,24	1870:13 1876:23	1769:19 1812:4	1747:4	1734:3 1747:20
1760:10 1798:2	1884:18 1887:18	1868:15 1898:6		1803:6 1822:16
1861:10 1903:13	1895:15 1911:8	1904:3 1905:11	benefit 1698:21	1833:17 1838:14
1905:16 1913:2	1922:5	1906:4 1909:2,18	1699:2 1781:11,19,	1849:21 1863:11
		1910:13 1912:14	24 1782:18	1864:10 1867:22
authorizing	background		1930:22	1896:9 1898:17
1708:6	1685:24 1708:14	Bass 1723:8	benefits 1719:12	
Avenue 1855:16	1864:10	1729:22 1730:23	1746:2,12 1791:15,	blend 1799:4
	backup 1738:1	1731:9 1732:2	19 1813:10	board 1746:10
aware 1718:10,11	-	1741:19 1803:19	1822:19 1824:20	1749:1,5 1759:11,
1721:8,14 1723:2	backwards	1806:17 1831:11,	1825:4	16 1791:17
1727:2 1740:18	1929:10	12 1834:9,15		1826:24 1827:7
1744:15 1745:8	balance 1709:18	1835:6 1857:12	Benjamin 1727:16	
1746:3 1748:19	1713:20,21,22	1859:17	1755:2 1793:13,17	bodies 1688:3
1750:11 1751:6,9,	1714:17 1715:20,	Bass' 1729:24	1807:8 1835:8	body 1876:23
17 1753:19	21 1716:4,8	1820:13 1857:3	1837:14 1852:16	1878:6
1759:17,22,24	1717:18 1718:17	1020.13 1037.3	1855:3,20 1869:20,	1070.0
1760:1 1763:17		Bates 1868:3	22 1917:12	bold 1871:11
1767:11 1768:24 1769:3,6 1770:1	ball 1780:24	Battery 1863:19	Benjamin's	bolded 1864:14
1776:6 1782:23	bar 1686:13	Baughman	1829:11 1853:14,	bonuses 1909:21
1787:22 1823:23	1919:14	1843:16	19 1855:4,8	bonuses 1909:21
1825:10 1826:20	Barbara 1705.14	1043.10	bot 1964.4 6	book 1694:16
1825:10 1826:20	Barbara 1725:14	began 1690:9	bet 1864:4,6	1725:4 1727:9,11,
	1811:8 1830:3	1723:24 1724:6	big 1704:24	13 1729:14,19,23,
1895:2,6 1916:3 1924:5	1834:8,17 1835:3	1785:7 1820:12	1708:20 1796:8	24 1730:11 1731:8,
1924.0	base 1843:6	1908:24	1819:2 1833:10,15,	21,23 1732:1,2,16
awful 1854:1,9	1846:9,10,12,23	havin 1000-0	19 1834:1 1841:24	1790:12 1796:8
1895:11	1847:6	begin 1682:8 1683:9	1890:1	1807:2 1808:9
Ava 1021-0 10	haaad (700.40	1683:9	himmer 4000-40	1819:1,2 1835:5,6
Aye 1931:9,10	based 1706:12	beginning	bigger 1808:13	1858:12,16,22
ayes 1931:12,13	1713:14 1760:12	1714:21 1734:23	bill 1837:4 1876:6	1871:18
	1767:20,22 1770:5	h	1877:2,14,16,17	
В	1785:3 1792:20	begins 1681:3	1891:16 1892:13	books 1701:7,8
D	1793:11 1845:24	1700:5 1747:3	1894:6 1927:15	bottom 1700:4
	1850:24 1851:5	behalf 1748:5		1713:6 1715:5
bachelor's 1686:1	1854:11 1899:17		billed 1721:18	1721:3 1733:12
back 1681:17	1911:11 1912:13	believed 1724:21	1735:10,11,15,24	1736:4 1747:15,17
1682:6 1689:24	1915:15	1727:21 1738:12	1737:10,24	1755:4 1779:2
1714:1 1717:4	basically 1696:3	1739:21 1757:24	billing 1736:1,7,9,	1815:12 1923:7
1719:5 1723:23	1718:17 1749:14	1776:14 1782:18	12 1810:22	
1725:23 1742:23	1795:23,24	1858:1 1871:7	1851:14	bought 1841:17
1743:22 1747:20	1819:11 1850:2,11	1923:22		branch 1684:4,11,
1751:16 1755:10	1853:24 1855:8	believes 1869:6	bills 1851:18,19	16 1868:8 1894:17
1767:15 1771:14,	1865:7 1881:10	1877:21	1893:3	1896:16
21 1778:9 1780:21	1891:10 1898:1		binder 1724:9	
1784:20 1785:6	1900:14,15,18	belong 1739:5	1725:16,24 1731:2	break 1714:12
1788:21 1801:8,13	1928:19	bench 1758:9,23	1742:24 1808:5	1727:14 1771:6,18
1819:6 1821:24		1805:15 1865:5,11,	1828:20 1829:7,11	1836:11
1829:1,2 1840:7	basis 1687:20	20 1867:23	1830:10 1834:8,18,	breakdown
1841:8 1856:3,19	1704:14 1711:22	1891:21 1895:9	22 1854:4 1858:13	1716:4 1728:15
10-1.0 1000.0,18	1728:17 1746:18	1001.21 1000.0		1110.7 1120.10
	l	1	l	I

1854:5,19 1870:7, breaking 1735:4,5 1799:10 14 1876:21 carrying 1715:7 Brian 1863:9,12 Byrd 1818:8,9 1921:20 carryover 1709:8 briefly 1689:9,24 Canterbury's case 1683:10 С 1699:6 1772:23 1727:10 1728:24 1788:8 bring 1758:18 1811:21 1821:6 Cabell 1897:19 1871:6 1876:14 **cap** 1745:21,22 1826:19 1859:21 1748:24 1749:1,4 1866:14 1879:18 calculate 1723:17 1752:22,24 1753:1 1880:11,23 1884:7, 1859:3 bringing 1923:24 1759:5,10,15 11 1886:1 1887:15 calculations 1824:19,23 1825:1, broad 1690:22 1893:8 1895:7,12, 1849:3 3 1826:3 1846:14 20 1896:1 1914:22 broke 1837:8 1865:23 1875:13, 1916:4,6 1928:7 calendar 1893:6 18 1876:11 caseloads call 1682:18 1720:8 1891:13 1898:9 broken 1686:22 1903:20 1785:18 1787:6 1915:17 1921:22 1711:2 1784:21 1812:3 1889:24 1927:16,18 cases 1810:13 brought 1692:21 1910:13 1917:5 1880:19 1882:4,16, capacity 1867:5 1699:13 1880:23 17,18,20 1885:8, called 1685:1 1878:16 1879:10 1890:16 1925:24 13,23 1886:2,16 1695:9 1742:3 1885:14 1896:22 1887:3,5,6,7 brush 1690:22 1761:22 1762:10 1913:7 1927:9 1865:20 1867:23 **bucking** 1776:2 Capitol 1785:15,20 1883:24 cash 1714:16 1786:2 1796:12,21 **budget** 1684:4,7, 1855:21 calling 1784:12 15 1709:10 1710:4, capped 1822:19 Casto 1823:10 23 1711:1 1713:7, calls 1682:21 1910:12 1918:7 1824:1 1863:9,13, 9,11,15,16 1715:13 1861:4 caption 1915:22 1718:7 1719:9 17,20,23 1864:4 Canterbury 1932:8 1812:6 1814:2,4 1872:14,18 1873:1, 1692:1,2,9,18 5,14,20 1874:2,13, captioned 1693:6,15 1698:19 19 1875:3,9,16 1915:10 1920:20 1699:12 1704:12 1876:3 1877:5 1708:17 1709:5,16 captioning 1878:2 1879:7,21 1715:10 1733:23 1917:23 budgeted 1719:23 1881:13,17,21 1737:11 1739:2 1882:7,13 1883:7, car 1698:17,23 budgets 1687:23 1761:1 1763:6 22 1884:9 1885:2, 1699:4 1703:15 1765:5 1766:24 20 1886:5,11 **Building** 1717:13 1704:2 1781:21 1767:3 1772:21 1887:1 1888:4,10 1785:15,21 1786:2 1773:18 1774:1,9, card 1809:16 1889:6,9,12,18 16 1776:2 1777:2 1810:1 1815:18,22 1890:3,7,14 **bulk** 1732:12 1779:5 1781:9,12, 1816:21 1891:2,5,14 15,17 1782:15,23 1892:6,16,21,24 **bullet** 1698:10 care 1758:20 1786:1 1788:1 1893:2,7,13,16,21, 1700:5 1738:16 1839:5 1789:14 1790:19 24 1894:4,19 1794:20 1795:7 career 1924:10 1895:6,12,19,24 burner 1778:9 1796:18,20 1797:1, 1896:14,20 1897:4, careful 1828:2 22 1799:11.14 12,16 1898:15,23 **business** 1699:8 1800:6,14 1819:20, 1899:10,16 1900:3, 1781:12 1782:2

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

1827:17 1841:9

21 1821:20

carried 1718:18

carry 1713:20

1784:16 1790:12

1829:14 1836:14

1716:8

1890:1

1848:23

1848:4

budgetary

1709:20

1840:12

1779:1

1796:12,21

bypassing

6,8,12,20,24 1901:8,14,18,21 1912:3 1914:19,23 1915:8 1916:3,8,21 1917:1,19 1918:17, 22 1919:5 1920:1, 19 1921:13,15,19 1922:6,9,15,19 1923:1,4,9,14 1924:9,22 1925:9 catch 1729:1 category 1719:13 caused 1872:5 causing 1872:2 1876:15 cease 1870:19 ceases 1845:20 certify 1825:12,23 1932:6,9 chain 1762:13 1799:10,15,21 **chair** 1779:17 1836:3,7 1845:10 1899:21 1902:3,13, 18,22 1903:1,5 1906:1,10,16 1907:6,16,22 1908:3 1918:14,20 1919:1,15,19 1920:13 1921:2 1931:3 **chairman** 1681:2,6 1682:6,20,23 1683:5,17 1684:22 1685:9 1728:2,8 1770:24 1771:1.14 1772:3 1794:8,12, 15 1795:11,12 1798:9,11 1801:22, 23 1802:2,4 1809:10 1814:12 1818:5,7,10,13 1822:8,9,11,21 1828:6,9 1836:2,4 1845:11,13 1856:15 1860:19,

[· 3 ····,		
20 1861:23 1862:1	1912:21	1803:8 1804:9,14	civil 1823:7	1881:12,19 1903:8
1863:1,8,16,18		1809:1,3 1810:18	1886:18 1887:5	1906:19 1909:7
1872:7,8 1874:4,7	change 1688:15	1813:21 1830:20		1918:15 1931:4
1876:8,10 1881:2,	1721:17,19	1831:4 1833:12	CJ 1867:5	
6,24 1882:1,24	1769:14 1780:20	1838:6,12 1849:11,	claiming 1795:24	coerced 1770:18
1883:1 1884:21	1791:23 1792:15,	14 1861:9 1862:7,	claining 1795.24	coffee 1841:16,20,
	22 1901:20		clarify 1750:20	
1888:21,24	1917:23 1920:14	13 1866:18 1868:7,	1909:12,20	22 1842:19 1843:6
1890:21 1892:9,12		12,20,22,23		1844:4,9
1894:9,12 1899:5,	changed 1683:22	1869:8,17,24	clarifying 1917:1	coffers 1714:1
20 1900:5,7,9,13,	1717:21 1753:13	1874:11,23 1875:1,	classification	
21 1901:2,10,15,	1760:23,24	5 1879:10,12,19	1823:20	coincidence
19,23 1902:4,10,	1780:24 1791:24	1894:2 1896:18	1020.20	1913:14
12,14 1905:22	1809:1	1897:9,14 1925:12	classified 1705:9	coined 1708:23
1908:4 1910:16	changeover	chose 1758:14	1706:15	comed 1708.23
1911:21 1912:1,4,	1770:3	1887:23		colleagues
7,20,23 1913:9,15,	1110.5	1007.20	classify 1706:14	1818:17 1871:1
22 1914:2,3,6,12,	characterization	chosen 1869:12	Clause 1866:22	
13,16 1916:20,21,	1760:21	Chric 1744.45	1867:7	collect 1865:5
23 1918:9,10		Chris 1741:15		1866:6 1891:20
1919:17,20 1921:6	charge 1687:13,17	1893:22	clear 1699:16	collecting 1871:2
1922:1,3 1924:3,4	1843:9 1912:14	circled 1733:16	1727:5 1731:19	_
1925:5,6,10,15	chargeable	• •	1759:9 1783:11	column 1712:9,15,
1928:15,16 1930:6	1923:18	circuit 1791:8	1808:2,16 1831:6	19,21,23 1713:7
1931:3,7,11	1020.10	1840:21 1846:19	1861:2 1877:15	1780:17
	charges 1814:21	1864:15 1865:1,13	1878:8 1907:11	columns 1710-17
chairman's	1836:10 1837:3	1866:2,3,7,8,9	1909:8 1915:2	columns 1712:17
1931:8	1890:16 1927:17	1867:18,19 1869:5	1928:1	combination
chairs 1780:13	abort 1701.1111	1870:4,17,24	alark 1750.40	1905:3 1908:15
	chart 1764:11,14,	1871:1 1879:16	clerk 1750:16	
1818:23 1819:12,	15,21	1880:18,19,20	1751:11 1811:14,	combined 1918:6
18,24 1821:19	charts 1722:13,14	1882:19 1884:24	23 1849:6 1863:19,	comfortable
1822:1 1841:21		1885:6,7,11,19	21 1867:15	1770:19 1799:22
1842:18 1843:9,12,	check 1749:14	1886:15 1891:22	1871:17,21	1110.13 1133.22
14,15,17,18,19	1758:17 1788:20	1894:20 1896:11	1880:18,19,20	command
1844:4	1790:1 1848:11	1899:9 1903:15	1896:11	1799:10,15,21
challenge 1896:18	1884:17	1918:7	clerk's 1736:18,19	commont 1004:40
	chief 1683:22			comment 1834:13
challenged		circuits 1882:17	1851:15	1917:1
1875:24	1684:18 1734:24	1896:5 1899:8	close 1696:22	Comments 1734:8
challenges	1735:10 1749:20	circumstance		1735:8 1917:19
1897:14	1750:6,10,13,23	circumstance	close-by 1885:11	
1097.14	1755:1 1756:15,24	1875:2 1879:15	closed 1696:18	Commission
chamber 1681:14,	1760:9 1761:3,11,	circumstances		1785:15,21 1786:2
17 1837:9	14 1764:17	1745:12 1758:6	closely 1749:23	1926:9
	1767:23,24 1768:2,	1763:2 1868:24	closer 1728:3	commissioned
chambers 1682:7	8,14 1769:7	1875:5 1876:15	1863:21	
1724:4 1735:20,24	1772:15,16,18	1881:20	1003.21	1932:5
1739:4 1740:12	1773:17 1774:19		co-counsel's	committee
1796:22 1797:4	1775:15 1776:8,23	cited 1822:23	1823:12 1917:2	1682:3,21 1685:1,
1799:3 1829:23	1777:8 1780:23	1918:15		18,21 1689:9
1830:1 1837:8	1783:20 1784:9	oitos 1715:11	code 1822:17	1696:12,13 1700:7
chanco 1046:47	1792:14 1793:3,5,	cites 1715:11	1823:8,21 1869:3	1703:2 1705:12
chance 1846:17	9,15 1802:24		1870:3,5 1871:16	

		. .		
1708:13 1710:17	comparing 1714:8	comply 1913:19	20	1741:3
1712:8 1717:15	1829:22	computer 1700:21	conflicts 1897:11	construction
1723:5 1725:13	comparison	1778:22 1919:23,		1724:2 1733:14
1727:6 1733:6	1713:1 1714:9	24 1920:18	confused 1838:15,	1737:7,8 1784:14
1734:21 1738:7,20	1727:22 1729:3		24 1847:2 1864:22	1896:20 1903:9
1745:11 1747:8,21	1806:21 1807:13,	computer-aided	confusion	1915:15
1754:8,14 1756:18	21 1829:21	1932:13	1726:22 1842:7	
1758:5 1827:21	1830:15,24	Computers	1928:1	consulting
1828:2 1876:5,8	1834:15	1702:4		1853:11
1877:13,15,18			connection	contact 1699:18
1892:17,20	compel 1880:7	Con 1874:22	1854:12	1767:9
1893:19 1917:17	compelled	concept 1701:23	consequence	
1925:17,19,24	1763:14	•	1870:21	contacted 1704:4
1926:16 1927:4,7,		concern 1693:11		1767:8,10
13 1928:18	compensated	1827:19	considered	contacts 1893:18
1930:18 1931:5	1746:19 1878:20	concerns 1702:14	1797:5 1825:8	
Committee's	compensation	1795:4	1826:1	contained
1923:24	1748:20 1759:19		consistent 1852:2	1722:20 1740:8
	1791:9 1823:1	conclude 1853:8	1866:11 1891:17	1827:5
common 1929:7	1865:16,22	concluded	1907:17	contemplated
communicate	1866:20,24	1782:24 1783:12		1721:16
1757:10 1758:24	1868:17 1870:16		consistently	
1765:7,17 1832:11	1871:3 1878:12	conclusion	1833:7 1901:17	contemplates
·	1900:16 1904:6,13,	1823:14 1836:9	consolidated	1756:14
communicated	16 1906:8 1907:13	1853:20,21	1735:3 1770:7	contest 1869:12
1749:5,18 1751:16	1908:14 1918:7	1906:21	1826:23 1827:7	
1759:10 1762:16		conduct 1694:11		continuation
1763:22 1765:1	complained		conspiracy	1682:7
1768:10 1773:12	1694:24	conducted	1890:13	continue 1716:14
1834:4	complete 1702:9	1848:10	constitute	1729:8 1746:7
communicates	1723:7 1724:17	conduit 1689:19,	1918:21	1834:20 1835:15
1749:24	1726:15 1799:18	22		1869:1 1884:6,8,14
communicating	1807:4 1824:17		constituted	1888:2,16
1763:5 1827:15	completed	conference	1916:15	southursd 1000 5
1703.3 1027.15	1681:16 1686:3	1691:17 1773:5,9	Constitution	continued 1682:5
communication	1720:18 1731:8	1775:24	1874:10,15 1881:9,	1711:20 1771:12
1762:14 1765:24	1755:23 1773:15	conferences	12,19 1898:14	continuing 1917:4
1768:8,16 1773:23	1775:7 1789:13	1778:17	1900:23	
1830:2	1792:9 1825:16,19		Constitutional	contract 1720:15,
communications		conferred 1710:13	1709:19 1874:23	17,20,24 1721:4,8,
1766:6 1778:12,14	completely	1725:10 1731:17		11,13,16,21,24 1732:20,22 1733:1,
	1684:6 1726:8	1748:23 1766:2	1876:1 1877:22,24 1878:3,5 1879:20	19,23 1734:10,16
commuting	1786:19 1787:1	1772:24 1779:24	1898:21	1757:5 1785:12
1698:21 1781:10,	completing	1795:13 1798:8 1815:14		1826:15,22 1827:6
18,23 1783:13	1735:17 1831:23	1013.14	Constitutionally-	1905:11 1906:4,14
company 1844:19		confined 1871:23	required 1875:22	1908:24 1910:13
	completion	confirmed	constructed	1913:10 1915:3
compare 1808:10	1921:21	1845:19	1723:6	1920:14 1929:5,8,
compared	compliance			10,16
1761:12	1706:7,8,9,10	conflict 1898:13,	constructing	
	,.,.,.,.			

		;		
contractor 1697:7	convert 1791:10	1807:1 1808:18	13 1684:20,22	1704:10 1705:9,13,
1706:1 1707:3,7,9	converted	1812:6,7 1814:1	1710:13 1725:10	15 1706:4,13
1717:9 1721:1		1835:12 1841:7	1731:17 1748:23	1707:8,12 1709:2,8
1746:14 1747:11	1791:13 1846:4	1843:5 1846:1,2,7,	1766:2 1772:24	1710:1 1711:16
1750:9 1751:19	converting	8,13,24 1847:11,	1779:2,24 1792:16,	1712:4,14 1715:17
1753:8,12,14	1792:24	16,22 1850:10	21 1795:13 1798:8	1718:4,6,10
1754:5 1757:17		1852:23 1854:23,	1810:22 1811:2	1720:16,19 1721:1
1759:8 1789:7	conveyed 1765:3	24 1855:3,5,6	1815:14 1822:13	1723:9,23 1732:20,
1791:11,22 1793:1	conviction	1857:10 1860:6,10,	1849:1 1856:16,17	23 1733:17 1737:4
1825:5 1829:9	1871:22	11 1875:9 1889:5,	1857:20 1859:14	1743:20,24
1845:18 1861:11		12,17 1890:24	1860:12,13 1863:9	1744:16 1745:15
1910:14	convinced 1914:1	1894:18,20	1872:8,11 1874:8	1746:13 1748:3
	Cookman 1895:7	1895:18 1896:13	1877:12 1880:3,4	1749:6,13 1752:8,9
contractors		1897:11 1908:19	1881:7 1883:2	1754:7,21 1755:16
1705:10,14,16,17,	copied 1731:22	1917:3 1921:16	1884:23 1889:1	1759:22 1760:1,6,
24 1706:14,20	1752:5	1922:14 1923:8	1894:13 1899:2,6,	10,17,22,23
1748:13,14 1760:4	copies 1926:7,9,10		20 1901:24 1902:1,	1761:11 1762:7
1787:15,19 1788:2	-	correctly 1684:5	8,10,11,19 1908:5	1764:2,13,23
1825:20 1844:13	copy 1697:21	1706:11 1728:17	1910:17 1911:22	1766:22 1767:7
1870:9	1729:12,19,21,23	1729:5 1768:6	1914:9,10,13	1769:5,16 1770:9,
contracts 1720:18	1768:19 1922:22	1773:16 1830:17	1916:23 1917:18	17 1778:7 1779:7,
two . t I	copying 1738:9	1850:19 1890:2	1918:11,13 1921:8	13,14 1783:21,22
contractual		1930:4 1932:10	1922:4 1924:2,5	1784:15 1785:4,17
1908:11,18 1909:1,	correct 1686:20	cost 1723:12,17	1925:7,8,14	1788:23 1796:1
21 1917:7	1687:5,15,18	1730:15 1735:17	1928:16 1930:7	1797:7,8 1802:21
contrary 1876:4	1688:20 1691:9	1736:6 1742:7,8		1803:8,18 1804:17
-	1696:6 1701:19	1744:6,9,13	counsel's 1734:24	1809:17 1810:2,5,
control 1690:5	1702:9 1705:11	1786:11,12,16,20	1735:10	17,19 1811:10,19
1692:23 1861:17	1711:23 1712:5	1831:12 1836:9	counter 1884:12	1814:2,7 1817:5
1868:11	1713:19 1715:23	1857:14 1858:8	acuptu 1075:00	1819:12 1826:9,12,
controversy	1716:6 1718:5	1859:3	county 1875:20 1886:4 1888:8	16,17 1827:12,15,
1879:18	1719:10 1720:1,21	acting 1707.0		16 1831:5 1833:13
	1722:17 1729:5	costing 1787:8	1894:15,16 1895:3,	1836:12 1838:4
conversation	1730:21 1734:14,	costs 1717:5,16	15,22 1896:1	1839:3 1848:3,9
1693:5 1699:11,19	18 1738:24	1720:6 1721:14	1919:8 1932:2,4	1849:8 1851:24
1709:16 1742:16,	1741:14 1746:20	1726:5 1727:13	couple 1760:16	1852:6,8,10
22 1743:3,4	1748:21 1749:7,11,	1729:5,9 1730:5,13	1791:4 1802:8	1854:22 1856:6,7
1763:9,15 1781:14,	12 1754:16	1731:12 1732:4,7	1819:23 1828:12,	1861:5 1862:20
20 1782:12	1755:19 1759:14	1733:14 1743:10,	13 1845:15 1874:8	1864:15 1865:10,
1801:11 1810:15	1760:12 1762:11	11,14,17 1787:1	1887:21 1894:14	12 1866:14
1829:4,6 1830:6	1764:3,4,7,8	1820:10,14	1930:13	1867:17,19 1868:6,
1831:21,22	1772:11,19	1831:19 1832:5	00urt 1692.4	12 1869:6,8,12
1839:18 1888:19	1774:11,20	1834:19 1836:21	court 1682:4	1870:6 1872:4,5
conversations	1776:24 1777:5,19	acushed 1790,16	1683:21 1684:2,21	1873:6 1874:24
1693:6 1695:7,10	1779:9,10 1781:1,	couched 1780:16	1686:11,13,17,23	1876:12,21 1877:3,
1698:18 1766:11	7,13 1783:14	couches 1702:6	1688:3,19 1689:4,	6,7,9,21 1879:16,
1767:6 1768:24	1785:3,11,13	1787:2	10,14 1690:23	19,21 1880:2,6,9,
1770:11,12	1786:12,14 1789:9,	Coup 1005:00	1691:3 1692:13,18,	11,21,24 1881:16
	18 1790:4 1791:12	Coun 1905:23	24 1693:17,18	1882:4,12,14
a a mu a ra a lui		-	1694:4,8 1695:10,	1884:24 1885:3,5,
conversely	1796:1 1800:23	counsel 1681:19		
conversely 1862:13	1803:15 1804:10, 11,12 1805:6	counsel 1681:19 1682:18 1683:12,	16 1698:4 1700:5 1701:1,4,5,11,18	10,14 1887:20

		5,		
1893:11 1897:23	crafted 1876:22		1815:3,8 1835:3	defaults 1718:23
1898:1,12 1899:9,		D	1866:21 1886:12	defined 1000.15
23 1901:16	crank 1682:23		1909:4 1913:16	defined 1689:15
1903:12,15,18,24	create 1750:4	daily 1754:24	1926:23 1932:14	1764:19
1904:24 1905:8,10	1903:13	1762:5 1769:18	day-to-day	defining 1926:19
1913:4 1915:1	created 1730:19	dancing 1909:23	1687:19,20	definition 1706:1
1916:15 1917:3,23	1750:15,18,19	_	1761:20	
1918:3,4 1920:2,7,	1761:5 1803:13,24	dark 1786:19		defraud 1890:13
10,12 1922:8,10,17	1865:11 1882:14	date 1694:20	days 1756:3	degree 1686:1
1924:12,14,19	1905:10		1827:10 1885:12	1897:11
1925:2 1926:3,5,		1695:5 1696:22	1886:21 1911:1	
18,22 1929:18,22,	creates 1750:14,	1701:10 1706:9,10	dead 1863:19	delay 1874:18
24	17 1808:8	1714:16 1719:3	dead 1863.19	1894:17 1895:4
Court's 1682:15		1726:6,7 1729:10	deadlines 1681:12	
	creating 1724:11	1731:10,11		delegate 1681:4
1694:14 1696:4	1775:2 1894:15	1735:24 1747:16	dealing 1799:10	1682:14,20 1728:7
1699:13 1700:10	credible 1888:14	1792:11 1815:19	1834:16 1897:2	1771:24 1772:2,6
1709:20 1710:22		1836:13 1850:9	deals 1845:16	1794:8,11,14,17
1715:13 1719:21	credit 1815:17,18,	1851:9,11 1897:5	1928:3	1795:10,12,15
1766:13 1779:3	22 1816:21	deted 1705.15		1798:7,9,10,14
1849:1 1912:23	crime 1890:17	dated 1725:15	debate 1877:1	1800:12 1801:21,
1916:15 1929:12	1918:16,17,21	1819:10 1872:12	debt 1845:1	23 1802:1,2,3,7,10
courtroom	1910.10,17,21	1926:2		1809:6,9,10,11,14
1737:21 1797:11	criminal 1823:8	dates 1747:19	decade 1876:21	1814:11,12,14,17
1913:21	1886:16 1887:6,7	1754:23 1756:1,12,	December	1818:4,5,6,7,8,9,
1913.21	1904:19 1906:18,	22 1852:17 1887:9	December	10,12,16 1822:6,9,
courts 1705:20	19,20 1907:18	1917:14	1696:20 1754:24	10,15 1823:3,17,19
1804:19 1840:21	1909:7 1911:18		1756:2,23 1792:10	1824:3,6 1828:5,7,
1865:13 1868:13	1912:14 1914:22	Davis 1728:19	1803:7 1814:23	8,11 1836:1,2
1871:13 1872:19	1916:6,7,10,11	1738:11 1739:3	decide 1809:18	1856:23,24 1857:2
1874:16 1877:22	1918:15	1740:1,7,9,11,21	1879:16 1898:20	1860:18,20,21
1879:17 1885:15	1010110	1756:16 1789:20		1861:1,22,23,24
1898:22 1913:7	cross 1844:14	1790:19 1793:12,	decided 1746:13	1862:4,24 1863:2,3
1924:7	CRR 1932:18	17 1797:9 1820:9,	decides 1803:8	1864:3 1872:9,11,
		19 1841:9,10		16,22 1873:4,10,16
cover 1695:6	culture 1760:17	1842:14 1854:6	deciding 1810:13	1874:1,4,6,14,20
1696:24 1737:12	1769:5	1857:8 1867:13,14	decision 1879:5,6,	1875:4,11,19
1745:7 1753:6,20	curious 1745:1	1869:22	8 1880:24	1876:24 1877:19
1754:12,23		Davis' 1727:18		1878:23 1879:14
1823:13 1852:21	current 1694:22		decisions 1785:21	1881:1,2,3,5,15,18,
covered 1698:7	1709:11 1711:3	1729:1 1780:15	1872:23	23 1882:1,2,3,9,23
1705:8 1849:24	1713:11 1714:11	1797:4 1806:19		1883:1,2,19
1852:16	1718:23 1792:19	1807:1,4,15 1808:6	decommissioned	1884:1,20,21,22
	1846:18 1912:7	1818:18 1829:22	1701:22	
covering 1690:20	1929:23	1830:11 1831:2,18	decorated 1852:1	1885:17 1886:3,7,
1756:11		1832:5,13,19		14 1888:3,6,20,21,
000000 1758:04	customary 1856:5	1836:17	decorative 1739:3	22,23 1889:7,10,
covers 1756:21	cut 1790:1 1804:23	day 1687:21	decorator 1851:23	14,20 1890:5,8,9,
CPA 1686:5,7		1688:8 1740:10		19,21,22 1891:4,8
1693:24 1767:19	cuts 1804:17,18	1746:21,22	deemed 1889:21	1892:3,8,9,10,11,
1781:17 1793:1	1805:4,15	1752:18 1756:13,	deep 1912:18	19,22 1893:1,5,9,
1846:1		15,23 1769:12,24	ucch 1912.10	14,20,23 1894:2,6,
		10,20 1100.12,24		9,10,11,22
		I		

	VOL	UNE VII - August 06,	2018	
1895:10,14,21	designate 1905:13	developed	direct 1696:7	disallowed 1907:9
1896:8,9,15		1711:15 1764:16	1697:15 1707:20	dieburge 4000.44
1897:1,8,13	designated 1797:9 1905:12	1773:8 1774:15	1711:11 1725:8	disburse 1832:11
1898:11,19 1899:2,	1797:9 1905:12	1802:14	1738:3 1753:24	disbursed
5,6,12,19 1902:2	designating	developing	1762:14 1765:5	1831:14
1905:23 1908:6,7,	1905:15	1692:11 1695:22	1777:14	disbursements
8,17 1909:3,11,19	designation	1773:1	directed 1723:7	1713:12 1896:23
1910:8,15,16,17	1924:16		1913:3	
1911:10,20,23,24		development		disclaimer
1912:6 1914:9,11,	designee 1922:24	1737:7	direction 1776:11	1726:10
15,20,24 1915:24	desk 1704:21	deviate 1809:23	1777:15,18 1784:5,	disclose 1762:24
1916:4,19 1918:12	1707:22 1708:9		8 1898:18	1782:4 1831:5,6
1919:18 1921:6,7,	1779:18 1780:13	devil's 1916:1	directive 1879:20	1833:3
8,14,17,23 1922:1, 2,7,11,16,21	1787:14 1793:22	devoid 1764:5	1901:22	
1923:3,6,10	1805:19 1844:15		directly 1684:1,2	disclosed 1829:5
1924:1,3,4,18	desks 1702:2	died 1893:3	1688:17 1689:2,3,8	disclosure 1835:7
1925:4 1928:24	1704:22	diem 1746:18	1762:16,20,23	discomfort
1929:1,21 1930:5		1865:16,22	1763:5,18 1765:4	1876:16
	detail 1690:21	1866:23 1867:17,	1767:2,9 1769:6	
DELEGATES	1727:13 1733:24	21 1868:15,16	1773:4,12 1799:17,	discovery 1835:13
1685:8 1931:10	1737:14 1750:20	1870:16 1886:12	22 1800:4 1810:16	1873:9
deliberate	1779:15,19	1888:2 1900:15	1811:10 1823:16	discrepancy
1709:17	1811:24 1829:6	1901:3 1904:3,6,13	director (000.00	1842:17
delivered 1739:24	1848:15,21	1905:2,3,5 1906:7	director 1683:20 1684:1,12,17	
1740:6	1854:22 1858:10	1907:13 1908:9,12, 14,18,21,23	1686:18 1688:2,7	discuss 1741:2
	detailed 1703:19	1909:1,4,13,15,18,	1689:4,7,8,17,19,	1778:17 1789:2
denial 1874:18	1833:8 1849:2	21 1910:9,11,12	22 1690:13	1820:23 1877:3 1930:14
depends 1719:10	1882:16,19	1913:2,5,11	1691:17,19,24	1930.14
1885:3	details 1729:9	1917:4,22 1918:3,6	1698:19 1707:14	discussed 1749:3
	1734:6 1751:16	1920:21,23	1708:2 1710:21	1759:21 1773:9
depleted 1718:17,	1779:16 1812:13		1729:15 1738:10	1858:11 1871:5
18	1845:8 1904:18	diff 1828:13	1751:22 1753:10	discussing
depositing	determination	difference	1761:2 1762:8,22	1914:21 1927:22
1758:21	determination	1747:22 1761:10	1763:1,3 1765:19	
Deputy 1751:21	1768:1,3 1899:1	1833:10,15,19	1766:8 1773:14	discussion
1753:10	determinations	1834:1	1774:4 1775:17	1783:17 1788:20 1830:9 1877:2
	1684:20 1706:12	differences	1776:12,22 1777:3,	1830:9 1877:2
describe 1829:3	1793:7	1836:9	11,21 1778:13,19	
description	determine		1789:11 1796:14	discussions
1821:15 1830:24	1868:24 1877:23	differently 1838:5	1800:1 1861:8,20 1877:13 1880:4	1706:17 1740:7
decorintions	1923:17	difficult 1818:1	1894:1 1920:6	dismissed 1863:6
descriptions	determined	1822:17	1927:21 1930:1	
1735:7	determined 1821:16 1870:6	dig 1723:23		disposal 1703:10
design 1717:6			Director's 1765:14	disputing 1909:6
1724:2 1732:21	determines	dinner 1815:7,20	directors 1689:18,	disregard 1911:11
1737:6 1829:9	1875:1	1930:16	20 1765:18	-
1835:14 1849:23	develop 1691:13,	dinners 1816:14,	disagrooment	disregarded
1854:1	21	15	disagreement 1799:7	1914:1
			1133.1	

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

	102		, 2010	
disregarding	1694:7 1855:22,24	1896:5	eat 1771:9	1845:17 1852:6
1913:23	documents	duly 1685:2	educational	employees
disseminating	1705:1 1710:22	1932:5,7	1685:24	1704:10 1705:23
1928:19	1716:17 1724:1			1707:3 1769:2,17
	1725:6 1730:19	duress 1770:18	effect 1770:11	1770:13 1792:4
distinction	1735:12 1737:7,15	duties 1903:19	1772:22 1901:6	1794:24 1827:12,
1912:11	1739:10,20		1914:21	16 1910:24
distinguishing	1741:19,22	duty 1859:17	effectively 1767:5	1926:20,21
1799:5	1756:21 1784:18	1865:21 1870:12	1897:10	
	1785:4,7 1793:11	1877:22 1882:5		employer 1748:3,4
distribute 1710:20	1797:23 1821:17	1887:17	efficacy 1911:4	1845:20,21
distributed	1853:3 1857:6,12,		effort 1913:19	1847:20
1711:15	13 1859:18,20,22	E		employer's
	1860:9,13 1861:18		elaborate 1779:12	1845:22
division 1684:3,11	1909:3 1925:19	e-mail 1720:12	elected 1683:7	
1686:21 1687:2,3		1753:4 1927:19	1850:17 1881:10	employment
1689:18 1690:3,5,	dollar 1718:9		1889:13 1930:11	1748:2 1769:22
12 1691:8 1702:8	1737:17 1745:18	1929:1,3,6,15		1770:9,16 1827:14
1762:9 1765:13,24	1816:6	earlier 1739:18	electronic 1920:5	1854:17 1929:22,
1766:4,7 1780:6	dollars 1715:17	1745:1 1762:6	elevator 1737:3,5,	23
1826:6,8 1839:8	1717:2 1787:3	1786:10 1802:10	22 1851:16	empowered
1844:21	1	1806:4,18 1808:2,		1903:13
divisions 1686:22	downstairs	19 1819:22	eliminated 1770:7	
1687:6,9,12	1761:16,17,22	1822:16 1824:15	Ellis 1724:1	enable 1871:20
1765:13	1762:3	1827:22 1862:11	1740:12 1742:1	1916:12 1918:18
	draft 1692:14	1885:2 1918:15	1743:6,14 1744:15	enables 1915:12,
divvy 1885:8	1778:1,3	1922:20 1929:17,	1805:21 1820:23	18
docket 1885:13	drefted 1000.00	20	1852:9	
-la even en f. (000 0	drafted 1802:20 1928:6	early 1695:22		enabling 1864:13
document 1698:3	1920.0	1696:1 1742:9	else's 1704:22	enacted 1864:18
1702:16 1703:20	drafting 1776:9	1782:12 1802:19	1788:12	
1707:1 1710:18	1927:8	1803:2	emergency	encompassed 1718:12 1812:11
1711:21 1720:11,	dramatic 1783:23		1882:14 1889:21	1718:12 1812:11
14 1722:10	1784:1 1804:18	earn 1746:1,11	1890:2	end 1715:16
1725:20 1732:18	1/04.1 1004.10	1748:21 1759:6		1716:18 1737:23
1734:4 1738:4 1740:16 1753:6,9	dramatically	1791:14,18	eminently 1896:21	1781:3 1803:7
1754:1,8,14	1761:1	1846:15 1878:16	employed 1709:4	1855:14 1877:20
1755:23 1756:18	draw 1758:12	1910:22	1718:4 1744:16	1916:4
1815:15 1855:21	1911:1	earning 1749:15	1764:2 1797:7	ended 1792:7
1857:4,7 1859:4,7	-	1846:10,19	1849:7	
1868:5 1920:15,17	drawing 1791:7			ending 1850:9
1922:7 1923:4	1813:8	earnings 1706:3	employee 1694:8,	endurance 1863:5
	drew 1846:21	1758:15 1759:5	12,13 1706:2,15 1719:12 1747:12,	
documentation		ease 1681:20,24	24 1748:1,5	engineering
1684:6 1692:23	drop-dead 1706:9	00000 1704:0	1751:14 1766:17,	1829:10
1738:2 1790:22	drug 1804:17,18	easier 1724:9	19 1768:16	ensure 1684:8
1816:20,24		easiest 1887:24	1792:24 1823:21	1702:9
1855:18 1857:10	due 1725:19	1891:10	1824:8 1825:2,8,	
1858:5	1761:8 1848:19	0351 1726-00	13,24 1826:2,15,22	ensuring 1684:4
documented	1869:5 1875:7,15	east 1736:22	1827:6,23 1837:20	
		1784:14 1805:8		

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018				
entail 1815:3	1787:8	1853:10 1905:10 1930:10	1919:22 1922:5 1925:17 1926:2,14	1812:21 1840:11 1845:3 1861:4,15
entered 1718:22 1780:5,7,9 1868:5	estimated 1733:6, 7,20	exceptions	1927:6,14	1926:19
1910:11 1919:22, 24	ethical 1875:8,15 1895:18	1817:11 1900:1,19 1903:10,22	exhibits 1805:19 1815:17 1817:2	expensive 1742:12 1743:8
entering 1718:24 1780:22	Ethics 1926:8	excess 1869:5 1870:9,22 1872:3	1912:21 1925:11, 16,17 1928:17	expertise 1903:14
entire 1709:17	Evans 1932:3,18	1875:12,18 1884:19 1888:4	exigent 1868:24 1875:1,4 1876:15	explain 1686:17,21 1698:11 1700:7
1722:20 1731:22 1852:21 1926:17	event 1698:12 1707:15	1898:8	1881:20	1703:2 1710:17 1714:5 1718:15,20
entitled 1871:21	events 1816:9	excessive 1915:3	exist 1692:24 1775:11,13	1734:20 1738:7,20 1745:11 1747:21
1872:4 1915:13,17, 19 1916:13,17	eventually 1703:18	exchanged 1765:15	1829:19	1754:13 1756:9,18 1758:5 1852:5
1918:19,24 1919:12 1927:17	evidence 1681:15	exclude 1906:3	existence 1909:9 existing 1905:5	1925:13
entity 1826:7 1881:9	1821:21 1842:2 1844:11 1923:18	excluding 1908:10 excuse 1728:2	expand 1697:5	explained 1902:16 explaining
entries 1872:5	exacerbated	1794:8 1873:22	expect 1755:12	1708:18
entry 1871:18	1817:21 exact 1745:18	execute 1869:24	1757:20 1793:16 1838:3	explanation 1693:16 1833:9
1883:12,23 1915:18 1918:21	1802:15 1866:5	executed 1721:8 1732:23 1917:11	expectation 1837:24 1838:6	1834:3
1920:18 environment	exam 1686:5	executive 1894:17	expected 1711:22	explanations 1860:9
1760:16,19,21,24	examination 1685:4 1760:18	1895:4 1930:9,14, 15,17,21 1931:5,14	1837:21 1838:2	expound 1786:18,
1769:4 equal 1830:16	1772:5 1795:14 1798:13 1802:6	exempt 1817:9	expended 1732:9 expenditure	23 express 1693:11
1838:10,13 1879:5 1891:11	1809:13 1814:16 1818:15 1824:5	exemption 1826:17	1695:12 1700:22 1713:1 1718:21	1904:21,23 1910:2
equaled 1870:16	1828:10 1836:6 1845:12 1860:24	exhibit 1697:16 1706:22 1710:10,	1816:5 1926:24	expressed 1862:9, 11 1906:19
equally 1854:8	exceed 1752:15,17 1753:21 1755:9	11 1716:9,10,17 1720:8 1722:3,6,11	expenditures 1684:8 1690:24	expression 1909:8
equipment 1798:16 1800:16,	1757:15 1846:22, 23 1865:17 1867:1	1725:8 1732:18 1733:9,10 1734:13	1691:3,6 1692:19 1694:1,5,10 1695:8	expressly
22	1868:17 1900:17	1738:4,22 1739:1	1712:14,16 1714:16 1715:13	1904:10,15 1907:1
equivalent 1687:17 1746:4	1904:7,14 1906:8 1908:11,15,16	1754:1,6,13 1755:21 1756:8,9,	1717:10 1719:7 1720:6 1722:1,20	extend 1852:19 extended 1853:15
error 1706:5	1910:22 1911:5 exceeded 1749:8,	17 1778:20 1779:1 1789:19,20 1791:2	1723:10,21 1726:16 1728:11,	extent 1905:19
essentially 1866:1 1887:16 1891:17,	10 1791:9 1911:7	1795:16 1796:10 1814:20 1815:4	13 1732:13 1764:6 1783:22 1812:4,9,	extravagant 1785:18
19 established	exceeding 1755:18 1758:1	1818:21,24 1819:4, 5,22 1820:7,8	17 1814:19 1815:2	
1799:13	exceeds 1846:12	1840:7 1849:24 1850:5,6 1866:12,	expense 1704:20	F
estimate 1783:24	exception 1817:15	13 1917:6,11,16	expenses 1784:13 1787:15 1806:19	face 1924:20

facilitate 1921:21	14 1888:3,6,20	1792:10	1760:3 1765:23	firm 1727:12,20
fact 1710:3	favor 1931:9	figure 1715:18,19	1766:3 1768:21	1728:20 1832:24
1807:15 1821:16	faar 4007.40	1722:19 1732:8	1780:2,8 1789:15	firsthand 1785:5
1848:19 1883:14	fear 1827:19 1837:23	1828:12	1795:1 1839:24 1844:21,22	1824:13
1904:12 1916:18	1037.23	figures 1722:15		fiscal 1708:21
facts 1762:19	feared 1769:6,18		financial 1683:22	1709:12 1711:3,24
	feasible 1903:20	file 1694:23 1724:2	1684:5,14,18	1712:2,18,21,24
factual 1806:1,2		1725:5 1753:18	1686:22 1687:10,	1713:7,9 1714:9,
fair 1698:2 1701:14	February 1853:6,	1755:13 1768:20 1793:21 1794:4	23 1690:3,12 1710:19 1711:19	10,13,20,21
1702:22 1722:14	16	1880:5	1718:13 1723:20	1715:6,15,16,18
1726:13 1830:16	federal 1911:15		1741:22 1743:23	1716:16,18,23
1847:10 1850:23	fee 1733:6,7	files 1751:20	1766:13 1812:12,	1718:23 1719:9
1852:22 1853:20,		1753:15 1768:22	20 1824:12 1920:6	1720:2 1840:17
21 1861:6 1889:18	feedback 1683:19	fill 1759:7 1849:11	1922:13	Fish 1816:2
1900:2,23,24	feel 1736:6	1874:24 1882:14	financials 1766:12	fit 1875:13 1889:4
1901:6,13 1907:17 1912:15 16	1758:12 1770:19	1884:23 1887:12		111 1075.13 1009:4
1912:15,16 1922:21 1928:21	1793:4 1799:22,24	1894:18 1896:11	find 1717:10	fits 1905:21
	1800:5 1801:1,8	1921:15	1722:22 1724:3,5	five-year 1862:20
fairly 1704:14,24	1809:19 1810:14	fill-in 1924:7	1725:3 1733:18	-
1724:18	1838:5,9 1860:4		1755:12 1778:23	fix 1878:4,6
fall 1683:23	feeling 1767:18	filled 1747:5	1793:16 1805:18	1889:15 1890:1
1772:15 1780:9,21	1769:10 1801:15	1748:10 1753:3 1755:6,17 1885:21	1821:21 1823:6 1826:14 1831:8	fixed 1700:6,9,10,
1782:11,12	1809:22	1895:1 1896:13	1833:4 1834:1,12,	18,23 1701:20
1802:18,20 1817:8	feelings 1761:5	1924:12,17	20 1838:22 1844:7,	1779:3,16,21
1823:20	reenings 1701.5		9,11 1848:22	1780:17 1838:15
falsification	fees 1727:17,19,24	filling 1747:17	1872:13 1873:4,5	1839:12,19 1840:1
1871:16 1915:10,	1728:17 1736:19	1754:4 1759:2	1907:2 1916:6	fixing 1876:18
21	1807:18 1829:24	1887:19 1891:9	finding 1699:22	_
falsifying 1915:1	1830:11,14 1831:1,	1895:11,16 1921:10	1700:2	flat 1688:17
laisiiyiiig 1915.1	19 1832:14,17,23	1921.10		Fleischauer
familiar 1696:10	1835:7,11,14,19, 21,23	fills 1750:22	findings 1697:10,	1836:2,3,7 1845:10
1697:19 1711:17		1849:14	13 1726:4 1848:16,	1902:2,3,13,18,22
1746:9 1805:21	felony 1871:22	filtered 1800:6	21 1849:2	1903:1,5 1905:23
1844:18 1885:5	1907:19 1908:1		fine 1681:13	1906:1,10,16
family 1924:7,12,	felt 1701:7 1703:9,	FIMS 1700:12,16	1685:16 1765:22	1907:6,16,22
14,19 1925:2	16 1705:24	1701:21 1733:11	1770:10 1930:23	1908:3 1919:18,19 1920:13 1921:2
fashion 1771:22	1762:18 1763:14,	1838:16,21 1920:2	finish 1724:19	
1888:14 1897:24	16 1769:23	final 1696:21,22	1760:15 1843:5	Fletch 1796:14
1907:15	1779:20 1795:7,8	1726:4 1792:11	1912:4,5	1838:24 1839:4,7,
	1799:17,20,23	1834:19 1853:22	finished 1805:12	11,23
fast 1771:24	1801:18 1807:14	finally 1853:14	1930:16	Fletcher 1796:20
1772:2,6 1789:4 1794:9,11,14,17	1810:2 1829:20	finance 1683:20		flip 1715:3 1716:14
1795:10 1800:12	1831:16 1832:1,4 1838:10	1684:3,18 1686:2,	fired 1769:24	1720:23 1722:9
1856:23,24 1857:2		19 1687:11	1813:22 1894:3	1733:8 1755:22
	fend 1709:18	1690:16 1691:8	fires 1778:8	
1860:18 1882:2			1	1 tloor 1704.04
1860:18 1882:2 1884:21,22	field 1696:19	1702:8 1750:19	firings 1862:8	floor 1734:24 1736:21 1761:18,

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018				
19 1780:15	1778:1,3 1793:4,9	free-flow 1689:12	1819:17 1820:21	1922:16,17
1786:21 1887:19 flow 1689:14	1816:21 1824:17, 18 1825:12	freely 1765:15	1842:4 1844:10 1896:23 1926:22	generically
1762:7 1764:13	1826:19 1827:6 1897:24 1923:21	frequent 1752:21	1927:1	1784:12 gentleman
1765:12 1766:23	formal 1929:5,10,	frequently 1698:17 1781:21	furnishings 1807:22 1855:9,10	1890:15 1894:19,
fluctuating 1784:19	16	1809:2 1879:1	1856:10	20 1897:19 1908:6
Fluharty 1818:7	formalized	friend 1914:7	furniture 1732:10,	gentlemen 1802:9
1918:12,14,20	1764:21	friendly 1760:19	11,15 1739:4 1780:7 1798:17,20	germane 1700:24
1919:1,15 focus 1698:3	format 1711:17 1722:16	fringe 1698:21	1800:15,22	give 1681:23 1683:2,8 1697:17
FOIA 1724:21	forms 1745:8	1699:1 1781:11,18, 24 1782:18	future 1706:10	1700:19 1702:15 1716:11 1743:13
1730:22 1741:18	1750:12 1753:3 1755:6 1757:2,18	front 1695:5	G	1771:6,8 1783:24
1806:17 1820:14 1831:11 1832:2	1759:2 1783:1	1697:17 1702:12 1712:1 1730:1		1788:21 1833:8 1850:3 1857:5
1857:3,5,16,19,24	1817:14 1826:12	1731:21 1732:3	gained 1763:4	1860:13 1863:9
1858:2,4 1859:6,9, 14,16 1860:7,14	fortunately 1879:1 forward 1713:20,	1738:18 1822:23 1823:16 1858:15	gap 1887:12	1864:9 glass 1780:14
1927:3 1928:20	22 1716:7 1718:18	1866:13 1895:7 1917:16	Gary 1777:2 1929:2	1786:21
FOIAS 1834:24 1860:16	1880:13 1898:6	fulfill 1877:24	gathering 1725:4	go-to 1707:17
folders 1724:7	Foster 1795:12,15 1798:7 1888:22	full 1788:14	1741:22 1742:10	good 1727:22
folks 1760:3	1890:21,22 1891:4, 8 1892:3,8 1908:6,	1834:19 1875:17 1883:9 1932:12	gave 1706:9 1763:11 1789:5	1776:14,15 1817:12 1861:21
1862:6 1909:8	8,17 1909:3,11,19	full-time 1747:12	1884:2	1865:12 1913:22 1914:8
follow 1692:2,6 1699:12 1724:10	1910:8,15	1748:1 1824:8	geared 1912:2	goods 1695:19
1762:13 1775:16	found 1725:22 1792:2,18 1835:1,4	1825:8,13,24 1826:2	gears 1849:21	governed 1873:7
1793:8 1795:19 1797:13 1799:15	1842:2	fully 1776:13	general 1687:22 1695:20 1711:5,7	government
1809:22 1817:10, 13 1894:13	four-year 1850:8	1778:10	1712:14 1713:13	1701:2,3 1881:11 1898:22
1905:22	fourth 1700:4 1754:13 1779:1	function 1684:10	1714:13,19 1715:6 1719:20,21	Governor 1889:24
follow-up 1771:4 1775:20 1802:8	1856:22 1917:10	functions 1687:10 1690:13	1769:10 1811:24 1868:11 1881:8	grab 1719:5
1919:21 1925:21	frame 1725:19 1736:13 1756:21	fund 1711:3	1903:9 1905:9	grade 1899:1
foregoing 1932:6	1840:19 1852:21	1719:21 1720:3 1758:17 1848:14	generally 1693:24 1718:22 1723:2	granted 1817:15
forgot 1782:17	frank 1878:20	funding 1713:10	1762:2,21 1768:20	great 1812:13
form 1741:1 1747:5,9,10,11	1888:10 frankly 1790:17	1766:19 1875:21	1771:3 1809:22 1813:2 1817:10,12	Green 1849:9
1748:9 1750:21,22	fraudulent 1915:6,	funds 1684:15 1708:18 1709:7,9,	1822:24 1825:16	grilling 1914:7
1751:24 1752:4,5 1753:7,16 1754:15,	8	18 1716:12 1717:1	1849:9,19 1861:7 1881:14 1900:10	grounds 1876:1
20 1755:22,24	free 1765:17	1738:14 1739:13, 21 1740:14 1790:1,	1903:8	group 1681:3,6,10, 11,12,18,20
1756:4,9,19 1757:5	1878:19	17 1818:20	generated	1682:1,9,15

	VOL	JME VII - August 06,	, 2016	
groups 1681:21	happy 1926:10	1890:11,12	home 1797:6	1792:13,15
guardian 1805:4 guess 1708:23	hard 1808:1 1865:24 1915:17	helpful 1702:18 1739:9	1895:15 honest 1824:2	implementing 1776:16 1879:19
1739:2 1770:5 1777:6 1797:13 1802:13 1806:17 1807:10 1823:6	HARDISON 1682:20 1685:5,11 1770:22 1822:14, 22 1823:12,18,23	Henning 1894:24 hereof 1932:8 Hey 1795:21	1872:19 1924:9 hospitality 1810:6,7 1816:9,21 1817:14	implication 1906:20 1907:4 1910:6
1825:22 1841:22 1842:12 1853:22	Hardy 1895:3	hidden 1780:11	house 1737:2,5,22	implications 1910:21
1874:20,24 1877:19 1878:24	Harshbarger	hiding 1780:24	1876:8 1892:14,18, 23,24 1893:6	implied 1703:9
1899:22 1903:11	1818:10,12,16 1822:6	hierarchy 1683:24 1689:16 1764:12	human 1684:12	important 1782:4
1915:7 1919:21 1920:13 1929:19	Harvey 1707:13	1774:6	Humphrey 1929:2	impossible 1767:14
guidance 1695:23	hat 1879:24	high 1709:10 1854:2,10,11	hundred 1837:7	impression
guilty 1871:22	head 1682:1 1687:3 1691:8	higher 1809:21	hundreds 1784:1	1730:14 1766:21 1786:10 1821:9
н	1747:16 1784:3 1811:17 1847:12	1812:15 1830:13	<u> </u>	1837:21
hallway 1736:21 1758:19	1868:13 1914:17 heading 1698:11 1714:14	highlighted 1864:14 1865:14 1871:11	idea 1706:16 1724:13 1744:9	improved 1840:22 Improvements 1717:13 1840:12
hand 1683:2 1858:11 1859:8	heads 1762:9	highlights 1708:19	1752:13 1772:7 1792:23 1797:17 1827:2 1837:2	in-house 1826:10, 12
1932:14	hear 1682:13 1685:8,13 1706:17	Hills 1814:24 1815:1	1845:6 1907:1 1924:16	inches 1786:22
handbook 1694:13 handed 1729:23 1858:23 1917:6	1728:7 1783:3,4 1822:17 1873:14 1882:17,20 1885:8, 13,23 1886:2	hired 1683:20 1686:18 1690:6 1692:21 1698:13	identified 1681:9 1820:20 1842:23 identify 1683:13	include 1701:24 1702:2 1723:4 1816:3 1835:19,21 1840:23 1857:10
handled 1706:11 1877:16	1887:3 1903:3 heard 1746:5 1791:5 1794:12	1705:15,23 1707:6 1752:10 1777:2 1782:8 1810:23 1811:3 1852:12	1748:10 identifying	included 1716:9 1725:4 1727:1 1728:11 1750:21
handles 1749:19 1849:10	1827:9 1833:22 1845:6,7 1862:5	hit 1921:16,22	1869:16 III 1874:15	1780:12 1812:8,16 1830:5 1837:4
hands-on 1890:10	1863:13,15 1864:4, 7 1870:6,11,20	hitting 1708:19	illegal 1793:2,3	1840:20 1857:8
HANSHAW 1931:3	1880:20,24 1898:9 1918:5 1921:19	hodge-podge 1886:22	illness 1865:12 1875:7,14 1896:2,5	includes 1735:19 including 1739:4
happen 1726:12	1931:7	hold 1794:9	images 1815:18	1772:10 1781:23 1926:21
1750:6,7 1757:21 1765:9 1774:10 1790:5,6 1800:19	hearing 1728:5 1803:17 1808:2 1833:18 1887:9	holding 1845:21 1863:21 Hollen 1681:4	immediately 1767:8,10 1782:7 1865:3	income 1846:6,11
happened 1708:20 1723:22	1889:2 hearings 1926:1	1682:14 1888:21, 23 1889:7,10,14,20	implement 1691:11 1776:5	1808:9
1757:16 1774:5,16 1795:20 1834:3 1893:4	held 1693:20 1719:19 1786:6	1890:5,8,19	implemented 1777:9,20 1778:10	inconsistent 1798:5 1808:10 1830:22 1860:6

incorrect 1766:19	1726:6,14,24	1803:23 1812:23	1888:18,19
1808:10 1830:21	1727:9 1731:1,24		1913:23
1860:5	1739:8 1740:8	inquiry 1723:8,15	
	1742:10 1749:17	1803:20	interacting
incorrectly 1736:8	1750:1,23 1760:14	ins 1827:4	1799:22
increase 1867:21	1762:7,12,20		interaction
	1764:13 1765:3,12,	insisted 1743:3	1707:19 1742:5
increased 1867:17	15,18,20,21,22	instance 1010:10	1743:2 1761:21
	1766:23 1767:20,	instance 1819:16	
increases 1867:18		1845:22 1878:7,8	1799:8 1824:11
incurred 1721:15	22 1780:22 1783:2,	1879:16 1885:21	interface 1684:13
	8,15 1807:7	instances 1811:8	
indemnify	1820:12 1827:5	1926:12	interferes 1877:21
1884:18	1828:14,15,19		Interiors 1796:12,
independent	1829:5,16,18	institutional	22
1697:6 1704:9	1830:7 1831:13,15	1743:21	
1705:10,14,15,17,	1832:2,9,15,17,19	institutions	internal 1692:22
	1833:14,21	1809:21	interpret 1907:8
23 1706:1,14,20	1835:22 1836:13	1009.21	
1707:2,7,9 1746:14	1844:24 1848:24	instructed	interpretation
1747:11 1748:13,	1857:22 1858:1	1742:13 1743:11	1874:21 1899:3
14 1750:9 1751:18	1892:4 1899:16		1902:15 1908:5
1753:8,12,13	1922:13 1927:7,12	instructions	1910:18 1914:4
1754:5 1757:17	1928:9,20,21	1682:12	
1759:8 1760:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	intact 1834:24	interpretations
1791:11,22 1793:1	informational		1902:6,9
1825:5,20 1845:18	1753:6 1925:20,23	intelligent	interpreted
1861:11 1870:8	1927:5	1900:10	1743:9
1910:14	informed 1779:6	intend 1719:2	1743.9
indicating	1884:9,15	Interio 1719.2	intervene 1699:16
1751:23		intended 1710:1	introduced
1751.25	initial 1696:23	1726:1 1796:5	introduced
indication	1704:7 1720:15	1797:1,14,21	1707:13
1709:22,24	1721:21,24	1818:19 1919:3	inventory 1700:6
	1725:16 1730:22	1920:3	1779:3 1798:15
individual 1718:9	1733:19 1769:20		1838:16
1730:4 1743:15	1829:7	intending 1796:16	
1745:5	initially 1705-04	1797:6	investigations
individuals	initially 1725:24	intense 1804:21	1841:13 1895:18
1827:10,12	1750:14 1822:16		investigators
1917:24	initiate 1766:11	intent 1703:6	1827:20
	1831:22	1709:23 1710:3	
inevitably 1886:9		1797:15,16	invoice 1718:22
inference 1904:11	initiated 1763:9	1871:19 1891:15	1726:19 1735:8
	1792:21 1820:9	1892:1 1916:7,9,	1736:3 1737:1,12,
information	1824:19 1923:17	10,11 1918:16,17,	20 1754:3,7
1685:21 1689:12,	inlaid 1786:20	18	1757:4,5 1788:10,
14,20,23 1693:13		-	19 1789:5,6,15
1700:11 1703:10,	inquire 1730:12	intention 1930:15	1844:12 1849:23
1700.11 1703.10,	1731:12 1739:19	intentional 1710:5	1850:9 1927:22
18,19 1704:5	in available (700.44	1779.6	1929:7
18,19 1704:5 1711:19 1712:9	inquired 1723:11	1779:6	1929:7
18,19 1704:5	inquired 1723:11 inquiries 1730:17	1779:6 intentions	1929:7 invoices 1707:21,

Realtime Reporters, LLC schedulerealtime@gmail.com 304-344-8463

1734:24 1736:14 1768:22 1785:11 1786:17 1787:13 1788:6,15 1789:1,7 1810:21 1811:2 1813:1 1816:10 1829:9 1834:9 1840:15 1843:23 1844:13,15,17 1850:24 1851:7 1852:18,21 1853:4, 15,23 1854:4,12,23 1855:7 1861:12,13 involved 1783:17 1785:15,21 1787:12,20,23 1788:1 1808:21 1827:3 1844:16 1853:7,19 1898:16

24 1717:14,16 1718:9 1720:7 1723:24 1728:12 1730:20 1733:18

involvement 1684:12 1703:17 1824:10

1916:7

involves 1795:17

involving 1783:13

IRS 1694:22 1696:8 1698:7 1699:22 1702:14 1703:13, 17,19,20,22 1704:4 1705:8,24 1706:9 1782:6,13,16,24 1783:1,7,12 1791:24 1792:1,6, 8,16,18,20 1804:3 1848:10,12 1910:19

IRS'S 1911:11

Isner 1863:2 1892:10 1894:9,11, 22 1895:10,14,21 1896:8,15 1897:1, 8,13 1898:11,19 1899:2 1921:7 1922:1,2,7,11,16,

	102			
21 1923:3,6,10	1840:18 1841:22	1746:20 1747:3,6	1769:2 1792:2	20 1699:15 1703:1,
1924:1	1842:15,23 1844:3	1748:20 1749:15,	1813:1 1822:18	2,8,23 1704:13
	1855:13,15 1856:1,	16,19,21 1750:8,22	1823:1,20 1825:19	1723:11,12,13
issuance 1745:12	4	1751:14,18,23	1846:4,19 1864:11	1724:14 1727:8,15,
1870:8 1873:7		1753:7,21 1754:3,	1865:1,10 1866:15	16,18,19 1728:19,
1898:8	iterations 1901:9	4,21,23 1755:2,8,	1867:18,20,22	24 1729:1,2
issue 1699:12		18,23,24 1756:6,	1868:14,15 1870:9	1735:23 1738:11
1702:13 1704:6	J	11,16,19 1757:1,4,	1871:1 1872:3,4	1739:2 1740:1,7,9,
1705:9 1741:1		23 1768:16	1873:8 1874:12	11,20 1741:6,16
1745:8 1760:14		1776:11,16,21,23	1875:12,18 1878:8,	1742:3,7,14
1762:18 1767:1,24	jail 1911:8	1777:15,21	9,10,18 1882:6,15,	1743:5,19 1744:5,
1775:2,5 1776:3	January 1696:21	1778:13 1786:6	16,17 1885:6,22	8,13,18 1749:21
1781:18 1783:14	1782:20 1792:12	1791:5,7,8,9	1886:20 1887:8,11	1750:6,10,13,24
1791:5,16 1792:3	1803:1,12 1814:22	1813:3,4,13,15,21	1889:4 1894:23	1755:1,2,6,7
1807:16 1845:16		1814:6 1823:2	1895:23 1898:8	1756:5,16,24
1849:4 1863:10	job 1683:22,23	1824:7,16,21	1903:14,15,18	1757:24 1758:2,3,
1874:21 1875:16,	1685:19 1686:16	1858:17 1864:15	1904:2 1905:13	8,9,11,14,18,23
20 1876:13,15	1694:3 1767:5	1865:4,17,18,19	1909:17 1913:20	1760:9 1761:2,11,
1877:7 1879:15	1768:5 1827:19	1866:2,3,7,8,20,24	1919:4,11	12,13,16,24
1882:22 1893:8	1838:4 1840:3	1867:1 1868:17,18		1762:4,15 1763:7
1896:10	1857:21 1862:12	1869:5 1870:3,4,	judges' 1759:19	1764:15,20 1767:2,
1090.10	1866:9 1891:12	10,17,18,23,24	judgment 1910:4	23,24 1768:2,8,14
issued 1697:12,13	1910:22	1878:13,17	Judgment 1910.4	1769:7 1772:9,16,
1734:16 1768:1	jobs 1761:8	1879:15 1880:7	judicial 1684:4,10,	18 1773:3,17,24
1795:20,22,24	1769:6,18 1837:23	1882:5 1884:12	15,16 1705:21	1774:2,13,19
1796:7,12 1848:11	1862:8,10	1885:4,7,11,12,19	1826:24 1827:3	1775:15 1776:5,8,
incures 1004.40		1886:1,8,9,10,12,	1869:1 1874:24	22,23 1777:1,8
issues 1684:19	John 1707:3	17,22,23 1887:3,4,	1876:16 1878:11	1780:14,15,23,24
1697:7 1702:22	1728:7,8	6,7,15,18 1888:1	1891:3 1896:13	1781:10,18,21
1708:15 1763:10	Johnny 1863:23	1891:11,12,20,21,	1924:6,23	1783:20 1784:5,6,9
1765:7 1778:18	-	22 1892:4 1893:24	iudiciony 1600.01	1789:1,20 1790:19
1794:19,22,23	Johnson 1729:14,	1894:24 1895:7,10,	judiciary 1682:21 1685:2 1714:2	1792:14 1793:4,6,
1795:2 1799:14	21 1730:1 1731:22	14,15,17 1896:2	1876:1,6 1879:1	12,13,14,15,20
1827:14 1834:17 1888:12 1897:9	1738:9 1741:7,15	1900:16,18		1794:18 1797:4
1925:24 1930:13	1776:11,16,21	1901:13 1904:6,7,	1902:19 1913:6	1799:8,12 1800:7
1925.24 1930.13	1777:2,15,21	14,16 1905:4,6,15,	July 1683:21	1802:23,24 1804:8,
item 1717:13	1778:13 1786:6	16 1906:8,9,22,23	1686:19 1698:13	9,14,15 1805:10,
1740:13 1819:18	1814:6 1858:17	1907:14 1908:15,	1712:20,24	11,13 1806:7,19,
1840:11	1894:1 1927:22	16 1917:8,12,14,21	1717:24 1725:15	20,22 1807:1,3,4,6,
itomized 404440	1929:2 1930:1	1918:4,8 1920:23	1828:22 1834:8	14,16 1808:4,6,17,
itemized 1814:19	Johnson's	1924:14,19 1925:1,	1840:18 1853:15	20 1809:1 1810:18
1816:10	1729:23 1739:6	2 1927:21 1929:24	1926:2	1811:15 1813:20,
items 1708:20	1741:17	2 1321.21 1323.24	lune 1710:10	21 1818:18 1820:9,
1725:15,18		judge's 1866:9	June 1716:18	15,19 1828:19
1738:11,13 1739:4,	JRS 1873:23	1901:11	1756:2,12 1819:10	1829:1,2,4,11,12,
12,22 1740:18	1878:10 1889:5,11,	judges 1745:13,	1822:4 1917:15	13,22,24 1830:6,
1779:16 1790:20,	13	14,15 1746:17	1930:3	11,20 1831:2,4,16,
23 1796:6 1797:19	judge 1729:14,21,		jury 1886:18	18,20 1832:5,8,13,
1798:1,2 1799:4	23,24 1731:22	1748:13,17 1749:9 1752:14,23 1753:5	1923:15	19 1833:12,23
1820:20,24 1821:1,	1738:9 1739:6	1752:14,23 1753:5		1834:14 1835:8,9,
3,7,10,12 1829:21	1730.9 1739.0	23 1767:12 1768:9	justice 1691:13	15 1836:17 1838:6
1834:20 1835:1,4		23 1101.12 1100.9	1692:3,5 1698:17,	10 1000.17 1000.0

1841:8,10 1842:14	1817:16 1827:18	Ketchum's	1786:16 1790:16	large 1790:12
1849:11,14,15	1832:18 1835:20	1698:17 1704:13	1821:6 1829:8,16	1819:1 1836:20,24
1850:16,17,18	1837:8 1838:3,8	1742:14 1743:19	1832:23 1834:20	January 1011 0
1851:1,24 1852:18,	1846:3 1850:11,13	1744:5,13 1781:22	1839:12 1866:14	larger 1841:2
24 1853:1,5,14,19	1852:15 1854:9	1807:8 1829:13	1870:13 1883:20	late 1803:14,16
1854:6 1855:3,4,5,	1861:11,13	1852:24 1853:5	1888:12 1899:14	1852:11
7,19 1856:6,9	1882:19 1884:3	Leve 4740.0	1918:4 1919:11	
1857:8,14 1858:8	1899:9,14 1903:15,	key 1719:2	1921:3	law 1736:17
1859:22 1861:9,19	19 1904:3 1909:18	Kim 1724:1		1811:14,23 1823:7
1862:7,13,16,19	1919:2,24 1921:3	1740:12 1742:1	knowing 1779:7,	1847:12 1858:5
1866:19 1867:4,6,	1928:7,8,13	1743:6,14,16,24	14 1834:23 1929:9	1864:20 1871:13
10,12,13,14,15	instingel 4700.40	1744:15 1820:22	knowledge 1692:5	1884:5 1888:14
1868:7,10,12,20,	justices' 1728:12	1852:9	1701:9 1703:11	1897:15,17,21,22
22,23 1869:8,9,17,	1735:19 1743:15		1704:9,18 1705:6	1900:23 1904:19,
19,20,21,24	1780:13 1812:9	Kimberly 1805:21	1720:18 1726:16	22 1911:8,16
1870:11 1874:12,		kind 1689:19	1732:22 1739:23	1919:14 1923:15,
17,23 1875:1,5	K K	1697:3 1701:6	1740:2 1743:14,16,	20,23
1879:10,13,19		1703:5 1707:16	21 1744:2 1752:11	lawful 1913:7
1884:2,11 1886:23	Kanawha 1896:1	1708:19 1710:22	1757:22 1763:4	laws 1907:18
1888:12 1889:22	1919:8 1932:2	1712:15 1713:4	1785:6,23 1816:12,	14W5 1907.10
1894:2 1896:18		1714:1,18 1719:5	16 1824:13 1827:8,	lawyering 1879:24
1897:9,14 1899:23	Kauffman 1681:19	1722:24 1724:2,12	14 1845:24 1848:7,	lead 1681:19
1900:4,9,10,14,21	1925:15 1929:11,	1726:10 1727:13,	20 1881:22 1883:6,	16au 1001.19
1901:4,20 1908:1	23	22 1729:3 1730:11	16 1890:7,11	leadership
1917:12 1924:21	Keadle 1754:3,21	1733:24 1735:3	1899:13 1918:23	1784:6,9
1927:20 1929:3	1755:2 1756:11,16,	1736:22,23 1737:9	1919:3,14 1922:20	learn 1764:22
justice's 1798:24	19 1757:1 1895:10,	1742:23 1743:7,9,	1923:1	1794:20
1799:3	14 1917:8,12,14	23 1751:24		
	keeping 1701:6	1753:11 1757:19	L	learned 1764:24
justices 1684:2,21	1826:10,12	1770:5 1778:8,15		1794:21
1688:7 1689:8,16,	1839:19	1785:5 1788:2	labeled 1702:11	learning 1809:21
20 1698:15		1790:24 1795:1	1711:4 1712:21	_
1699:24 1708:17	Kennie 1723:8	1797:18 1800:18		leased 1823:21
1710:21 1711:21 1716:11 1729:16	1729:22,24	1804:3,6 1808:8	lack 1681:5	leave 1694:14
1730:4,18 1731:3,	1730:23 1731:9	1810:10 1811:18	1693:11 1729:7	1729:4 1830:18
1730:4,18 1731:3,	1732:2 1741:19	1812:15 1817:7,18, 20,22 1820:14	1817:19	1831:17,18 1833:1,
1744:21 1760:2	1803:19 1820:13	1829:10 1831:24	laid 1697:3 1805:3	14 1835:16,17
1761:12,15,19	1831:11 1834:9,15	1833:8 1835:14		1849:18 1859:22
1762:7,14 1763:4	1835:6 1857:3,11	1839:8 1842:20	lamps 1841:24	
1765:8,20 1766:24	1859:17	1843:7 1853:2,10	Lane 1809:10,11,	leaves 1852:15
1769:1 1773:5	Kenny 1831:12	1854:20 1877:20	14 1814:11 1872:9,	leaving 1856:6,7
1777:18 1778:14,	Ketchum 1698:20	1902:21 1906:21	11,16,22 1873:4,	led 1827:10
18 1785:16	1699:15 1703:23	knew 1699:1	10,16 1874:1	
1786:10 1792:14	1727:16 1756:5	1709:11 1724:22	1894:10 1899:5,6,	left 1693:4 1712:15
1793:9 1798:16	1761:16,24	1709.11 1724.22	12,19	1714:13 1717:2
1799:9,11 1800:1	1781:10,18	1728:21 1730:14	language 1809:20	1747:15 1769:24
1801:3 1806:9	1793:13,17	1732:1 1744:13	1865:14 1870:5	1770:1 1771:20,21
1809:3 1810:18,20,	1811:15 1835:9	1764:18,19	1871:10 1883:9	1828:22 1834:24
23 1811:3,21,22	1837:13 1852:16	1765:10 1767:15	1900:18 1909:24	1855:20 1856:13
1812:4,18 1816:4	1869:19	1782:15,17		1863:1 1895:9

1930:1,3	1879:16 1881:10	litigated 1869:11	1808:22 1813:22,	1877:19 1878:23
legal 1684:19,20	1907:24 1908:22	litigation 1845:7	23 1845:3 1851:3 1862:6,8,9	1879:14 1881:1 1896:9
1767:24 1793:5,7 1857:19 1860:12,	liaison 1893:11,18 1894:3	load 1885:9	1863:14,15 1864:7	loyal 1838:2,4,7
13 1909:5	library 1736:17	Loans 1927:9	1895:11 Lou 1683:15	loyalty 1837:21
legally 1872:4	licensed 1686:6,7	locate 1734:11 1796:9	loud 1864:3,5	1838:1
legislation 1871:12 1889:16	licensure 1693:23	located 1725:16	Loughry 1727:8	lunch 1771:7,11 1810:8 1815:7,20
1904:9	lie 1785:10	1726:7 1739:6	1729:2 1741:16	1816:17
legislative	light 1868:21	1834:10	1742:3,7 1744:8,19 1761:3,11,13	lunches 1695:9,14
1697:22 1702:10 1703:23 1704:8	lights 1736:24	logical 1813:16 1896:21	1762:4 1769:7	1810:1,12 1816:1, 13,15
1708:8,24 1828:14,	limit 1748:19	logs 1779:22	1776:5,22 1777:1,8 1780:23 1783:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18 1831:6,10 1866:1 1868:8	1749:4,8,10,16 1751:12 1758:1	long 1718:3 1725:1	1784:6 1793:19,21	M
1889:15 1893:11,	1801:1 1847:14	1752:12 1841:13	1799:12 1802:23 1804:8,14 1806:7	made 1710:7
17 1894:3 1910:24	1865:24 1870:2 1888:5 1889:3	1871:14 1894:17 1895:1,4,16	1807:8 1808:4,17,	1723:8 1726:24
legislators 1910:4	1901:11	1896:3,4	20 1813:21 1828:19 1829:1,2,4	1729:12,21 1734:7
legislature 1875:24 1876:17	limitation 1752:15	long- 1886:23	1830:7 1831:17,21	1740:21 1768:2,19 1770:18 1772:13
1875:24 1876:17	1753:21 1755:9,18	longer 1704:17	1832:8 1833:23	1773:20 1775:23
1893:12	1759:3,19,24 1822:24 1873:20	1774:19 1797:6	1834:14 1835:15 1837:21 1850:17	1776:1 1780:20
legislature's	1889:8	looked 1690:15	1859:22 1862:7,14,	1785:9 1792:19 1798:1 1803:19,22
1876:17 1891:15	limitations 1869:2	1700:13 1701:12	19 1868:7,10,20	1804:17,18
1892:1	1897:7 1903:10,23	1710:5 1724:5	1869:9 1884:3 1888:12 1889:22	1807:10 1812:23
legitimized	1910:23	1727:22 1753:16 1766:17 1767:24	1894:3 1897:9	1828:1 1830:22 1835:7,13 1841:6
1898:1,5	limited 1684:13	1785:6 1790:21	1901:20 1924:21	1858:16 1861:4
length 1821:14	limiting 1873:21	1792:1 1806:2,19,	Loughry's	1868:14 1872:2,6
1886:10 1895:16	limits 1800:13	21 1812:22 1818:19 1819:16	1723:11,12	1878:4 1882:7 1899:24 1904:2
lengthy 1875:7,14	1873:17	1821:15 1851:13	1724:14 1727:17 1735:23 1741:6	1909:17 1910:9
letter 1702:20	list 1798:1,15,23	Lori 1704:4	1743:6 1780:15	1917:7 1919:3
1703:1,4,6	1799:5 1816:10,16,	1741:16	1805:10,11	1924:6 1927:8
1753:16,20 1828:21 1833:11,	22 1817:3 1820:19	losing 1837:23	1806:22 1807:3	magistrate
20 1834:6,13,17	1842:1,17 1843:24		1829:12 1835:9	1705:20 1840:21
1835:11 1841:8	1850:20 1853:17	loss 1848:5,13	1837:16 1851:24 1857:14 1858:8	1924:11,14,19
1905:14 1926:2,4,	1856:1 1875:17	lost 1728:10	1896:18 1897:14	1925:3
5,7,15,17	listed 1816:14	1862:8	1901:5	magistrates
letting 1752:1	1822:1 1851:8	lot 1687:21,24	lounge 1843:11,	1924:7
1765:5	listing 1798:20	1698:15 1704:17,	14,18	main 1761:10
level 1779:18,20	litem's 1805:5	24 1705:15,22 1752:22 1761:4,5,	Lovejoy 1818:5,6	major 1686:2,4
1812:12,15 1824:10 1848:15,	literally 1873:2	8,21 1769:9,13	1872:10 1874:4,5,	1777:22
20 1858:9 1876:16	litigants 1903:21	1784:13 1785:17 1787:13,15	6,14,20 1875:4,11, 19 1876:24	majority 1705:17 1752:23

make 1706:11	Mark 1682:24	1882:21 1887:10	1740:5,6,22	million 1715:17,21
1729:18,20	marked 1798:20	media 1704:8	memorializing	1717:2 1723:3
1754:17 1768:5,21 1773:13,15 1774:3,	Market 1815:1	1709:1	1739:3	Millions 1784:2
5 1775:16 1780:9		Medicaid 1847:14	memory 1697:18	Milo 1819:12
1793:7 1800:18	Marsha 1681:19	1848:13	1702:16 1798:17	1843:16
1801:9,17 1807:12	Marshall 1686:2	Medicare 1847:14,	1852:2	mind 1728:3
1808:9,13 1813:13	Mary 1683:15	21 1848:14	men 1845:18	1811:20 1878:21
1829:19 1830:21	-			
1835:22 1848:5,13	master 1727:13	meet 1706:1	mention 1877:3	minds 1887:24
1858:14 1859:4,7 1865:21 1870:4	1729:12	1709:11 1710:4	mentioned	mine 1798:18
1871:18 1873:18	match 1736:13	1713:16 1745:16 1778:17 1820:22	1698:20 1704:11	minority 1836:3,7
1884:5,8 1898:24	1739:21 1851:14	1905:5	1729:2 1786:9	1845:10 1902:3,8,
1902:5 1906:22	material 1894:24		1799:7 1800:12	11,13,18,22
1907:12 1911:7		meeting 1681:11	1821:18 1849:5	1903:1,5 1906:1,
1915:18 1926:10	mathematically	1696:15 1697:1,3,	1927:10	10,16 1907:6,16,2
makes 1886:17	1710:7	8,24 1707:18 1729:22 1741:5,11,	mere 1883:16	1908:3 1918:14,20
	matter 1697:14	12,17 1742:2,4,6	Merit 1932:3	1919:1,15,19
making 1780:3	1880:1	1752:17 1770:8		1920:13 1921:2
1873:13 1883:11 1894:17 1895:4	max 1826:2 1905:2	1773:5 1806:4,11,	met 1703:23	minute 1850:3
1907:14 1910:6		14 1810:8 1820:9,	1704:5 1788:1	1863:9 1912:8
1912:11	maxed 1824:14,15	10 1821:4	1921:11	minutes 1681:23
	Maynard 1867:12,	meetings 1703:13	mic 1728:3	1682:2
Management	13	1744:18,20,22	1863:16 1903:6	
1700:6 1779:4	Mchugh 1758:2,	1930:11	microphone	miscommunicati
1920:7 1922:13	10,11,14,18,23	mam 1700.1	1685:9 1775:6	on 1726:22 1791:1
managers 1681:4	1793:14 1851:1	mem 1739:1	1903:2	misconduct
1871:5,7 1872:1	1870:11 1884:11	member 1767:6	middle 1884:7	1896:6
1923:21	1887:18	1786:2,7,8		misleading
managers'	meal 1926:11	members 1681:6	midway 1714:18	1831:8,16 1832:21
1915:16	1927:1	1683:14 1711:15	Mike 1693:2	1833:4,5
mandamus		1728:4 1747:21	1709:6 1711:14	
1880:6,9,21	meals 1814:19 1926:4,23	1771:3,6 1827:15	1757:9 1758:7	missed 1822:15
		1868:8 1926:21	1839:7,21,24	missing 1807:7
manila 1724:7	meaning 1829:17	memo 1708:24	mileage 1694:21	1820:17 1828:14,
manner 1872:2	means 1703:3,4	1725:14 1738:10,		15 1829:17
1920:3	1712:10 1713:14	16 1739:24 1740:3,	milking 1787:21	1832:17 1835:8 1921:14
manners 1926:12	1845:20 1932:12	8 1751:21,22	Miller 1822:9,10,15	1921:14
	meant 1726:3	1753:10 1789:13,	1823:3,17,19	misspoken
manual 1694:12,	1834:18	20 1790:18,19	1824:3,6 1828:5	1803:6
20 1695:3,5 1719:4 1764:1,5,12		1796:17,20 1819:10 1820:6	1866:19 1867:10	misunderstand
1704.1,5,12	mechanism	1821:19 1835:2	1881:2,5,15,18,23	1687:4
manufacturing	1870:19 1878:5 1880:10 1887:12		1899:23 1900:9 1911:23,24 1912:6	misunderstandin
1686:14	1880:10 1887:12	memorandum	1911:23,24 1912:0	g 1727:8 1830:4
March 1735:16,17		1708:11,14	1915:24 1916:4,19	U
1738:16 1841:12	mechanisms	1715:10,12,15	1924:3,4,18 1925:4	misunderstood
1850:1	1878:3 1880:16,23	1725:11 1738:15, 21,23 1739:2		1777:12 1809:4

	VOL	JME VII - August 06,	2018	
mixture 1861:5,17	Moorefield	Neely 1867:5,10	1926:20	obscure 1863:11
mode 1692:14	1917:15	1900:4,14,21	notes 1697:24	observation
moment 1681:20	morale 1769:15	Neely's 1867:6	1700:4 1703:24	1913:1
1702:15 1753:2	morning 1690:20	neglect 1886:16	1730:11 1743:23	obtain 1871:20
momentum	1930:19,24	Neighborgall	1775:24 1778:15, 16 1866:19,22	1915:12,19
1898:17	Morris 1741:16	1717:9 1720:24	1867:7 1868:10	1916:12,16
Monday 1828:22	1877:12 1893:19,	1721:2,4,12,18	1883:10 1927:15	1918:18 1922:8
-	21,22	1732:8,13 1789:7	1932:11	obtained 1922:9
money 1691:4 1694:1,5,10 1695:8	motion 1931:8	neighboring	nothing's 1748:7	occasion 1751:21
1709:14 1710:2,9	motions 1886:17	1885:11	notice 1782:21	1885:10 1924:11
1711:6 1713:4,16,	motive 1710:6	nervous 1769:18	noticed 1698:16	occasionally
18,23 1714:21,24		net 1714:16	1739:11 1761:10	1762:1 1766:8
1715:1,8 1716:23 1717:3 1718:7,15,	mouth 1781:7 1786:13 1863:22	Newberger	notifies 1745:19	occasions
16 1719:1,3,5,8,11,		1683:15,16 1722:5		1752:24 1763:2
14,16,19,23 1720:3	move 1718:19 1740:24 1794:9	1815:9	notify 1745:20	1811:4
1740:18 1749:14	1912:8 1931:5	news 1803:23	November	occupied 1855:4
1757:24 1758:2,21 1764:6 1790:22	moved 1805:1	1831:14	1688:16,22 1696:20 1708:16	occur 1726:11
1796:4,5,17 1798:3	1877:14 1893:5	nice 1785:18	1731:8 1816:2	1730:9,24
1821:11,22	moving 1728:3	Nicholas 1886:4	1852:20 1853:6	occurred 1696:8
1842:15 1843:4,12	_	1888:8 1894:15	1899:21	1730:22 1741:2
1848:12 1856:3 1865:6 1867:23	multiple 1697:11 1699:4 1885:5,22	1895:22	number 1717:17	1760:5,7,8 1851:21 1895:3,9
1870:13,24	1887:11	non-full-time	1746:24 1756:7	
1871:20 1884:8,14		1825:2	1808:13 1885:16	occurrence 1752:21
1891:20,22	N	nontransparent	number's 1755:3	-
1910:22 1915:19 1916:12,16		1780:1	numbers 1715:11,	occurring 1708:21 1711:9 1715:4
1918:18,24 1919:3,	named 1707:3	nontravel 1926:23	24 1716:1 1869:15	1883:20
12,13	1747:18 1811:11		1890:24 1915:6,9	October 1723:9
moneys 1869:5	names 1697:12	normal 1690:10 1762:13 1872:13	numerous 1867:3	1731:7 1733:12
1915:12,17	1816:11,22	1881:7 1882:11		1740:6,11 1741:12
monitoring	narrow 1850:16	1916:1	0	1803:20 1814:20
1684:4 1804:23	nature 1914:8	Notary 1932:4		1815:10 1852:19 1867:11
month 1693:3		note 1734:7	OASIS 1701:21,22 1707:15 1815:16	
1710:20,22 1711:8	necessarily 1690:17 1800:5	1867:16 1920:5		offender 1804:21, 23
1715:1 1717:24	1883:8,12,17	1926:6,18 1927:24	oath 1683:3 1771:18 1782:24	
1718:3,6 1839:15, 16	needed 1703:16	notebook 1697:16		offered 1912:18
	1707:15,17 1709:9	1706:23 1717:15	objection 1911:14	office 1688:6
monthly 1711:22 1778:17 1812:4	1724:3,19 1733:18	1720:7,8 1722:2,21	objective 1903:20	1690:1 1691:15
	1763:16 1765:9	1723:6 1724:16,17 1726:15 1727:1	obligation	1694:24 1706:19 1719:16 1723:11,
months 1702:9 1717:24 1758:23	1767:4 1773:21 1792:4 1801:7	1729:11 1741:4	1898:22	12,18 1724:3,12,14
1717:24 1758:23	1903:19 1925:21	noted 1870:15	oblivious 1787:1	1725:7 1726:4
		1871:7 1875:5		1727:14,17,19
				•

1728:15,21 1729:1, 5.9 1730:13 1744.21 1745:2.5 1761:18 1774:3 1801:15 1854:14, 18 1868:9 1912.12 outlined 1751:24 1753:1.1 1738:15 P.O. 1795:22.24 1738:1,1 1738:16 1738:12,11 738:16 1738:12,11 738:16 1742:8,11,41.5 1736:12 1892:35 1786:13,31 outlined 1751:24 1753:11 P.O. 1795:22.24 1738:1,1 1738:16 1738:12,10 1757:6 1780:24 1831:10 1830:24 1831:10 1928:36 0pportunity 1682:16 1883:61 outlined 1751:24 1755:21 P.O. 1795:22.24 1738:1,1 1738:16 1742:24 1830:24 1831:10 1928:36 outling 1754:21 P.O. 1795:22.24 1744:24 1830:24 1836:10 opportunity 1682:16 1682:68 outling 1754:21 P.O. 1795:22.24 1744:24 1830:24 1886:17 0790:13.46 1782:10 0716:14 1776:1 P.O. 1795:22.24 1755:21 1765:21 1780:22 0716:14 1776:1 P.O. 1795:22.24 P.O. 1795:22.24 1755:21 1830:21 1785:16 1780:22 0716:14 1776:1 P.O. 1795:22.24 P.O. 1795:22 1756:11 1780:24 0706:14 1776:1 P.O. 1795:22 P.O. 1795:22 P.O. 1795:22 1766:11 1786:10 1775:11 1786:12 P.O. 1795:22 P.O. 1795:22 P.O. 1795:22 P.O. 1795:22 P.O. 1795:22 P.O. 179		VOLC	JIVIE VII - August 00,	2010	
1786:3,11 1780:15 1786:19 103.12 1931:11 1801:21 1881:3 1801:21 1881:3 1786:3,11 179:12 option 1856:9 option 1856:9 override 1901:5 1927:14 1793:11 179:12 option 1856:9 overseeing 1733:15 1737:4 1733:15 1737:4 1793:11 179:12 option 1856:9 overseeing 1733:15 1737:4 1746:18,21 1746:6, 1800:12 1800:10,11,13 omission 1883:11, 14,18,20,23 1891:23 overseeing 1733:15 1737:4 1809:3 186:19 omitted 1727:15 1888:12 order 1723:14,16 overseeing 1766:4 1788:13 1818:18 189:19 1820:13, omitted 1727:15 1886:177.4 1766:4 1788:13 1786:6,21 1790:6,21 1819:12 1831:12,19 on-the-job 1875:6 1877:4 1887:14 188:33 1789:6,21 1790:6,13 1832:1 1831:14 1877:17 1897:10,15,22 1896:23 1796:4 1797:9,12, 17176:4 1797:9,12, 1833:17, 1844:21 ongoing 1886:16 1899:13,15 overseending 1842:7 1845:3,5 1848:42,180:6,11 1835:17,184:42:11 ongoing 186:16 1899:13,15 overseeing 1803:17 0verseeing 1811:16 1818:17:17	5,9 1730:13 1735:1,11 1736:18, 19 1738:12 1739:6, 12,17 1741:6,13,18 1742:8,11,14,15 1743:8,17,19 1744:6,13,24 1750:12,18 1751:20 1757:6 1758:20 1759:11 1761:14 1762:1,5	1744:21 1745:2,5 1761:18 1774:8 1780:13 1786:15 1787:5,23 1788:16 1790:12 1808:11 1812:10 1829:15, 17 1830:24 1831:1 1834:21 1836:10 1837:3,16 1854:1, 2,15 1858:10 1875:22 official 1776:1	1801:15 1854:14, 18 1868:9 1912:18 1913:8 1927:9 1928:3,6 opinions 1926:8, 10 1928:3 opportunity 1682:16 1683:6,8 1873:2 1878:15 1926:17 opposed 1747:23	outlined 1751:24 1753:11 0utlines outlining 1747:14 1756:1 0utlining outlining 1754:21 outs 1827:4 outstanding 1845:1 Overington 1798:9,10,14	 P.o.'s 1795:20 package 1830:23 packet 1868:2 1869:13 pages 1712:8 1714:4,6,7 1715:3 1722:10,11,12 1733:10 1734:19 1736:16 1737:13,
1805:10,11,13 omission 1883:11, 1807:1,3,4,6,8,15, 16,18 1808:6,8,22 omission 1883:11, 14,18,20,23 order 1723:14,16 1729:91790:13,14 1796:2,7,11 overseeing 1887:22 1746:18,21 1748:6, 111750:8 1751:14 1809:3 1816:19 1809:3 1816:19 1809:3 1816:19 1820:13, 1818:18 1820;12, 12,13,24 1830:12, 12,13,24 1830:12, 12,13,24 1830:12, 12,13,24 1830:12, 12,13,24 1831:12,19 on-the-job 1867:6 1877:4 1832:1,5,13,18,23 on-the-job 1886:25 1877:4 1882:2 1886:7 overseers 1896:23 1746:18,21 1748:6, 1756:4 1758:13 1832:1,5,13,18,23 1835:2,7,1834:10 one's 1765:23 1886:2 1886:7 oversees 1690:13 21 1813:15 1835:2,7,1834:10 ongoing 1886:16 1899:13,15 oversees 1690:13 21 1813:15 1835:2,7,1834:10 ongoing 1886:16 19924:20 1925:1 overseending 1897:10,15,22 1846:21 1840:18 1835:17,184:21 open 1800:18 orderly 1682:10 orderly 1682:10 overspending 1803:17 1842:7 1846:3,6 1869:4 1870:12,22, 1846:11 1697:1,2 officer 1683:22 opening 1696:15 organization 1690:11 1694:3 organization 1689:10 1764:11, 1798:16 P-card 1687:22 1691:14 1773:8 1778:11 8166,810 painting 1690:22 officer 1683:22 operation 1778:23 original 1710:23 1764:19 original 1766:21 P-card 1687:22 1691:14 1773:8 1778:11 8166,816 painting 1690:22 officer 1682:23	1786:3,11 1789:11 1793:11 1794:23 1797:11 1798:17 1799:3 1800:16,21	1896:17 officially 1802:21 officials 1881:10	option 1856:9 options 1884:23	1882:1,3,9,23 override 1901:5	1866:17 1917:13 1927:14 paid 1706:2
officer 1683:22 1840:11 original 1710:23 P-card 1687:22 Paletta-davis 1840:11 1840:11 operations 1726:15 1726:15 1726:15 1691:14 1773:8 1704:4 1741:16 1871:17,21 operator 1778:23 originals 1768:21 1891:14 1773:8 1704:4 1741:16 offices 1872:5 operator 1778:23 originals 1768:21 1820:3,4 1842:21 panel 1903:13 offices 1682:3 opinion 1684:19 originating 1920:2 P-cards 1772:11 1792:24 1705:1 1727:18,23 1767:20,23 1776:4 0riginating 1920:2 0utdated 1694:19, 1792:24 papers 1805:18 1731:4,14 1735:16 1787:18 1793:5 22 1695:1 pm 1931:6 paraphrasing	1805:10,11,13 1806:8,19,20,22 1807:1,3,4,6,8,15, 16,18 $1808:6,8,221809:3$ $1816:191818:19$ $1820:13,14,20,24$ $1829:11,12,13,24$ $1830:12,18,21$ $1831:12,191832:1,5,13,18,231833:2,7$ $1834:101835:9,18,191836:17$ $1844:211850:11,13,211851:1,15$ $1852:1,24$ $1853:8,191854:7,8,9$ $1855:3,4,8,10,13$ $1856:81857:14$ $1858:81859:4,5$ $1860:51875:23$ $1920:111922:13,18$ $1923:8,$	 omission 1883:11, 14,18,20,23 omitted 1727:15 1830:4 1883:12 on-the-job 1764:24 one's 1785:23 1817:17 ongoing 1886:16 open 1800:18 1801:6 1874:17 1877:23 1879:17 1898:22 1930:10, 12 opening 1696:15 1697:1,2 operate 1764:19 	1729:9 1790:13,14 1796:2,7,11 1818:18 1819:9 1868:4,6 1872:12, 13,19 1874:9,22 1875:6 1877:4 1879:5,8,11 1883:3 1884:2 1888:7 1889:22 1896:19 1897:10,15,22 1899:13,15 1924:20 1925:1 orderly 1682:10 orders 1721:17,19 1868:23 1873:6 organization 1690:11 1694:3 organizational 1689:10 1764:11,	1687:22 overseer 1681:5 1885:15 overseers 1896:23 oversees 1690:13 oversight 1826:8, 13 overspending 1803:17 overview 1863:10 owed 1845:1,2 owned 1698:5 1798:16	1746:18,21 1748:6, 11 1750:8 1751:14 1756:4 1758:13 1768:4 1788:13 1789:6,21 1790:6, 7,10 1795:23 1796:4 1797:9,12, 21 1813:15 1818:20 1821:3,8, 22 1825:16 1826:21 1840:18 1842:7 1845:3,5 1848:4,9,11,18 1852:7 1866:3,6,8 1869:4 1870:12,22, 24 1871:3 1875:12, 18 1877:10 1878:9, 14,21,22 1890:24 1891:1 1905:17 1908:18 1918:1,2 1927:23 1928:2
offices 1682:3 opinion 1684:19 origination P-cards 1772:11 paper 1767:20,23 1776:4 1728:1 1730:6,15 1787:16 1787:18 1793:5 0utdated 1694:19, 22 1776:7 paper 1792:24 1728:1 1731:4,14 1735:16 1787:18 1793:5 0utdated 1694:19, 22 1695:1 1776:7 papers 1805:18 paraphrasing 22 1695:1 1781:10,17 1787:18 1793:5 1776:7 paraphrasing	officer 1683:22 1684:18 1869:1 1871:17,21	1840:11 operations 1687:19	original 1710:23 1726:15 1729:14 1733:21 1920:9	1691:14 1773:8 1778:1 1816:8,18 1817:5,6,8,10	Paletta-davis 1704:4 1741:16 panel 1903:13
	offices 1682:3 1705:1 1727:18,23 1728:1 1730:6,15 1731:4,14 1735:16	opinion 1684:19 1767:20,23 1776:4 1781:10,17	originating 1920:2 outdated 1694:19,	P-cards 1772:11 1773:18 1775:3 1776:7	1792:24 papers 1805:18 paraphrasing

4005-0 0 4000-44			
1905:2,3 1906:14	1760:1 1761:7	1901:12 1910:24	1904:18
1911:8 1917:4	1769:19,21,22,24	1916:12,16	picture 1821:14
naving 1752.2			•
		1921:20 1922:19	piece 1871:12,13
		person's 1813.5	pile 1805:18
		-	-
			place 1683:23
	1908:21		1701:15,16
1917:24	percentage		1720:19 1741:5
4 /	1836:22		1793:5 1813:22
			1824:23 1866:1
	perception 1744:7		1872:4 1878:4
	perform 1707:11		1880:13 1906:18
			1930:24 1932:7
			places 1686:12
	1/4/:15 1/56:3		-
	performing		plain 1870:5
	1782:6		plan 1690:20
	nariad 1606.9 12		1858:20 1911:4
	-		planned 1607.4
			planned 1697:4
			plans 1910:21
			play 1916:1
			piay 1910.1
			Plycor 1819:24
			1821:24
1915:4 1918:6		1860:16 1869:16	podium 1902:11
payroll 1684:10,13		personnel 1695:3	-
1687:2,5,10,14,17	periods 1920:23	1763:10 1764:1,5,	point 1698:10
1689:24 1690:11,	permanent 1748:2	12 1794:23 1795:2	1700:5 1714:23
16 1719:15,16	-	1870:15	1723:18 1733:22
1745:16,19 1746:1,		parsons 1015-16	1738:16 1746:12,
24 1748:3 1749:24	1876:23		13 1751:17
	permitted 1877:23		1770:23 1771:19
	nore 1701.04		1774:18 1777:9 1779:1 1791:21
			1792:19 1793:14
		1736:10	1832:3 1839:22
1845:19		pertaining 1691:5	1848:2 1859:10
Peggy 1811:12			1862:16 1891:12
	1707:17 1708:5		1906:17 1917:2,16
	1747:17,18 1748:6	pertains 1691:3	1921:16 1927:11
1908:2		petition 1880:6.21	
penitentiary		•	pointed 1917:18
1871:23		Phonetic 1819:24	pol 1901:16
noncion 1966-6		phrase 1708:22	•
•		1709:3	policies 1684:17
		nhysically 4700-5	1690:24 1691:2,5,
1311.2,4,0,3		physically 1789:5	7,12,14,22
people 1688:9,12,		picking 1685:10	1692:19,22 1693:7,
21,23 1705:22	1012.13 1003.11	_	12,17,21,24
	paying 1752:2 1816:15 1845:21 1856:9 1884:19 1888:2 1908:21,23, 24 1910:12 1913:10,17 1917:24 payment 1708:6 1737:10 1768:9 1788:6 1789:16 1844:23 1898:8 1904:2 1905:11 1906:4 1909:17 1910:9 1917:7 1918:4 1920:23 1927:7 1928:14 1929:3 payments payments 1734:1, 2,9,13,15 1737:13, 17 1739:13 1887:17 1913:5 1915:4 1918:6 payroll 1684:10,13 1687:2,5,10,14,17 1689:24 1689:24 1690:11, 16 1745:16,19 1745:16,19 1746:1, 24 1748:3 1759:6,15,16 1760:2 1760:2 1766:1,3,6, 8,11 1824:10,11 1825:6,7,16,20 <t< td=""><td>paying 1752:21777:1,5 1805:31816:15 1845:211809:19 1813:221836:211837:23 1861:171888:2 1908:21,23,1837:23 1861:1724 1910:121836:221913:10,171917:24payment 1708:61737:10 1768:91737:10 1768:91744:71788:6 1789:161836:221737:10 1768:91747:15 1756:31709:3 1712:171918:4 1920:231927:7 1928:141929:3payments 1734:1,2,913,15 1737:13,171739:13 1748:81760:11 1811:7,81760:11 1811:7,81747:15 1756:31871:9 1876:111887:17 1913:51915:4 1918:61921:22payroll 1684:10,131687:2,5,10,14,171689:24 1690:11,1876:23161719:15,161745:16,19 1746:1,1745:16,19 1746:1,24 1789:6,15,161760:2 1766:1,3,6,8,11 1824:10,111825:6,7,16,201845:19penalty 1906:181908:2pension 1866:61749:13,19,201752:1 1753:121768:3 1788:61813:5,14 1816:31905:21861:10 1877:20pension 1866:61813:5,14 1816:31905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849</td><td>paying 1752:2 1770:1,5 1805:3 1918:18 1920:10 1816:15 1845:21 1809:19 1813:22 1921:20 1922:19 1888:2 1908:21,23, 24 1910:12 1890:16 1905:11 1921:20 1922:19 1917:24 percentage 1770:1,5 1805:3 1998:4 1699:19,23 1917:24 percentage 1770:1,2 1726:1 1738:6 1739:22 1773:10 1768:9 perception 1744:7 1740:14 1744:21 1904:2 1905:11 performed 1747:15 1756:3 1799:1,20 179:41:9; 1904:2 1905:11 performed 1770:1,2 1796:1 1786:6 1789:16; 1904:2 1905:11 performed 1747:15 1756:3 1799:1,20 1794:19; 1904:2 1905:11 performing 1747:15 1756:3 1799:1,20 1794:19; 1915:4 1918:6 period 1696:8,13 1709:3 1712:17,19 1770:21 1791:5 1737:16 1741:3 1770:7:171798 1785:19 1876:11 1852:17,19 1853:5; 15 1859:23 1915:4 1918:6 1921:22 persons 1915:16; 1745:6 19 1746:1, person 1693:13,14 1760:1766:1,36; 1760:2 1766:1,36; 1747:17,18 1748:6 1769:12 179:52 </td></t<>	paying 1752:21777:1,5 1805:31816:15 1845:211809:19 1813:221836:211837:23 1861:171888:2 1908:21,23,1837:23 1861:1724 1910:121836:221913:10,171917:24payment 1708:61737:10 1768:91737:10 1768:91744:71788:6 1789:161836:221737:10 1768:91747:15 1756:31709:3 1712:171918:4 1920:231927:7 1928:141929:3payments 1734:1,2,913,15 1737:13,171739:13 1748:81760:11 1811:7,81760:11 1811:7,81747:15 1756:31871:9 1876:111887:17 1913:51915:4 1918:61921:22payroll 1684:10,131687:2,5,10,14,171689:24 1690:11,1876:23161719:15,161745:16,19 1746:1,1745:16,19 1746:1,24 1789:6,15,161760:2 1766:1,3,6,8,11 1824:10,111825:6,7,16,201845:19penalty 1906:181908:2pension 1866:61749:13,19,201752:1 1753:121768:3 1788:61813:5,14 1816:31905:21861:10 1877:20pension 1866:61813:5,14 1816:31905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849:7,13,151905:4 1910:211849	paying 1752:2 1770:1,5 1805:3 1918:18 1920:10 1816:15 1845:21 1809:19 1813:22 1921:20 1922:19 1888:2 1908:21,23, 24 1910:12 1890:16 1905:11 1921:20 1922:19 1917:24 percentage 1770:1,5 1805:3 1998:4 1699:19,23 1917:24 percentage 1770:1,2 1726:1 1738:6 1739:22 1773:10 1768:9 perception 1744:7 1740:14 1744:21 1904:2 1905:11 performed 1747:15 1756:3 1799:1,20 179:41:9; 1904:2 1905:11 performed 1770:1,2 1796:1 1786:6 1789:16; 1904:2 1905:11 performed 1747:15 1756:3 1799:1,20 1794:19; 1904:2 1905:11 performing 1747:15 1756:3 1799:1,20 1794:19; 1915:4 1918:6 period 1696:8,13 1709:3 1712:17,19 1770:21 1791:5 1737:16 1741:3 1770:7:171798 1785:19 1876:11 1852:17,19 1853:5; 15 1859:23 1915:4 1918:6 1921:22 persons 1915:16; 1745:6 19 1746:1, person 1693:13,14 1760:1766:1,36; 1760:2 1766:1,36; 1747:17,18 1748:6 1769:12 179:52

		e .		
1694:4,7,9 1695:21	post-hoc 1898:6	1773:4 1789:5	problems 1826:23	prohibit 1904:10,
1696:1,4 1764:6 1772:7 1773:7	posting 1766:12	1859:18,19 1860:9 1877:13 1916:6	procedure	11,15 1906:4
1774:15 1776:6,10,	potential 1709:19		1759:23	prohibited 1907:3
15,17 1777:3,6,8,	1888:13 1898:21	presented	procedures	prohibition
20 1802:12,14	1923:22,23	1717:17 1860:8	1684:17 1692:22	1904:22,24 1905:6
1803:13,24 1804:8	proctical 1990.1	presiding 1886:23	1693:12,22 1694:7	1906:6,9 1907:12,
1817:5,8,11,20,24	practical 1880:1	press 1681:8	1696:5 1773:8	14 1908:23 1910:2
1913:1 1918:5	practice 1696:4	1930:22	1776:6,10,17	prohibits 1904:13
policy 1692:12	1705:13 1706:19		1817:20 1818:1	
1694:14,16,18	1745:15 1748:16	pretrial 1886:17	proceed 1683:10	project 1705:18
1695:2,11 1772:10	1752:7,9,12	pretty 1682:10	1684:23 1771:19,	1707:15 1733:6,7
1773:17 1775:3	1755:16,19	1699:16 1723:15	22 1916:24	1736:24 1788:2
1776:14 1777:23	1757:10 1760:7	1725:1 1763:17		projected 1713:9
1778:1,3 1802:15,	1767:11,19	1774:22 1799:13	proceeding	
22 1816:8,18	1776:15 1780:24	1852:20	1899:9	projects 1787:11
1817:6 1856:11	1791:10,23 1792:6		proceedings	prolonged
1899:22 1900:15	1817:12,22	prevailing	1682:5 1771:12	1886:15
1901:3,5,17	1856:12 1877:4	1897:15,17,21,22	1927:11 1932:6,10	
1906:21 1912:12,	1898:7 1904:8 1905:9 1929:8	1907:1	process 1710-15	prompted 1750:4
23 1913:12,16	1905.9 1929.0	previous 1713:18	process 1718:15 1719:5 1721:9	1775:12 1804:3,4
1926:18	practices 1690:23	1714:8	1750:3 1751:7,9	promulgate
portion 1836:20	1792:19 1809:23	previously	1759:1,18 1771:3,	1903:18
1847:18 1864:13	preceding	1872:21 1917:7	15 1778:11 1856:5	promulgated
	1864:23 1866:4	1930:8	1876:16 1877:1	1772:10 1867:10
posed 1859:2,3			1883:6 1898:2,16	1903:23
poses 1688:1	predated 1854:17	price 1856:9	1916:1 1929:12	
-	predecessor	primary 1788:5	1930:10	proof 1920:20
position 1757:8,12	1693:3 1711:14			1921:3
1770:2,11 1786:3,5 1799:23 1813:8	1757:7,9	printed 1733:11,12	processed	Proops 1693:2
1849:13,14 1880:8	nrodocian	prior 1686:10,12,	1707:23 1844:18 1927:1	1709:6 1711:14
1885:1,8 1923:12	predesign 1853:11	15 1688:16,21	1927.1	1757:9 1758:7
1924:7 1925:1	1003.11	1694:3 1704:6	processes	1839:1,8,13,21,24
1926:19	prefer 1681:10,12	1711:4 1719:3,5	1704:24	proper 1694.6
	1685:6,14	1731:11 1734:2,3	procurement	proper 1684:6 1767:19 1768:3
positions 1770:6,7	preferred 1706:13	1743:20 1814:5	1687:23 1695:19,	1792:2 1793:6
1825:11 1924:21	1711:18	1821:3 1854:15	23.24 1778:4	
1925:2		1898:7 1903:10,11	-, -	properly 1684:8
positive 1751:3	prepare 1710:20	1910:23 1928:5,12	produced 1726:2	1780:4
1773:10	1711:11 1914:22	Pritt 1707:3,21	producing	property 1700:20
	prepared 1707:1		1725:19	1701:5,10,17,18
possibility 1842:6	1708:15,16	private 1686:14	una duation	1740:15 1795:21
1890:15 1915:15	1715:10 1722:2	probation 1766:17	production	1796:1 1797:5
possibly 1772:14	1727:11 1923:11	1805:1,2	1835:4 1858:22	1799:4 1821:1
	1928:17	nrahlam 4000.0	professional	1844:1,2
1816:3 1841:4		problem 1800:3	1737:9	
	proparar 1000.0		1	proposal 1796:21
Post 1703:9	preparer 1920:9	1817:21 1826:21	program 1696.4	
Post 1703:9 1781:2,3	preparer 1920:9 present 1741:7,11	1876:18 1877:9	program 1686:4	proposed 1692:15
Post 1703:9			program 1686:4 1804:22 1805:5	

prosecution 1923:17	1909:10	1909:7 1925:20,23 1927:5	1856:21 1857:14 1858:7 1869:10	R
1320.17	provisos 1902:24	1321.0	1873:11 1878:20,	n
prosecutor 1919:8	1903:8,9,22	pursuant 1928:20	24 1884:2 1890:9,	Racer- 1685:6,14
	public 1726:3	pushed 1778:9	10,22 1891:8	Racer-troy
prosecutor's	1736:22 1780:1	Pushkin 1728:7	1897:18,19	1682:21 1685:6,14
1875:23	1803:16 1826:23	1802:2,3,7 1809:6,	1898:20 1899:21	1834:7
protect 1911:3	1827:7 1831:14	9 1861:23,24	1909:12 1910:18	1004.7
-	1906:21 1926:20,	1862:4,24 1892:9,	1912:10 1914:24	Racer-troy's
protracted 1875:7,	22 1927:1 1932:4	11,19,22 1893:1,5,	1916:5,9,14,17	1864:9
14	publicly 1858:19	9,14,20,23 1894:2,	1923:16 1924:11	raise 1683:2
prove 1919:2,6,7,		6	question's	1862:13,17
10 1920:15	pull 1743:22		1795:16 1908:8	1867:20
	pulled 1793:22	put 1693:19		1007.20
provide 1693:15	-	1721:17 1725:17,	questioned	raised 1767:1
1708:13 1727:21	pulling 1723:24	24 1726:9 1735:8	1801:4	1864:2 1890:9
1728:15 1733:3	1795:1	1736:8 1741:19	questioning	1923:21
1750:1 1760:20	purch 1842:3	1781:6 1786:13	1683:9 1723:10	ran 1803:23 1865:2
1796:22 1832:9	-	1802:14 1808:5	1771:15	1011 1003.23 1003.2
1856:1 1865:4	purchase 1790:13,	1820:2 1829:1,2		Randolph 1895:15
1869:23 1874:11	14,23 1796:2,7,11,	1833:23 1834:13,	questions	ropid 1705.1
provided 1694:9,	17 1798:2 1809:16	14,18 1842:21	1683:11 1685:19	rapid 1725:1
12 1697:21	1818:18 1855:19	1858:14 1866:1	1687:21 1688:1,5,8	rapidly 1724:18
1703:18 1717:8,15	1926:22	1879:23 1886:9	1729:16,20	rare 1888:8
1718:2 1722:15	purchased	1896:6	1760:16 1766:9	1000.0
1729:11 1740:5	1732:11 1738:14	putting 1724:8	1770:23 1771:4	Rash 1811:12
1753:6,20 1764:12	1739:20 1740:14	1731:2 1733:14	1772:1 1775:20	roto 1604:01.00
1812:3 1831:9,13	1798:18 1799:1	1739:7 1779:16	1791:4 1802:8,11	rate 1694:21,22
1833:11,21	1820:21 1821:10,	1786:20 1806:16	1809:7,15 1814:13,	1754:24 1866:21
1834:15 1835:14	19 1822:5 1841:17,	1820:12 1829:8	18 1817:1 1818:11	1867:17 1886:10
1853:4 1865:15,16	21 1842:3 1843:4,		1845:14 1859:6	1901:3 1905:5
1866:18,23	12 1844:10		1872:8 1874:9	1909:13 1913:10,
1868:16 1870:2	1855:13 1856:2	Q	1883:3 1889:1	11
1873:8 1888:16			1890:20 1899:4	rates 1911:5
1900:15 1925:19,	purchases 1776:7	qualified 1882:5	1901:24 1902:1	retionale 1070-01
23 1926:7,13	1926:4,11	1932:5	1905:24 1908:4	rationale 1872:21 1888:15
1927:4,6,12 1928:9	purchasing	qualify 1889:11	1911:21 1912:9	1000.10
	1747:10 1809:24		1914:6,10 1918:10,	RE-
providing 1685:18	1810:1,12,14	quarters 1917:20	11 1919:17 1925:6	EXAMINATION
1827:20 1831:16	1826:6,8,11,14,18	question 1721:7	1928:18,23 1930:6,	1857:1 1862:3
1832:20 1852:6		1724:13 1730:3	7	manakad (005.0
1879:15 1903:21	pure 1929:19	1754:10 1762:17	quick 1815:5	reached 1825:3
provision 1871:15	purports 1874:22	1768:2,11 1777:13	Quicken 1007.0	reaches 1746:22
1881:19 1905:20		1787:18 1793:5	Quicken 1927:9	
1908:2 1918:15	purpose 1698:23	1794:7,10,11,16	quickly 1724:8	reaching 1824:19
	1705:3 1860:8	1812:21 1814:8	1860:22	read 1683:6
provisions	1866:1 1930:18	1822:13 1832:7	auit 1000-00	1857:4,7 1861:3
1874:23 1911:18	1932:8	1842:24 1847:13	quit 1908:22	1868:9 1905:1
1915:20	purposes 1681:11	1848:2 1851:20	quote 1818:21,22	1925:1 1926:17
proviso 1867:7	1797:2 1846:20	1852:13 1853:22	1819:11	
•		1002.10 1000.22	1	reading 1902:20

1743:5 1767:13

1779:11 1781:14

1910:5

reads 1747:10

ready 1682:18 1684:23 1778:7 1823:7 1858:24 1863:12 1925:14

real 1701:17 1815:5 1907:11

realize 1726:19 1728:24 1787:17, 18

realized 1727:11 1807:15

reappropriated 1708:18 1709:7,8, 14,18 1710:2,9 1711:4,7 1713:12, 16,18,21,22 1714:19 1715:1,6, 7,20,21 1716:22 1717:1 1718:14,16 1719:1,8,15,19,23

reason 1728:13 1753:17 1758:24 1761:23 1766:10 1782:24 1783:12 1827:24 1866:5 1872:20 1920:21

reasonable 1709:13 1813:18 1851:4 1853:8,9 1868:14 1904:2 1909:17

reasoning 1860:1

reasons 1896:6

recalculate 1867:21

recall 1693:10 1695:7 1697:9,12 1699:22 1700:8 1707:3,5,6,18,19, 21 1709:2,15 1728:11 1741:5 1742:1 1743:1 1744:19 1752:7,11 1753:4 1762:12 1767:16 1770:6 1775:5 1781:20 1782:9 1789:1 1806:6 1811:7,16 1839:6,18 1861:18 1876:5 1928:7 1930:4

recalled 1806:5 1870:12 1887:16

recapping 1820:8

receipt 1816:21 1855:21

receipts 1815:18, 22 1816:10

receive 1711:22 1721:12 1760:3 1862:13,18 1878:11 1884:14 1891:10 1901:13

received 1704:23 1706:3 1720:12 1732:3,19 1740:3 1847:5 1873:3 1920:12 1923:4 1927:3 1928:20 1929:7

receiving 1740:21 recent 1926:1

recently 1688:15

1692:7 1925:12

recess 1771:7,10, 11,15

recites 1868:13

recognize 1708:11 1720:11 1722:11 1725:11 1732:18 1733:9 1738:4 1754:1 1931:1

recognized 1899:24 1900:22

recollection 1691:2 1694:17 1700:1 1742:13.22 1821:2,8 1836:16 1877:7,11,15 **recommendation** 1911:11,14

recommended 1691:10 1693:24 1772:9

reconvene 1930:17 1931:5

record 1726:15 1798:19 1931:2

recorded 1684:5,9 1700:21,23 1768:6 1780:4

records 1684:14 1704:1 1723:21 1726:1 1738:13 1743:23 1748:14 1780:10 1838:21

rectify 1706:4

1842:4

recusal 1745:20 1750:16 1751:2,4, 11 1760:2 1768:19 1849:5 1865:11

recusals 1749:19 1849:10 1928:11

> recused 1811:21 1928:8,13

recuses 1749:19

redact 1755:5

redacted 1869:15 redirect 1856:16,

18 redone 1795:17

1797:8

reduce 1814:2

reduced 1746:12 1791:15,20 1813:6, 14,17 1825:4 1932:12 reducing 1903:20 reduction 1746:1

1783:21 1804:16

reductions 1783:23 1804:13

reelection 1865:2,

reenacted 1900:14

refer 1717:5 1738:16 1759:9 1815:5 1851:15

reference 1828:1 1851:19 1926:7 1929:20

referenced 1877:2 1894:23

references 1926:4 1929:14,17

referred 1709:1,5 1711:6 1889:3

referring 1745:9, 23 1753:9 1754:9 1779:6 1820:6 1834:5

refers 1850:11

reflect 1806:23 1807:2

reflects 1902:24 refresh 1697:18

1702:15 refused 1884:10

refusing 1884:6,7

regard 1686:16 1690:24 1691:2 1692:19 1694:1,5, 10,11 1695:8 1701:10 1703:21 1705:14 1707:2 1759:1 1769:4 1822:18 1823:4 1826:15 1871:8 1888:13 1897:6 1901:10 1915:6,9 1928:10 1929:13

regarded 1697:22 1883:19

Registered 1932:3

regular 1704:14 1765:24 1766:5 1871:3 1878:13 1882:16 1930:17

regulate 1905:9

regulations 1746:10

reimburse 1911:6

reimbursements 1704:21 1705:4 1740:21 1913:2

relate 1725:7 1811:6

related 1702:22 1726:20 1773:8 1788:15 1800:24 1801:6 1824:21,22 1834:9,21 1913:1

relates 1684:14 1814:18 1822:24

relating 1685:19 1698:3 1809:16 1844:15

relation 1811:9 1812:5 1831:10

relationship 1909:1 1910:11 1911:18

relay 1842:13

relayed 1703:22 1788:14

relaying 1689:20 1693:13 1810:10

release 1858:19

	10E	JIVIE VII - August 00,	2010	
released 1696:20	1682:17 1787:5 1854:16	12 1847:1 1906:2	1831:11 1832:2	respect 1849:4
1726:2 1858:19 1859:4		replaced 1758:3	1857:3,5,12,16,24 1858:2,4 1859:9,16	respectful
	renovation	replacement	1860:8 1902:5	1682:11
relevant 1739:9	1717:5,16 1721:9	1899:7	1903:2 1927:3	respond 1741:18
1864:13 1871:11	1722:2 1726:5		1928:21	1800:2 1832:2
rely 1684:19	1730:13 1732:7	reply 1774:21		1858:3
1854:21	1742:8 1784:13,17,	1857:22	requested	
	22 1807:22	replying 1857:23,	1691:13,16	responded
relying 1693:13	1836:21,23	24	1692:12 1703:20	1741:20
remain 1849:15	1853:12 1861:18		1739:15 1742:1	response 1699:6
	renovations	report 1684:1	1796:22 1828:19	1703:3,8 1724:20
remainder	1718:8 1720:6,19	1689:2,3,6 1696:21	1829:1 1831:5	1772:23 1774:21
1775:15	1721:15 1723:22	1702:11,20 1703:9	1836:12 1854:22	1803:24 1804:2
remained 1683:24	1730:6 1731:12	1708:8 1709:1	1857:12 1858:9	1806:17 1820:13
1814:4 1849:16	1732:9 1735:16	1715:11 1781:2,3,4	requests 1703:20	1832:22 1855:1
1901:3,6	1736:2 1744:10,19,	1799:24 1807:11	1709:10 1726:23	1873:9 1882:11
	23 1784:24	1815:21 1830:7,8	1729:16 1730:8	
remaining 1681:6	1786:11 1787:15,	1831:7,9,15	1762:22 1800:15	responses
1714:17 1734:19	24 1800:19,21	1832:10	1801:18	1860:11
remains 1711:1	1801:7 1805:8,9,14	reported 1688:17		responsibilities
	1806:22 1808:20	1689:8 1698:24	require 1816:20	1683:23 1685:20
remedy 1889:23	1812:9,19 1834:10	1767:7 1827:6	1825:12 1883:8,12,	1686:17
remember	1850:12,13 1851:3,		13,17	
1761:14 1811:13	6 1853:5 1854:13	Reporter 1932:3	required 1816:17,	responsibility
1836:15 1852:12	1857:6 1861:16	reporting 1684:11	20 1817:6 1824:16	1773:14 1774:4
1864:23 1875:20	ranavational	1711:8 1748:7	1825:15 1858:4	1839:20 1846:5
1877:1	renovations/		1911:5,8	1849:19,20
	restorations	reports 1684:2		1904:21
remembered	1785:16	1704:8,20 1716:15	requirement	responsible
1742:15	reorganization	1849:2,3	1691:15 1870:23	1684:3 1748:6
remind 1837:12	1688:18	represent 1732:8	1874:16 1877:24 1904:4	1752:2 1839:2
	repaid 1759.0	-		1840:1 1847:8
remodeled	repaid 1758:2 1797:12	representations	requirements	roononoivo
1743:19	1797:12	1915:16	1826:14 1877:8	responsive
remodeling	repair 1842:20	representatives	rescinded	1725:3
1788:16	1843:7 1844:4	1681:9	1879:11	rest 1716:10
	repaired 1940-22	represented	1079.11	1734:15 1758:10
remove 1727:9,23,	repaired 1840:22	represented 1887:2	research 1733:14	restoration
24 1728:22	repairs 1717:13	1887:2	1912:17	
1807:20,24 1808:4	1806:24 1807:3,11,	representing	reside 1872:17	1737:21 1784:18, 22
1829:21 1830:11,	19 1840:12 1841:6	1880:2		22
16 1833:6	ropay 1740.17	reprimand 1743:7	resign 1814:7	restoration/
removed 1807:14	repay 1740:17 1757:24	reprinanu 1743.7	resigned 1769:22	renovation
1828:19	1757.24	request 1691:18,	U U	1787:10
rondor 1954.44	repayment 1758:6	20 1692:3,6 1710:4	resolution	roctorationa
render 1854:14	repeat 1721:7	1730:23 1772:13	1897:24	restorations 1785:1
rendered 1734:16		1773:13,15,20	resources	1/00.1
1880:24	repeated 1742:20	1774:3,23 1775:23	1684:12	restored 1787:6
renovated	rephrase 1768:11,	1776:1 1800:3		1898:17
Tenovaleu		1814:2,4 1830:23		

restructuring	revert 1713:24	1765:19	seat 1894:18	14 1822:18 1823:1,
1761:4,9 1769:10 1813:22,23	review 1687:24	rundown 1715:12	1896:7	2,20 1824:7 1825:11,19 1846:4
	1697:17 1854:12		section 1694:16	1864:11 1865:9,17,
result 1704:7	reviewed 1705:24	S	1701:4 1702:16	19 1866:7,16,20,24
1827:20 1888:7	1793:23 1794:2		1704:16 1720:2	1867:22 1868:15,
resume 1930:24		safe 1775:14	1734:8 1747:11 1766:13 1783:4	17 1869:1 1870:3,
retire 1866:5,7	reviewing 1684:13	1804:7	1822:17 1823:5,21	23 1873:8 1875:12
	revision 1777:22	colony 1710-0	1828:15 1829:22	1878:9,17 1882:6,
retired 1866:15,24	rights 1913:6	salary 1713:3 1766:12 1824:16	1843:3 1864:18,23	15 1885:4 1886:2,
1878:10 1884:3,12 1891:20,21	rise 1907:24	1864:15 1865:17	1866:4 1874:10,15	8,9,12 1887:11,15 1900:17 1901:13
1900:16 1901:12		1866:6 1867:1,18	1903:8 1905:21	1903:13 1904:6
1906:22	RMR 1932:18	1868:18 1870:9	sections 1823:5,	1905:13,15 1906:8,
	Robinson 1828:7,	1878:12 1891:11	15	22 1908:15
retirement 1746:2,	8,11,18 1836:1	1900:17 1901:11	sector 1686:14	1917:21 1918:4
10,12 1749:1,2,5, 11 1757:15	role 1684:6,17	1904:7,14 1905:4,5		1920:23 1924:6
1758:13 1759:5,11,	1707:11 1767:4	1906:9 1908:9,12, 22	secure 1761:8	sense 1710:7
16 1791:7,15,17,19	1780:3 1786:6		Security 1755:3	
1813:5,9,10,14,17	1898:20	sale 1874:18	1756:6 1845:22	sentence 1700:5 1903:12,17
1822:19 1823:5,21	rolled 1715:17	sat 1740:12	1846:12,15,24	1905:13 1906:7
1824:20,22 1825:4		1887:18	1847:8	1908:13
1826:3,24 1827:1,	rolls 1713:22	satisfying 1877:8	seek 1930:9	
3,7 1846:21 1847:5	room 1741:23			separate 1684:11 1687:2,5,6 1690:1,
1865:2,5,16,22 1866:23 1868:16	1771:21 1877:14	saved 1813:24	segregated 1720:4 1722:23	6,17 1697:13
1870:16 1871:2	1930:18	savings 1784:4		1701:8 1719:18
1873:13,18,19	rotate 1885:21	scanned 1737:15	segregating	1766:4,6 1834:16
1878:11,15 1884:4			1722:24	1835:1 1928:3
1889:11 1891:3,6	rotations 1885:22	scanning 1737:15	Senate 1737:3,5,	separated 1690:4,
1900:16 1904:6,13	round 1856:20	scenarios 1889:4	22 1876:9 1892:17	11
1906:7 1907:13	route 1885:4	schedule 1915:4	send 1730:18	soparatoly
1908:14 1909:15 1910:23 1918:6	1887:24 1891:10		1789:14 1820:15	separately 1784:21
	router 1700-00	scheme 1870:22	sending 1751:22	
return 1681:14	router 1700:20	scholar 1900:22		September
1758:14	rule 1866:15		senior 1745:13,14,	1716:15 1756:22
reupholster	1867:4,9 1881:7	scolding 1743:10	15 1746:17,19	1867:5
1818:22 1819:11,	1899:22 1904:4	scope 1696:23	1747:2,3,5 1748:12,16,20	series 1712:17
17 1843:11	rules 1683:5	1697:5 1736:5	1740.12,10,20	1716:17 1769:20
reupholstered	1695:24 1746:10	1747:14 1754:21	1750:8,22 1751:13,	1845:14 1869:14
1787:2 1797:8	1809:15,16,24	1756:20	18,23 1752:14,22	1885:21
revenue 1711:5,7	1810:2,14 1873:6	Scott 1707:13	1753:5,7,20	serve 1867:24
1712:14 1713:13	1903:9,18,23 1905:11	screen 1718:24	1754:4,5,22	served 1900:11,22
1714:13,19 1715:6		1917:18	1755:8,17,24	
1716:12 1719:20,	rulings 1792:17,20	search 1785:3	1757:13,23	service 1754:23
21 1848:5	1886:17		1759:20,23 1767:12 1768:9,15	1756:2,12,22 1850:9 1874:12
reverse 1896:15	run 1724:23	searching	1769:2 1791:5,6	
	1725:20 1726:18	1739:10	1792:1 1813:1,12,	services 1695:20 1717:6 1719:12

VOLUME VII - August 00, 2010				
1732:21,24	shortage 1882:5	1794:1 1854:20	simply 1857:5	skip 1710:11
1734:16 1737:9	shorthand	1861:12,13	1876:22 1885:8	1754:12
1746:22 1780:6	1932:10	1921:11	1887:3,14 1915:11	slight 1817:11
1789:11 1796:15		sign-off 1792:11	1916:11	_
1829:10 1836:18	shot 1682:10	_	single 1714:10	slightly 1717:21
1839:4 1852:6	SHOTT 1681:2	signature 1747:16	_	1868:1
1854:1 1908:11,18	1682:6,23 1683:5,	1788:9 1789:3,14 1794:3 1867:6	singular 1903:11	so-called 1745:7
serving 1824:8	17 1684:22 1685:9	1868:20 1883:5,9,	sir 1822:14	Sobonya 1814:12,
session 1682:7	1728:2,8 1771:1,14	13 1923:7	1874:13,19 1875:3,	14,17 1818:4
1803:4 1876:5,12	1794:8,12,15		10,17 1877:5	1928:24 1929:1,21
1882:10 1889:15,	1795:12 1798:9	signed 1708:2	1881:13,17,21	1930:5
24 1892:15 1893:3,	1801:23 1802:2	1733:23 1740:3	1886:5 1889:6,9,19	Social 1755-2
15 1930:9,12,14,	1809:10 1814:12 1818:5,7,10	1750:13 1755:1,3,4 1756:5,6,15,24	1890:3,7 1891:2 1892:7,16,21	Social 1755:3 1756:6 1845:22
15,17,18,21	1822:9,21 1836:2	1785:12 1789:1,17	1894:4 1895:19,24	1846:12,14,24
1931:6,14	1845:13 1856:15	1793:4,9,12,13	1896:14 1897:12	1847:8
sessions 1876:14	1860:20 1861:23	1796:15,23 1797:1,	1898:18 1900:3,12,	
	1863:1,8,16 1872:8	21 1813:3,15	20 1901:1,8,14	sofa 1739:14
set 1758:16 1766:22 1869:2	1874:4 1881:2	1819:20 1841:11	1914:19,23	1779:18 1790:14 1796:13,22 1797:2
1887:8 1899:22	1882:1 1883:1	1854:5 1861:9,19,	1918:22 1922:2,15,	1818:18 1821:13,
1904:10	1884:21 1888:21	20 1867:4,12,13,	20 1923:9 1925:9	14,15,16 1841:21
	1890:21 1892:9	14,15 1869:18	sit 1759:20 1865:5,	1842:18 1843:4
sets 1730:3	1894:9 1899:5,20	1899:23 1915:3	11 1868:15 1892:5	1844:3
setting 1901:11	1900:5,7,9,13,21 1901:2,10,15,19,23	1917:11 1922:23 1923:12 1924:20	1899:9	software 1700:12
sex 1804:21,23	1902:10 1905:22		site 1822:1,4	1844:15
	1908:4 1910:16	significant 1870:1		
Shannon 1849:9	1911:21 1912:4,7,	signs 1734:3	sitting 1742:23	Sohos 1814:22,24
shape 1923:20	20,23 1913:9,15,22	1747:19	1746:19 1791:9	solely 1924:24
share 1765:18	1914:3,6,13	Silling 1717:8	1823:1 1824:16,21 1846:18 1849:11	somebody's
1845:22 1902:8	1916:23 1918:10	1720:13,15,22	1865:17 1867:1	1766:12
1925:11	1919:17 1921:6	1721:2,5 1723:4	1868:18 1869:5	
	1922:1 1924:3 1925:6,10 1928:16	1727:12 1728:14	1870:4,10,17,24	someone's
shared 1765:16	1925:6,10 1928:16	1729:7,8 1731:22,	1877:10 1878:13,	1847:20 1929:9
she'd 1832:24		23 1732:3,7,13,20,	16 1882:17	something's
sheet 1695:6	show 1812:4	23 1733:2,16,19	1885:19 1886:10	1851:17
1716:5,8,12	showed 1717:23	1734:1,17 1735:10	1891:11,12,21,22	sophistry 1909:6
1717:18 1737:12		1744:1 1785:11,12	1900:18 1901:11	
1851:8	showing 1739:20	1829:8,14 1835:7 1836:13,14	1904:7,14 1906:9, 23 1907:13	sort 1682:11
shelves 1786:21	shown 1812:20	1840:15 1849:22	1908:16 1917:8,14	1687:24 1690:21 1692:12 1700:21
	shows 1716:23	1851:3,9,10,23	1918:7 1919:4	1704:3 1719:17
shift 1847:6		1852:7,9 1854:3	1924:15,16	1752:2 1784:19
1849:13,14,21	sick 1694:14	1857:9 1858:15	situation 1878:24	1799:9 1807:22
shifted 1847:19	side 1714:9,10,13	Silling's 1736:1,10	1888:8 1894:15	1810:8 1820:17
1848:19	1863:2		1895:3	1828:16 1830:5
shifts 1846:6	side-to-side	similar 1852:6		1849:18
	1765:21	1895:2	situations	sorted 1735:9
shipping 1819:23	sign 1788:12,15,21	simple 1865:15	1875:13	
1843:9,21	SIGIT 1/00.12,10,21	1918:21		

			2010	
sounds 1861:5	specificity	1711:14,19	state 1686:3,13	1885:2 1892:13
source 1831:14	1779:21 1812:12	1719:24 1724:12	1688:5,6 1690:15	statement 1683:6
	speculate 1783:18	1730:18 1812:3,8	1691:15 1694:24	1699:7 1779:9
Sources 1713:11	1797:18 1814:8	spurred 1820:9	1698:17 1699:19,	1783:7 1785:9
South 1814:24	1859:24 1880:15	-	23 1700:10,20	1789:9 1804:10
1815:1	1888:17 1920:9	staff 1682:12	1701:1,3 1703:5	1828:24 1831:22
		1704:24 1760:2	1704:12 1707:2	1832:16 1833:22
space 1698:14,17	speculating	1761:6 1810:24	1714:1 1719:11	1861:6 1889:19
1704:13 1781:22	1879:23 1923:19	1914:13 1925:12	1738:14 1739:13,	1903:11
1782:9	speculation	1928:18	20 1740:14,17,18	
spaces 1698:16	1929:14	stages 1692:11	1747:10,13 1757:6	statements
1704:16		eteinlese 4040-0	1758:3,6,13,15,19 1781:21 1782:2	1687:23 1710:19
amaala 4000.44	speedier 1903:21	stainless 1843:6	1786:21 1789:21	1718:13 1766:14
speak 1699:14	spend 1714:2	stamp 1815:19	1790:6,8,10,17,22	1812:12,20
1728:8 1739:15	1743:12 1784:11	1868:3	1795:21 1796:4,5,	1903:10
1749:17 1750:2	onend down	otomno 1015:00	17 1797:12,21	states 1715:16
1763:18 1783:16	spend-down	stamps 1815:23	1798:3 1799:1,4	1816:9 1926:24
1787:10 1810:4 1811:24 1852:14	1708:18,21,23 1709:3,17 1711:9	stand 1682:22	1802:13 1809:15,	stating 1929:6
1855:12 1875:24	1715:4 1784:12	1683:1	21,24 1818:20	Stating 1929.0
1903:2 1910:14		standard 1748:16	1819:17 1820:2,4,	status 1745:13,14,
1929:11	spending 1709:11	1817:22 1856:12	11,21 1821:1,3,11,	15 1746:15,17,20
	1710:1,4 1714:15	1017.22 1030.12	22 1825:14,24	1747:3,6 1748:2,
speaking 1881:14	1772:8 1800:13,15	standing 1880:17,	1826:6,18,22	13,17,20 1749:9,
1911:15 1924:24	1804:14,16 1806:8,	18 1896:12,17,24	1833:10 1842:4,15,	14,16 1750:8,22
speaks 1924:23	22 1807:10	start 1697:4	21 1843:4 1844:1,	1751:13,18,23
-	spent 1710:24	1711:10 1712:6	10 1848:3 1856:3	1752:14,23 1753:5,
special 1716:12	1713:5 1714:23	1723:19 1724:19	1864:20 1865:1,13	7,13,20 1754:4,5,
1758:16 1791:8	1715:2 1717:1	1735:4,5 1746:23	1871:14,18,19	22 1755:8,17,24
1889:24 1917:8	1718:8 1740:19	1771:20 1776:16	1873:17 1874:16	1757:13,17,23
specific 1694:18	1796:5 1812:19	1785:12 1804:3	1877:23 1879:17	1759:20,23
1695:7 1718:21,22	1821:12,22	1856:19 1902:14	1884:13,19	1767:12 1768:9,15 1769:2 1791:5,6,22
1723:10 1730:5	1842:15 1895:10,	1929:22	1891:19 1896:17	1792:1 1813:1,12,
1749:13 1750:22	16	startad 1001.10	1903:16,22 1911:6	15 1822:18 1823:1,
1751:7 1753:11	spoke 1695:13,17	started 1681:16	1922:14,17,23	2,20 1824:7
1779:17 1820:15	1731:3 1739:14	1690:9 1709:12 1716:24 1723:22	1923:8,11 1924:8	1825:11,19,21
1826:17 1840:19	1787:4 1801:3	1710.24 1723.22	1926:3,15 1927:2	1846:4 1864:11
1878:7 1918:16	1824:20 1835:17	1730:24 1739:11	1932:1,5	1865:9,19 1866:8,
specifically	1861:8 1877:17	1743:20 1752:13	State's 1700:12	16,20 1867:1,22
1690:24 1705:16		1761:3 1769:21	1701:7 1719:20	1868:15 1870:3,23
1707:9 1739:13	spoken 1785:24	1776:9 1777:3	1779:21 1780:10	1873:8 1875:12
1746:5 1752:17	sponsored	1780:22 1785:2	State- 1698:4	1878:10,17 1882:6,
1763:20 1773:6	1876:7,9	1803:17 1804:8	Jaic- 1090.4	15 1885:4 1886:2,
1775:5,9 1779:17		1805:14 1818:1	State-owned	8,9,12 1887:11,15
1781:16 1792:1	sponte 1879:9	1839:14 1910:12	1698:11	1900:17 1901:13
1794:24 1795:3	spread 1854:8	starting 1705.40	stated 1705:8	1906:22 1917:21
1817:17 1823:18	-	starting 1735:16 1857:21 1869:18	1729:5 1802:16	1918:4 1920:14,15,
1824:22 1852:12	spreadsheet	1007.21 1009.10	1806:3,18 1807:12	23 1924:6
1875:6 1919:13	1/11.12	starts 1746:24	1808:19 1817:6	statute 1864:13
1924:23 1926:21	spreadsheets		1828:17 1833:20	1867:8 1871:8
				1

	VOLU	JME VII - August 06
1872:6 1873:12,16, 21,23 1876:18 1877:21 1878:1 1880:13 1883:10 1890:17 1896:19 1897:1,11,17,23 1898:13,21,24 1899:24 1901:5,16 1902:7,21 1907:9,	1789:14,17 1790:19 1794:20 1795:7 1796:18,20, 23,24 1797:22 1799:11,14,16 1800:6,14,17,20 1801:2,5 1819:20, 21 1821:19 1854:5, 19	subject 1697:14 1930:10 submission 1859:1 submit 1748:4 1757:6 1857:18 1858:5 submitted 1757:2
24 1908:5 1909:15 1911:12,17 1912:13 1913:3,19, 23 1914:1 1915:9, 20,22 1920:16	Steve's 1783:3 1789:14 stick 1753:18 1930:22	1778:6,7 1796:21 1859:10,17 1927:15
1924:23 statutes 1866:10	stop 1745:22	subordinate 1865:13 1925:2 subsequent
1879:2 1902:9 1910:5	stopped 1813:9 stops 1846:16	1740:21 1834:24 1835:4
statutory 1748:19 1749:2 1759:19 1822:24 1888:5,14 1903:9	storage 1855:15 stories 1803:23 1804:1 1834:1	subsequently 1774:9 substance 1744:22 1874:9
stay 1699:9,10 1752:23 1792:4 1806:13 1839:14 1874:17	story 1799:18 straight 1763:11 1794:19	substitute 1924:15,19 subtotaled 1735:9
stays 1714:1	straightforward 1723:16 1865:15	subtract 1807:10 1808:13
steel 1843:6	stream 1766:19	
step 1751:13 1885:7	strike 1763:23 1779:23 1793:1,3	successor 1924:15
steps 1706:4 1753:12	strikes 1780:2	Sue 1682:21 1834:7
Steptoe 1756:1,6 1895:15	structural 1806:24 1807:3,11,19 1829:9	sufficient 1771:8 suggest 1692:17
Steve 1692:1 1698:19 1704:11 1708:17 1709:5	structure 1689:10, 11,13,15 1690:10 1764:18,22	suggested 1862:17
1715:10 1733:22, 23 1737:11 1739:2 1763:6,21 1765:4	1766:22 structured 1690:7	suggesting 1878:18
1766:24 1772:21 1773:18,24 1774:9,	Stucky's 1896:2	sum 1721:21,24 1872:3
16 1776:2 1777:2 1781:9,11,15,16	stuff 1785:18 sua 1879:9	summarizing 1772:20
1782:15,19,23 1783:3 1786:1,7 1787:24 1788:8	subcontractors 1787:20	summary 1697:21 1700:4 1708:14

1697:14

summer 1772:14 1930:2

> Summers 1881:4 1882:2 1883:1,2,19 1884:1,20 1908:7 1910:16,17 1911:10,20 1921:6, 8,14,17,23

1722:15 1731:23

1735:2,6 1736:20

supervise 1688:10,13,21

supervision 1804:22

supervisor 1691:11 1692:17 1765:1.6

supervisors 1691:11 1706:18

supervisory 1868:11 1881:8

supplemental 1725:6,15,21

supplementary 1703:3 1726:14

supplies 1719:16

supported 1776:13 1816:10

> suppose 1714:5 1847:4 1890:15

supposed 1696:24 1721:21 1757:11 1764:13 1766:15 1767:7 1789:22 1790:7,9 1847:20

supreme 1682:4 1683:21 1684:2,21 1686:11 1704:10 1712:13 1733:17 1754:7 1759:22 1762:7 1783:20 1784:15 1785:17 1803:18 1809:17

1810:2 1816:4 1826:9,11,16 1827:12,16 1833:13 1848:3,8 1865:10,12 1866:14 1867:16, 19 1868:6,11 1869:12 1870:6 1879:19,21 1880:2 1881:16 1887:20 1898:12 1899:8,23 1903:15,17 1920:2 1922:8,10,17 1926:3,5,18,22

surely 1818:19

surplus 1711:6

surprise 1795:18

surprised 1790:18,24 1791:2 1795:19 1797:24 1821:5,10,11 1842:14

surrounding

1708:15 1745:12 1758:6

suspended 1895:17

suspensions 1875:7,14

sweep 1771:20

switch 1746:14 1757:17

switched 1704:15 1791:22 1848:5

sworn 1683:4 1685:2 1805:20

system 1700:6,7, 23,24 1701:14 1779:4 1787:21 1827:1,3,12 1838:17 1840:2 1870:8,18 1878:11 1891:3 1920:2 1922:13

Systems 1844:15	taxable 1698:21 1699:1 1781:11,18,	testimony 1685:18 1783:4,5,9	Thomas 1755:24	1805:12,16 1808:1, 23 1815:19,23
T	24 1782:18	1791:6 1823:14	thought 1682:9 1728:10 1739:8	1827:23 1832:4,10
	taxes 1748:3,4	1824:15 1827:9,21	1743:13 1754:11	1839:21 1840:19
table 1841:16,20,	1752:2 1845:19,23	1851:22 1861:3	1782:3 1793:6	1845:2 1849:11,16
23 1842:19 1843:6	1846:6,24 1848:4,	1862:5 1863:14,15	1807:20 1813:12	1851:24 1852:7,21
1844:5,9	14,18	1864:9 1876:20 1877:6,11 1884:16	1832:9 1842:13	1853:1,23 1854:15 1856:22 1863:4
	taxpayer 1848:12	1889:2 1898:3	1857:7 1900:10	1867:19,23
tables 1780:14		1921:19 1928:1	1916:1 1921:18,24	1870:12 1871:14
takes 1750:21	telling 1714:24		thousands 1787:2	1875:17 1877:6,12,
taking 1856:10	1833:13 1834:14	text 1756:9		14 1886:10 1888:2
1859:18 1930:23	1835:3	1864:18	threatened 1770:17 1827:13	1892:6 1894:3
	temporary 1681:5	thick 1780:14	1//0.1/ 102/.13	1895:1,8,11,16
talent 1903:14	1748:1 1874:12	1786:22	three-page 1926:5	1897:5 1899:17,24
talk 1690:22	1884:24 1885:18	thing 1687:24	three-quarters	1900:11,22 1916:2
1709:6 1720:5	1888:9	1700:21 1704:3	1878:12	1920:7 1923:2
1741:18 1742:21	ten 1755:13	1705:7 1712:24		1932:7
1820:8 1823:8,10	1871:24	1713:2 1719:17	threshold 1745:16	times 1693:10
1858:21,22	tenure 1775:15	1729:17 1731:18	1747:7 1757:15	1699:5 1760:18
talked 1693:10	tenure 1775:15	1733:22 1741:1	1846:17	1762:12 1837:13
1709:6 1718:14	Teresa 1932:3,18	1752:3 1753:11	thrown 1864:8	1867:3 1875:23
1738:11 1741:21	term 1758:10	1756:1 1765:8	throws 1879:2	title 1683:22
1762:6 1784:11	1855:14 1856:13	1800:22 1804:5		
1821:13 1838:15	1886:24	1807:23 1810:8	ticket 1708:20	titled 1826:5
1839:11 1840:7,11		1820:18 1830:5	time 1681:21	titles 1712:16
1842:14 1844:13	terminated	1858:16 1861:15, 16 1886:19	1691:24 1692:1,18	today 1681:15
talking 1696:10,13	1769:19 1770:2 1777:1 1782:19	1908:17	1696:8,13 1697:2,	1682:8 1726:17
1727:2 1731:5	1827:23 1893:17		15,17 1699:13,14	1794:2 1828:24
	1027.23 1033.17	things 1684:7	1700:13 1707:18	
1741:23 1755:9				1833.22 1830.5
1741:23 1755:9 1767:16 1784:1	terminations	1685:22 1692:14,	1709:3 1712:17,19	1833:22 1836:5 1840:8 1861:3
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24	terminations 1761:4 1769:9,20	16,20,24 1693:19,	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21	
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12		16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21,	1840:8 1861:3
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11	1761:4 1769:9,20	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5,	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5,	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3 testified 1685:2	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12 tallied 1743:17	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7 1786:20 1787:16 1789:21 1790:2,6 1795:17 1798:5	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24 1743:20 1744:1	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2 1696:3 1699:8 1707:14 1733:17 1745:17 1748:24
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3 testified 1685:2 1705:19 1744:24	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7 1786:20 1787:16 1789:21 1790:2,6 1795:17 1798:5 1800:13 1802:16	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24 1743:20 1744:1 1755:2 1756:20	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2 1696:3 1699:8 1707:14 1733:17 1745:17 1748:24 1757:13 1758:7,8,
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12 tallied 1743:17 tally 1786:15	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3 testified 1685:2 1705:19 1744:24 1748:18 1753:2	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7 1786:20 1787:16 1789:21 1790:2,6 1795:17 1798:5 1800:13 1802:16 1804:4 1809:1	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24 1743:20 1744:1 1755:2 1756:20 1757:14,21 1758:13,24 1759:18 1760:23	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2 1696:3 1699:8 1707:14 1733:17 1745:17 1748:24 1757:13 1758:7,8, 11,16 1759:15
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12 tallied 1743:17 tally 1786:15 tallying 1724:12	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3 testified 1685:2 1705:19 1744:24 1748:18 1753:2 1763:24 1772:8 1781:5 1782:23 1783:6 1794:18	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7 1786:20 1787:16 1789:21 1790:2,6 1795:17 1798:5 1800:13 1802:16 1804:4 1809:1 1812:15 1817:18,	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24 1743:20 1744:1 1755:2 1756:20 1757:14,21 1758:13,24 1759:18 1760:23 1761:17 1762:16	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2 1696:3 1699:8 1707:14 1733:17 1745:17 1748:24 1757:13 1758:7,8, 11,16 1759:15 1763:20 1767:3
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12 tallied 1743:17 tally 1786:15	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3 testified 1685:2 1705:19 1744:24 1748:18 1753:2 1763:24 1772:8 1781:5 1782:23	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7 1786:20 1787:16 1789:21 1790:2,6 1795:17 1798:5 1800:13 1802:16 1804:4 1809:1 1812:15 1817:18, 21 1818:1,17	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24 1743:20 1744:1 1755:2 1756:20 1757:14,21 1758:13,24 1759:18 1760:23 1761:17 1762:16 1763:6 1769:14	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2 1696:3 1699:8 1707:14 1733:17 1745:17 1748:24 1757:13 1758:7,8, 11,16 1759:15 1763:20 1767:3 1773:7 1774:2,9,15
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12 tallied 1743:17 tally 1786:15 tallying 1724:12	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3 testified 1685:2 1705:19 1744:24 1748:18 1753:2 1763:24 1772:8 1781:5 1782:23 1783:6 1794:18 1812:2 1828:18	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7 1786:20 1787:16 1789:21 1790:2,6 1795:17 1798:5 1800:13 1802:16 1804:4 1809:1 1812:15 1817:18, 21 1818:1,17 1831:24 1835:14	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24 1743:20 1744:1 1755:2 1756:20 1757:14,21 1758:13,24 1759:18 1760:23 1761:17 1762:16 1763:6 1769:14 1770:16 1771:5,8	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2 1696:3 1699:8 1707:14 1733:17 1745:17 1748:24 1757:13 1758:7,8, 11,16 1759:15 1763:20 1767:3 1773:7 1774:2,9,15 1781:9,12 1782:17
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12 tallied 1743:17 tally 1786:15 tallying 1724:12 tangible 1701:18 tasked 1722:24	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3 testified 1685:2 1705:19 1744:24 1748:18 1753:2 1763:24 1772:8 1781:5 1782:23 1783:6 1794:18	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7 1786:20 1787:16 1789:21 1790:2,6 1795:17 1798:5 1800:13 1802:16 1804:4 1809:1 1812:15 1817:18, 21 1818:1,17 1831:24 1835:14 1842:1,5,16	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24 1743:20 1744:1 1755:2 1756:20 1757:14,21 1758:13,24 1759:18 1760:23 1761:17 1762:16 1763:6 1769:14 1770:16 1771:5,8 1772:16 1780:5	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2 1696:3 1699:8 1707:14 1733:17 1745:17 1748:24 1757:13 1758:7,8, 11,16 1759:15 1763:20 1767:3 1773:7 1774:2,9,15 1781:9,12 1782:17 1791:16 1806:7
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12 tallied 1743:17 tally 1786:15 tallying 1724:12 tangible 1701:18 tasked 1722:24 tax 1748:7 1846:11	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3 testified 1685:2 1705:19 1744:24 1748:18 1753:2 1763:24 1772:8 1781:5 1782:23 1783:6 1794:18 1812:2 1828:18 testify 1755:14	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7 1786:20 1787:16 1789:21 1790:2,6 1795:17 1798:5 1800:13 1802:16 1804:4 1809:1 1812:15 1817:18, 21 1818:1,17 1831:24 1835:14 1842:1,5,16 1862:10 1894:14	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24 1743:20 1744:1 1755:2 1756:20 1757:14,21 1758:13,24 1759:18 1760:23 1761:17 1762:16 1763:6 1769:14 1770:16 1771:5,8 1772:16 1780:5 1782:5,10,20,22	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2 1696:3 1699:8 1707:14 1733:17 1745:17 1748:24 1757:13 1758:7,8, 11,16 1759:15 1763:20 1767:3 1773:7 1774:2,9,15 1781:9,12 1782:17 1791:16 1806:7 1808:3,4 1815:24
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12 tallied 1743:17 tally 1786:15 tallying 1724:12 tangible 1701:18 tasked 1722:24 tax 1748:7 1846:11 1847:8,12,14,21	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3 testified 1685:2 1705:19 1744:24 1748:18 1753:2 1763:24 1772:8 1781:5 1782:23 1783:6 1794:18 1812:2 1828:18 testify 1755:14 1793:24 1794:1	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7 1786:20 1787:16 1789:21 1790:2,6 1795:17 1798:5 1800:13 1802:16 1804:4 1809:1 1812:15 1817:18, 21 1818:1,17 1831:24 1835:14 1842:1,5,16 1862:10 1894:14 thinking 1742:24	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24 1743:20 1744:1 1755:2 1756:20 1757:14,21 1758:13,24 1759:18 1760:23 1761:17 1762:16 1763:6 1769:14 1770:16 1771:5,8 1772:16 1780:5 1782:5,10,20,22 1784:10 1788:4	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2 1696:3 1699:8 1707:14 1733:17 1745:17 1748:24 1757:13 1758:7,8, 11,16 1759:15 1763:20 1767:3 1773:7 1774:2,9,15 1781:9,12 1782:17 1791:16 1806:7 1808:3,4 1815:24 1829:2 1831:17
1767:16 1784:1 1789:20 1808:24 1824:24 1832:12 1834:11 1835:11 1886:3,6 1896:12 1897:6 1908:9 1911:19 talks 1873:12 tallied 1743:17 tally 1786:15 tallying 1724:12 tangible 1701:18 tasked 1722:24 tax 1748:7 1846:11	1761:4 1769:9,20 terms 1784:19 1864:7 terribly 1736:7 testi 1783:3 testified 1685:2 1705:19 1744:24 1748:18 1753:2 1763:24 1772:8 1781:5 1782:23 1783:6 1794:18 1812:2 1828:18 testify 1755:14 1793:24 1794:1	16,20,24 1693:19, 21 1694:13,15 1695:23 1719:13 1724:23 1725:2,5, 20,21 1726:11 1765:2 1772:10 1774:7 1780:7 1786:20 1787:16 1789:21 1790:2,6 1795:17 1798:5 1800:13 1802:16 1804:4 1809:1 1812:15 1817:18, 21 1818:1,17 1831:24 1835:14 1842:1,5,16 1862:10 1894:14	1709:3 1712:17,19 1714:23 1717:21 1718:17 1724:21, 22 1725:1,19 1728:23 1731:5 1733:13 1734:7 1736:2,13 1737:16 1739:7 1741:3,24 1743:20 1744:1 1755:2 1756:20 1757:14,21 1758:13,24 1759:18 1760:23 1761:17 1762:16 1763:6 1769:14 1770:16 1771:5,8 1772:16 1780:5 1782:5,10,20,22	1840:8 1861:3 1863:5,14 1864:8 1873:3 1884:16 1889:2 1922:20 1923:5 told 1691:19 1692:8,23 1693:1,2 1696:3 1699:8 1707:14 1733:17 1745:17 1748:24 1757:13 1758:7,8, 11,16 1759:15 1763:20 1767:3 1773:7 1774:2,9,15 1781:9,12 1782:17 1791:16 1806:7 1808:3,4 1815:24

		5 ,	
1835:15 1839:3,6,	transcription	1828:12 1845:14	
7,8 1856:12	1932:13	1856:24 1862:2	U
1859:15 1862:15		1863:4 1870:7	
	transferred	1920:6	uh-huh 1686:1
Tom 1866:19	1839:23		
tomorrow 1726:18	transition 1870:18	Troy's 1863:14	1688:16 1689:1
1930:19,24		1876:20 1884:15	1690:2 1696:9
1930.19,24	transmission	true 1682:14	1716:3 1717:7
tone 1743:6	1921:21	1697:20 1703:12	1751:8 1776:20
top 1690,16	transmitted	1729:6,9 1730:13	1778:2 1789:23
top 1689:16		1729.0,9 1730.13	1803:10 1804:20
1702:12 1708:8	1920:3	1803:18 1807:21	1807:17 1820:2,5
1712:7 1734:12	transparent		1838:18 1839:17
1754:5 1784:3	1780:11	1808:7 1874:9,14 1896:16 1900:3	UJA 1705:18
1811:17 1922:12		1896.18 1900.3	1844:16
topic 1745:6	travel 1694:16,17,	Trump 1876:10	
-	18 1695:2 1704:21	-	ultimate 1877:20
topics 1690:19 1845:15	1705:4 1777:23	Trust 1848:14	1898:12 1916:5
	treasurer 1896:22	truth 1827:24	ultimately 1916:17
torturously 1871:12	treasurer's 1688:6	truthful 1783:7	1922:23 1923:12
	1758:19	turn 1706:22	unable 1728:14
total 1717:17	treasury 1884:18	1722:1,3 1732:17	uncertainty
1718:8,10 1722:20,	-	1756:8,17 1778:21,	1761:5
22 1723:2,12	treated 1728:1	24 1922:4	
1732:6,9 1733:15	1747:23 1751:18	(unchanged
1744:23 1796:13	1753:8 1824:8	turnaround	1901:6
1812:17 1830:23	1825:1 1833:7	1789:4	unclear 1861:3
1836:22 1837:5,6	treatment 1768:15	turned 1884:16	
1846:21 1859:3	1769:1 1827:11		uncomfortable
1927:17		twenty 1819:13	1801:19
totaling 1831:2	trends 1713:2	two-thirds	unconstitutional
-	trial 1886:18	1853:17	
totally 1782:17	1924:13	1000.17	1879:3
1835:1 1911:16	1924.15	two-year 1888:9	underneath
totals 1832:1	trials 1886:16,18	tying 1705:20	1688:9 1765:13
1002.1	1903:21	tying 1705.20	
tour 1681:15		type 1694:9,15	underpinning
1682:3,15 1785:17	Tricky 1816:2	1711:12 1713:1	1888:15
track 1701:16	trier 1916:17	1719:10 1753:5	understand
1839:19 1870:15	4	1780:7 1800:22	1681:11 1686:18
1039.19 1070.15	triggered 1751:10	1835:22 1861:16	1749:5 1773:16
train 1728:10	trouble 1728:4	hunnen 1710-10	1784:13 1834:12
trained 4000-0	1825:22 1833:17	types 1712:16	1850:16 1860:7
trained 1693:3	-	1714:15 1725:5	1878:23 1887:16
1839:15	Troy 1683:1,7,10,	1726:10	1912:10 1914:3,4
training 1764:24	11,18 1685:7,15,	typewriting	1915:24 1921:9
1839:24	16,17 1728:2	1932:12	
	1770:22 1771:1,16,		understanding
transactions	17 1772:1,4	typically 1690:12	1687:1 1689:5
1684:5 1687:24	1794:18 1822:12	1773:10 1778:17	1690:8 1691:1
1824:12	1823:14 1824:7	1845:17	1698:9 1715:9

1726:21 1740:20 1745:24 1746:11 1759:4 1768:18 1777:17 1791:13 1797:10 1800:17, 19 1803:11 1812:1 1824:18 1838:1 1846:9 1857:11,18 1899:10 1908:20 1928:2,5,13,17

understated 1729:6 1829:17

understood 1707:8 1768:13 1848:11 1909:23 1917:3

undertake 1885:16

undertaken 1879:9 1897:22

> undertaking 1898:2

undertook 1919:12

unemployment 1845:23 1848:2,18

unethical 1801:18

Unified 1705:20

unique 1879:14 University 1686:2,

unpaid 1848:14

3

unrelated 1734:6

unruly 1724:8

unsolicited 1928:21

unusual 1690:17

up-and-down 1765:21

update 1730:5,8 1913:16

VOLUME VII - August 06, 2018				
updated 1694:20 1695:2,4 1701:10 1779:4 updates 1867:9,11 upgrade 1737:3,5, 22 1851:16 uploaded 1817:13 uploads 1816:19 upset 1763:7,17 1794:21 1795:6 Upshur 1894:16 upstairs 1761:22	VOLU 1699:20,23 1701:24 1703:21 1704:7,10 1705:5 1802:13 Venable 1855:16 vendor 1737:18 1819:8,9 1929:4,9, 15 vendors 1732:11 verify 1684:7 versa 1689:21 versions 1828:13	Virginia 1683:21 1686:3,8 1737:2 1786:21 1825:14 1826:1,6,22 1833:13 1864:20 1865:1 1869:3 1870:3 1871:16 1881:12 1884:18 1924:8 1926:3 1931:4 1932:1 Virginia-48 1741:1 1745:8 1918:1 virtue 1786:3,4 voice 1864:2	walking 1704:17 1787:23 wanted 1727:23 1730:12,19,20 1745:3 1762:19 1764:17 1773:7 1774:14 1795:3 1799:17,19 1807:9, 24 1830:10,15 1831:12 1833:6 1836:8 1848:22 1871:6 wanting warehouse	Whoa 1788:19 Whoo 1683:18 wing 1736:22 1784:14 1805:9 wit 1932:2 withheld 1748:7 withhold 1748:3 withhold 1748:3 withhold 1748:46:5 1845:19 1846:5 1847:21,23 1848:1 witnesses 1925:18 22
upstairs 1761:22 1788:3 1877:14 1931:5,14 upward 1901:4	versus 1706:14 1719:9,23 1784:17, 22 1798:16,24 1821:1	volce 1864:2 voluntarily 1769:24 1770:2 votes 1930:21	warehouse 1855:16 watching 1746:24 water 1912:18	1925:18,22 wondering 1902:7 word 1681:5
usage 1691:14 utilize 1903:14	vested 1868:22 vice 1689:21	W	wave 1681:7 ways 1690:14,18	words 1699:10 1781:6 1786:13 1869:4
utilizing 1826:9,12	1876:8 1918:14,20 1919:1,15 1931:3,7 victim 1912:7,8	W-2 1699:1 1706:3 1747:23,24 1782:3 1783:1 1792:4	1857:4 1887:22 website 1816:8 1817:7 1872:23	work 1686:10 1693:14 1696:19 1705:18 1707:8,11, 22 1708:4 1720:16
vacancies 1849:12 1882:14 1891:9 1924:11,12, 17	view 1693:16,18,19 viewed 1810:5,7 1918:3	1846:4 1847:19 1848:19 1871:3 1918:2 W-2s 1783:13	week 1885:13 1886:21 1893:3 weeks 1724:19 1731:2	22 1708:4 1720:16 1731:1 1735:10,13, 23 1736:4,5,17,21 1737:7 1741:21 1743:24 1747:14 1754:22 1756:2 14
vacancy 1749:22 1865:11 1874:24 1884:24 1885:17, 18 1887:19 1888:9 1896:13 1899:7	VIII 1874:10 vintage 1841:22 violate 1881:11 1912:12 violated 1901:17,	1846:21 1847:5 1870:19 wading 1912:18 wage 1846:9,10, 12,23 1847:6	weight 1795:1 well-defined 1689:11,13 West 1683:21 1686:3,8 1737:2	1754:22 1756:3,14 1760:16,21,24 1769:4 1773:23 1780:13 1785:4,7 1787:20 1789:12 1792:10 1808:6,7, 21 1810:17 1811:9,
vacated 1896:7 vacation 1694:14 1697:2 1849:17	22 1915:20 1923:13,15,20,23 violates 1896:19	walk 1712:6,8 1762:1 walked 1698:15	1740:24 1745:8 1786:21 1825:14, 24 1826:6,22	14,18 1829:10 1839:3 1840:6 1842:19,20 1843:7,
variation 1827:11	violating 1908:1	1724:4	1833:13 1864:20 1865:1 1869:2	11 1844:4,16 1851:4,6,11,23
 varied 1882:21 1885:15 vehicle 1703:11, 12,14,24 1704:13 1781:22 1783:18 vehicles 1695:15, 	violation 1823:7,8 1870:23 1871:8 1872:6 1883:4 1888:13 1901:16 1905:20 1909:9 1923:22	Walker 1728:20 1837:17 1855:5 1927:21 1929:4 Walker's 1727:19 1728:24 1805:13 1806:20 1807:6,16 1829:24	1870:3 1871:16 1881:12 1884:18 1918:1 1924:8 1926:3 1931:4 1932:1 What'd 1863:23,24	1852:8,10 1853:12 1854:16 1857:9 1861:10 1866:2 1878:19 1885:9 1906:14 1911:1,7 1926:23 1927:17 1929:8,17,18,20
16 1698:4,5,11	violations 1875:8, 15		Whitney 1929:2	work-around

VOLUME VII	-	August	06,	2018
------------	---	--------	-----	------

1876:22	wrapping 1831:24	1870:18 1898:9	
 worked 1686:12 1694:6 1736:1 1744:1 1852:9 1862:6 worker 1747:23,24 1748:9 workers 1705:9 1706:14 working 1695:9,13 1702:8 1707:10 1723:22 1728:16, 21 1731:2 1739:11 1742:24 1743:20 1810:1 1811:23 1816:1,13,17 1827:20 1853:2 1854:19 Workman 1691:13 1692:3,5 1703:9 1727:16 1757:1 1763:8 1764:15,20 1772:9 1773:3,24 1774:2,13 1793:12, 17 1794:18 1799:8 1807:8 1835:8 1837:14,15 1852:15 1862:16 1867:15 1869:19, 	 wrestle 1877:20 wrinkle 1868:2 writ 1880:6,21 1896:11 write 1884:17 1909:14 1928:3 writing 1693:20 1802:14 1904:8 1927:8 written 1684:16 1690:23 1691:2,5, 7,11,14,21 1692:12,19 1693:7, 12,17,21,24 1694:4,9 1696:4 1772:7,9 1773:17 1775:2 1776:6,15, 17 1817:20,24 1840:10 1856:11 1857:16,18 1871:12 1879:4 1887:17 1889:22 1901:22 1905:14 1928:4 1932:11 wrong 1766:13 1857:7 1870:13 189:16 1910:3 	WV-48S 1748:15 1750:17 1756:20 1759:7 1768:15 1825:18 1826:7 1861:9 1869:14 1873:7 WVSCTHJC00016 1868:3 Year 1686:13 1709:12 1711:3,4, 24 1712:3,21 1713:1,9,11,18,21, 23 1714:8,10,11, 13,20,21 1715:6, 15,16,18 1716:1,2, 16,19,24 1717:1 1718:3,19,23 1719:5,9 1720:4 1752:14,20 1760:6, 8,9 1772:15 1803:9,12 1804:11 1814:3,5 1840:17 1865:21 1868:7 1873:18 1878:14 1879:12 1911:1	Z Zatezalo 1801:24 1802:1 1860:20,21 1861:1,22
21 Workman's	wrote 1703:8 1841:9	1917:9,15 year's 1711:3 1720:3	
1703:1,3 1829:12 1852:18 1853:1 works 1749:20 1751:9 1810:19 1849:10 1908:21 worried 1862:9,12 worry 1691:20 1692:9 1773:1,21 1774:17 worth 1854:1 wrap 1914:17 wrapped 1792:9, 10	 WV-48 1747:5,8, 18,19 1748:9 1750:4,12,14 1751:24 1752:5 1753:3,7 1754:15 1755:22 1756:9 1757:2,18 1759:2 1768:20 1793:4,12 1813:4,15 1824:17, 18 1825:12,15,16, 23 1826:5 1863:15 1869:24 1870:7 1883:5 1913:17 1917:11 1921:10, 15,21 WV-48/1099 	year-to-date 1710:24 years 1708:21 1714:9 1716:21 1718:18 1820:10 1821:3 1850:2 1862:15 1864:21, 24 1865:8 1871:24 1885:18 1887:19 1895:8 1898:4 1904:9 years' 1719:3 yield 1902:11	